

# COUPLINGS IN $SO(10)$ GRAND UNIFICATION

A dissertation presented by

by

*Raza M. Syed*

to

The Department of Physics

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in the field of

Theoretical Particle Physics

Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts

August, 2005

# COUPLINGS IN $SO(10)$ GRAND UNIFICATION

by

*Raza M. Syed*

## ABSTRACT OF DISSERTATION

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor  
of Philosophy in Physics in the Graduate School of Arts and Sciences of  
Northeastern University, August 2005.

# ABSTRACT

In this thesis, we develop techniques for the analysis of  $SO(2N)$  invariant couplings which allows a full exhibition of the  $SU(N)$  invariant content of the spinor and tensor representations. The techniques utilize a so called Basic Theorem which we first derive. Using this method an evaluation of the trilinear couplings of the 16 plet of matter and of the 16 and  $\overline{16}$  plets Higgs is given. In particular, we give a full determination of couplings in their  $SU(5)$  decomposed form, involving 16 16 and the 10, 120 and  $\overline{120}$  tensor fields together with  $\overline{16}$  16 ( $16 \overline{16}$ ) and the 1, 45 and 210 tensor fields. We also compute the vector couplings of 16 16 ( $\overline{16} \overline{16}$ ) and the 1, 45, 210 gauge fields. Computation of dimension-5 operators formed from 16 and  $\overline{16}$  arising from the mediation of 1, 10, 45 and 210 plet of heavy Higgs, are also analyzed. Complete supersymmetric vector couplings belonging to the singlet and the adjoint representation of the  $SO(10)$  gauge group are computed in the Wess-Zumino gauge. An  $SU(5) \times U(1)$  decomposition of the vector couplings  $16 - 16 - 210$  is completely carried out using the Wess-Zumino gauge and its transition to a non linear sigma type model is shown. The utility of these results in the analysis of quark-lepton textures and of proton decay is briefly discussed. Possible future applications of the techniques given here such as in the development of string landscape  $SO(10)$  type models is briefly pointed out.

## Acknowledgements

I wish to thank my thesis advisor, Professor Pran Nath, for whom I have the greatest admiration, not only for his immense powers as a theoretical particle physicist but also for his wisdom. It is only because of his constant guidance and invaluable knowledge that I am able to complete my dissertation work.

I would like to dedicate this dissertation to my parents Mr. Syed Junaid Gauhar and Mrs. Syeda Khalda Gauhar. They instilled in me the importance of education and have given me unconditional love and support throughout my educational career. I would also like to thank my sisters Syeda Farah Gauhar and Syeda Deeba Rashid for their encouragement over the years.

# Contents

|          |                                                                                          |           |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                                                      | <b>8</b>  |
| 1.1      | The group $SO(10)$ . . . . .                                                             | 8         |
| 1.2      | Outline of the thesis . . . . .                                                          | 10        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>The <math>SO(N)</math> Group</b>                                                      | <b>14</b> |
| 2.1      | $SO(N)$ algebra. Vector representation . . . . .                                         | 14        |
| 2.2      | Tensors of $SO(N)$ group . . . . .                                                       | 20        |
| 2.3      | Digression: general construction of matrix generators of $U(N)$ and $SU(N)$              | 34        |
| 2.4      | $SO(2N)$ algebra in a $U(N)$ basis . . . . .                                             | 39        |
| 2.5      | Spinor representation of $SO(2N)$ . . . . .                                              | 47        |
| 2.6      | Decomposition of $SO(2N)$ spinor into antisymmetric tensors of $SU(N)$                   | 58        |
| 2.7      | $SO(2N)$ chirality and projection operators . . . . .                                    | 60        |
| 2.8      | Anomaly cancellation of the spinor representation . . . . .                              | 64        |
| 2.9      | Charge conjugation under $SO(2N)$ group. Complex and real spinor representations of $SO$ |           |
| 2.10     | General $SO(2N)$ scalar and vector type couplings . . . . .                              | 76        |
| 2.11     | Specialization to $SO(10)$ gauge group . . . . .                                         | 101       |

|          |                                                                                                                                                                       |            |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <b>3</b> | <b>Grand Unification</b>                                                                                                                                              | <b>117</b> |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Technique for the Evaluation of <math>SO(2N)</math> Invariants. The Basic Theorem</b>                                                                              | <b>120</b> |
| 4.1      | Specific set of $SU(N)$ reducible tensors . . . . .                                                                                                                   | 120        |
| 4.2      | Basic Theorem to evaluate an $SO(2N)$ vertex . . . . .                                                                                                                | 122        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Complete Cubic Couplings of Bilinear Spinors and <math>\mathbf{10}</math>, <math>\mathbf{120}</math> and <math>\mathbf{126}</math> plet in <math>SO(10)</math></b> |            |
| 5.1      | The $\mathbf{10}$ plet, $\mathbf{120}$ plet and the $\overline{\mathbf{126}}$ plet couplings in the superpotential                                                    | 125        |
| 5.2      | Large representations, textures and proton lifetime[6] . . . . .                                                                                                      | 132        |
| 5.3      | Appendix A . . . . .                                                                                                                                                  | 136        |
| 5.4      | Appendix B . . . . .                                                                                                                                                  | 137        |
| 5.5      | Appendix C . . . . .                                                                                                                                                  | 140        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Complete Cubic Couplings of Bilinear Spinors and <math>\mathbf{1}</math>, <math>\mathbf{45}</math> and <math>\mathbf{210}</math> plet in <math>SO(10)</math></b>   | <b>U</b>   |
| 6.1      | $SO(10)$ -invariant cubic superpotential couplings involving semi-spinors $\overline{\mathbf{16}}$ and $\mathbf{16}$ and tensors                                      |            |
| 6.2      | $SO(10)$ -invariant cubic vector couplings involving semispinors $\mathbf{16}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{16}}$ and tensors of dimension                                  |            |
| 6.3      | Appendix . . . . .                                                                                                                                                    | 154        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Quartic Couplings of the form <math>[\overline{\mathbf{16}} \mathbf{16}][\overline{\mathbf{16}} \mathbf{16}]</math></b>                                            | <b>156</b> |
| 7.1      | Quartic interactions of the type $[\overline{\mathbf{16}} \mathbf{16}][\overline{\mathbf{16}} \mathbf{16}]$ . . . . .                                                 | 156        |
| 7.2      | Possible role of large tensor representations in model building                                                                                                       | 165        |
| 7.3      | Appendix . . . . .                                                                                                                                                    | 166        |
| <b>8</b> | <b><math>SO(10)</math> Supersymmetric Singlet and <math>\mathbf{45}</math> plet vector couplings</b>                                                                  | <b>168</b> |
| 8.1      | Singlet vector coupling . . . . .                                                                                                                                     | 168        |
| 8.2      | $\mathbf{45}$ plet vector coupling . . . . .                                                                                                                          | 174        |

|           |                                                                                                                       |            |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <b>9</b>  | <b>Coupling the Supersymmetric <math>\mathbf{210}</math> Vector Multiplet to Matter in <math>\text{SO}(10)</math></b> | <b>185</b> |
| 9.1       | Coupling of $\mathbf{210}$ vector multiplet to $\mathbf{16}_\pm$ plet of matter . . . .                               | 185        |
| 9.2       | A more general analysis of $\mathbf{16}^\dagger - \mathbf{16} - \mathbf{210}$ vector couplings .                      | 196        |
| 9.3       | Appendix A . . . . .                                                                                                  | 200        |
| 9.4       | Appendix B . . . . .                                                                                                  | 202        |
| 9.5       | Appendix C . . . . .                                                                                                  | 205        |
| <b>10</b> | <b>Conclusions</b>                                                                                                    | <b>211</b> |
|           | <b>Bibliography</b>                                                                                                   | <b>214</b> |

# List of Tables

- 4.1 Particle content of the MSSM
- 4.2 Anomaly cancellations in MSSM spectrum



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 The group $SO(10)$

The group  $SO(10)$  is an interesting possible candidate for unification of interactions[1, 2] and there has been considerable interest recently in investigating specific grand unified models based on this group. Thus models based on the  $SO(10)$  gauge group have many desirable features allowing for all the quarks and leptons of one generation to reside in its irreducible 16 plet spinor representation and allowing for a natural splitting of Higgs doublets and Higgs triplets. Further, the complex spinor representation naturally contains the right handed neutrino which is needed in See-Saw analyses of Majorana masses. The  $SO(10)$  group also offers an enormous freedom in choosing symmetry breaking patterns.

Progress on the explicit computation of  $SO(10)$  couplings has been less

dramatic. Thus while good initial progress occurred in the early nineteen eighties in the introduction of oscillator techniques[3, 4, 5], there was little further progress on this front till recently when a technique was developed by Pran Nath and the author of this thesis. This method was devised by using the oscillator method which allows for the explicit computation of  $SO(2N)$  invariant couplings[6] in terms of irreducible  $SU(N)$  tensors. It was also shown in Ref.[6] that the new technique is specially useful in the analysis of couplings involving large tensor representations. These large tensor representations such as 120, 126 and 210, have already surfaced in the analyses of quark, charged lepton and neutrino mass textures in several unified models based on  $SO(10)$ [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. However, a full analysis of the matter interactions of such large representations does not exist in the literature in any explicit form. Therefore one needs to address the question of fully evaluating these couplings in terms of Standard Model particle states.

The focus of this thesis is the analytic determination of supersymmetric  $SO(10)$  interactions in terms of irreducible  $SU(5)$  fields. We develop here a simple technique for the explicit evaluation of the couplings in terms of the physical degrees of freedom even for the case when the tensor representation that couples has a large dimensionality. As mentioned above, our technique is a natural extension of the work of Refs.[3, 4] which introduced the oscillator expansion in the analysis of  $SO(2N)$  interactions. We wish to point out that there are other techniques [50, 51] and in particular purely group theo-

retic methods to compute the Clebsch-Gordon coefficients in the expansion of  $SO(10)$  invariant couplings. Such group theoretic approach was used in Ref.[18] to compute the  $E_6$  couplings. Our approach is field theoretic and is specially suited for the computation of  $SO(2N)$  couplings. The analysis given here will greatly facilitate further  $SO(10)$  model building and investigation of the detailed properties of baryon and lepton number violation, proton decay, neutrino oscillations and other low energy phenomena in this class of models.

## 1.2 Outline of the thesis

The outline of the thesis is as follows: In order to fully understand and appreciate the properties associated with  $SO(N)$  groups, we give a thorough and rigorous presentation on these groups in chapter 2. In particular, we discuss vector, tensor and spinor representations of  $SO(N)$  group, their complex and reality properties,  $SO(2N)$  group algebra in  $SU(N)$  basis,  $SO(2N)$  invariants and specialization to  $SO(10)$  case. In chapter 3 we outline an overview of the need for grand unification and its attempts.

In chapter 4, we develop techniques for the decomposition of  $SO(2N)$  interactions in terms of  $SU(N)$  invariants [6]. This technique (*The Basic Theorem*) uses a unique set of reducible  $SU(N)$  tensors in terms of which the  $SO(2N)$  invariants have a straight forward decomposition. The Basic Theorem is specially useful for couplings involving large tensor representations and is central to the computation of any  $SO(2N)$  invariant couplings.

In chapter 5, we exhibit the technique developed in chapter 4 by performing a complete determination[6] of the trilinear couplings in the superpotential and the Lagrangian for the case of  $SO(10)$  involving the 16 plet of matter and  $\overline{16}$  plet of Higgs, i.e., we give a full determination of the  $16 - 16 - 10$ ,  $16 - 16 - 120$  and  $16 - 16 - \overline{126}$  couplings. The possible role of large tensor representations in the generation of quark lepton textures is discussed[6]. It is shown that the couplings involving  $\overline{126}$  dimensional representation generate extra zeros in the Higgs triplet textures which can lead to an enhancement of the proton decay lifetime. These results also have implications for neutrino mass textures.

In chapter 6, we carry the analysis a step further and give a complete evaluation[19] of the  $\overline{16} - 16$  couplings which involve the  $SO(10)$  tensors 1, 45 and 210. Further, technically the couplings of  $\overline{16} - 16$  are not necessarily the same as of  $16 - \overline{16}$  (as will be explained later). Thus, we give a full evaluation of the following couplings in their  $SU(5)$  decomposed form:  $\overline{16} - 16 - 1$ ,  $16 - \overline{16} - 1$ ,  $\overline{16} - 16 - 45$ ,  $16 - \overline{16} - 45$ ,  $\overline{16} - 16 - 210$  and  $16 - \overline{16} - 210$ . An analysis of vector couplings:  $16^\dagger - 16 - 1$ ,  $\overline{16}^\dagger - \overline{16} - 1$ ,  $16^\dagger - 16 - 45$ ,  $\overline{16}^\dagger - \overline{16} - 45$ ,  $16^\dagger - 16 - 210$  and  $\overline{16}^\dagger - \overline{16} - 210$ , is also given.

In chapter 7, an analysis is given of the quartic couplings[19] in the superpotential obtained from the elimination of the singlet, the 45 plet and 210 plet of heavy Higgs fields from the cubic superpotential. Specifically, we compute  $[\overline{16} 16]_1 [\overline{16} 16]_1$ ,  $[\overline{16} 16]_{45} [\overline{16} 16]_{45}$  and  $[\overline{16} 16]_{210} [\overline{16} 16]_{210}$ . Further, the possible role of large tensor representations in model building is

discussed[19].

In chapter 8, we present the complete supersymmetric couplings in the Wess-Zumino gauge containing the singlet and 45 of  $SO(10)$  and decompose the result into  $SU(5) \times U(1)$  particle states[20]. The question arises how one may construct the couplings of a 210 vector multiplet which does not belong to the adjoint representation. Here we need a new technique to address this question. The purpose of the next chapter is to do just that.

In chapter 9, we consider the couplings of the supersymmetric vector 210 multiplet[20] in  $SO(10)$ . We focus on this construction both for the theoretical challenge of constructing such couplings as well as for the possibility that such interactions may surface in some future effective theories to describe fully all the degrees of freedom at some relevant energy scale. We follow the conventional approach and give the coupling of the 210 multiplet with 16 plet of matter, i.e., we compute the couplings  $16^\dagger - 16 - 210$  and  $\overline{16}^\dagger - \overline{16} - 210$  in the Wess-Zumino gauge and carry out a full  $SU(5) \times U(1)$  decomposition of it and elimination of the auxiliary fields. At the very outset we discard the constraint of gauge invariance since the imposition of such a constraint is untenable for the 210 multiplet. We consider the more general couplings of the 210 multiplet retaining all the components of the vector multiplet, i.e, we do not impose the Wess-Zumino gauge constraint[21]. In this case elimination of the auxiliary fields leads to a non-linear Lagrangian with infinite order of nonlinearities in it. The general technique underlying this procedure is illustrated in Appendix C for the  $U(1)$  case. This analysis has some resem-

blance to the analysis of Ref.[22, 23] which also used a unconstrained vector multiplet. However, the analysis of Ref.[22, 23] did not include an explicit mass term for the vector multiplet, nor the self interactions of the vector fields and it did not integrate the auxiliary fields. In fact the motivation of the work of the above reference was very different in the sense that it was geared to study spontaneous symmetry breaking and generation of vector boson masses. Finally, in chapter 10 we present our conclusions.

# Chapter 2

## The $SO(N)$ Group

In this chapter we present in detail the properties associated with  $SO(N)$  groups [3, 4, 5, 24, 25] that are of interest to a theoretical particle physicist. Specialization to  $SO(10)$  gauge group is also discussed.

### 2.1 $SO(N)$ algebra. Vector representation

DEFINITION

A *group*  $G$  is a collection of elements  $g_i$  such that

- (1) There is an identity element,  $\mathbf{1}$
- (2) There is closure under multiplication:  $g_1 \times g_2 = g_3$
- (3) Every element has an inverse:  $g_i \times g_i^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$
- (4) Multiplication is associative:  $(g_i \times g_j) \times g_k = g_i \times (g_j \times g_k)$

Specifically, we have the continuous groups which have an infinite num-

ber of elements. The most important of the continuous groups are the Lie groups. The Lie groups of particular interest to us are all given as concrete groups of matrices. Clearly, a set of arbitrary  $N \times N$  invertible matrices satisfies the definition of group.

#### DEFINITION

The *orthogonal group*,  $O(N)$  is a group of  $N \times N$  real orthogonal matrices,  $R$  obeying

$$R^T R = R R^T = \mathbf{1} \quad (2.1)$$

It is a group of rotation matrices in an  $N$ -dimensional coordinate space. An arbitrary  $N$ -dimensional real column vector,  $X_\mu$  transforms as:

$$X'_\mu = R_{\mu\nu} X_\nu; \quad \mu, \nu = 1, \dots, N \quad (2.2)$$

Note that the group  $O(N)$  leaves invariant the scalar product:  $X'^T Y' = (R X)^T R Y = X^T (R^T R) Y = X^T Y$ . Further, the group  $O(N)$  has two disconnected parts as can be seen by taking the determinant of both sides of the above equation:  $\det(R^T R) = [\det(R)]^2 = \det(\mathbf{1}) \Rightarrow \det(R) = \pm 1$ .

#### DEFINITION

The *special orthogonal group*,  $SO(N)$  is a group of  $N \times N$  real orthogonal matrices,  $R$  obeying

$$R^T R = R R^T = \mathbf{1}; \quad \det(R) = +1 \quad (2.3)$$



Now consider the group element,  $R(a)$  of  $SO(N)$  which differ infinitesimally from the identity:  $R(a) \approx \mathbf{1} + a$  for  $a \ll \mathbf{1}$ , that is

$$R_{\mu\nu}(a) = \delta_{\mu\nu} + a_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.4)$$

The infinitesimal numbers,  $a_{\mu\nu}$  are antisymmetric in their indices since from Eq.(2.3) we get  $(\mathbf{1} + a)^T(\mathbf{1} + a) = \mathbf{1} + a^T + a + O(a^2) = \mathbf{1}$ . Thus  $a^T = -a$ , that is  $a_{\mu\nu} = -a_{\nu\mu}$ .

Also, Eqs.(2.2)and (2.4) implies

$$\delta X'_\mu \equiv X'_\mu - X_\mu = a_{\mu\nu} X_\nu \quad (2.5)$$

Next, make a Taylor expansion of the group element,  $R(b)$ :  $R(b) = R(0) + b_{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} + O(b^2)$  where  $M_{\mu\nu} = \left( \frac{\partial R(b)}{\partial b_{\mu\nu}} \right)_{b_{\mu\nu}=0}$ . To explore the neighborhood of the identity, we only need to retain terms linear in  $b$ :  $R(b) = \mathbf{1} + b_{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu}$ . This expression is for infinitesimal transformations. For finite transformations, let  $b_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \frac{a_{\mu\nu}}{n}$ , where  $n$  is an arbitrarily large number and define  $R(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (R(b))^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \mathbf{1} + \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{a_{\mu\nu}}{n} \right) M_{\mu\nu} \right)^n$  giving

$$R(a) = e^{\frac{i}{2} a_{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu}} \quad \text{with} \quad a_{\mu\nu} = -a_{\nu\mu} \quad (2.6)$$

The real numbers  $a_{\mu\nu}$  are the *parameters* of the group and specify rotation.  $M_{\mu\nu}$ 's are linearly independent matrices and are the *generators*. They are necessarily imaginary as  $R$  is real. The factor of  $\frac{1}{2}$  is chosen for convenience and the significance of  $i$  will be clarified below. Further from Eqs.(2.3) and (2.6) one can easily prove that the generators are antisymmetric:  $\mathbf{1} = (\mathbf{1} +$

$a_{\mu\nu}M_{\mu\nu}^T + \dots)(\mathbf{1} + a_{\mu\nu}M_{\mu\nu} + \dots) = \mathbf{1} + a_{\mu\nu}(M_{\mu\nu}^T + M_{\mu\nu}) + O(a^2)$ . Thus  $M_{\mu\nu}^T = -M_{\mu\nu}$ .

The reason for inserting  $i$  in Eq.(2.6) is because it is more convenient in quantum mechanics to use the anti-Hermitian generators ( $M_{\alpha\beta}^\dagger = -M_{\alpha\beta}$ ) rather than antisymmetric ( $M_{\alpha\beta}^T = -M_{\alpha\beta}$ ). Then  $R(a)$  in Eq.(2.6) is unitary ( $R^\dagger = R^{-1}$ ). Of course this does not change the fact that  $SO(N)$  is a real Lie algebra.

For infinitesimal transformation, Eqs.(2.2) and (2.6) gives  $X'_\mu \approx [\delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2}a_{\alpha\beta}(M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu}]X_\nu$ , thus

$$\delta X'_\mu \equiv X'_\mu - X_\mu = \frac{i}{2}a_{\alpha\beta}(M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu}X_\nu \quad (2.7)$$

Comparing Eqs.(2.5) and (2.7) we get  $a_{\alpha\beta}(M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu} = -2ia_{\mu\nu} = -i(a_{\mu\nu} - a_{\nu\mu}) = -i(\delta_{\mu\alpha}\delta_{\nu\beta} - \delta_{\nu\alpha}\delta_{\mu\beta})a_{\alpha\beta}$  and therefore

$$(M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu} = -i(\delta_{\mu\alpha}\delta_{\nu\beta} - \delta_{\nu\alpha}\delta_{\mu\beta}); \quad 1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq N \quad (2.8)$$

where the indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the *ordinal* indices of the generators, while  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are the row and column indices of the matrix. It can also be easily shown that

$$tr(M_{\alpha\beta}M_{\mu\nu}) = -2(\delta_{\mu\alpha}\delta_{\nu\beta} - \delta_{\mu\beta}\delta_{\nu\alpha}) \quad (2.9)$$

Note that from Eq.(2.8) for  $\mu = \nu$ :  $(M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\mu} = 0$ , that is the diagonal elements of the antisymmetric matrix  $M_{\alpha\beta}$  are zero. Thus, obviously  $tr(M_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ . However, this trace condition follows from the condition  $\det(R) = +1$  is

automatically fulfilled for antisymmetric matrices:  $1 = \det(R) = e^{tr(\ln R)} = e^{tr(\ln[e^{\frac{i}{2}a_{\mu\nu}M_{\mu\nu}}])} = e^{\frac{i}{2}a_{\mu\nu}tr(M_{\mu\nu})}$  and hence  $tr(M_{\mu\nu}) = 0$ . The matrix  $M_{\alpha\beta}$  is also antisymmetric with respect to the ordinal indices.

Eq.(2.8) represents the vector (fundamental or defining) representation of the generators. It also shows that the only non-vanishing elements of the matrix  $M_{\alpha\beta}$  are  $-i$  and  $+i$  given by the intersection of the  $\alpha^{th}$  row,  $\beta^{th}$  column ( $\alpha \neq \beta$ ) and  $\beta^{th}$  row,  $\alpha^{th}$  column, respectively:

$$M_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & . & . & . & . & 0 \\ . & . & & -i & & . \\ . & & . & & & . \\ . & +i & & . & & . \\ . & & & & . & . \\ 0 & . & . & . & . & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad M_{\mu\nu}^T = -M_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.10)$$

The commutation relation satisfied by the matrices  $M_{\alpha\beta}$  can be easily calculated using Eq.(2.8):

$$[M_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\mu\nu}] = -i(\delta_{\beta\mu}M_{\alpha\nu} + \delta_{\alpha\nu}M_{\beta\mu} - \delta_{\alpha\mu}M_{\beta\nu} - \delta_{\beta\nu}M_{\alpha\mu}) \quad (2.11)$$

For  $\alpha = \mu$ , we obtain

$$[M_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\alpha\nu}] = iM_{\beta\nu}; \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta \neq \nu \text{ (no sum on } \alpha) \quad (2.12)$$

and if all indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\nu$  are unequal then Eq.(2.11) gives

$$[M_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\mu\nu}] = 0; \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta \neq \mu \neq \nu \quad (2.13)$$

All non-zero commutators can be obtained from from Eq.(2.12) and the anti-symmetry property:  $M_{\alpha\beta} = -M_{\beta\alpha}$ , while Eq.(2.13) states that the following

generators commute with each other

$$\begin{aligned} M_{12}, M_{34}, M_{56}, \dots, M_{N-2, N-1} & \text{ for } N \text{ even} \\ M_{12}, M_{34}, M_{56}, \dots, M_{N-2, N-1} & \text{ for } N \text{ odd} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

The set  $\frac{N}{2}$  (or  $\frac{N-1}{2}$  for odd  $N$ ) mutually commuting generators is said to form *Cartan subalgebra*. Thus the *rank* of  $SO(N)$  group is  $\frac{N}{2}$  or  $\frac{N-1}{2}$  depending on whether  $N$  is even or odd.

Note that we have used  $N \times N$  transformation matrices,  $R$  to obtain the defining representation,  $M_{\alpha\beta}$ , and commutation relations of  $SO(N)$ . Other realizations are also possible. For example, under the transformation (2.7), an arbitrary function,  $f(X_\mu)$  goes over to  $f'(X_\mu) \equiv f(X'_\mu) = f(X_\mu + \frac{i}{2}a_{\alpha\beta}(M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu}X_\nu) \approx f(X_\mu) + \frac{i}{2}a_{\alpha\beta}(M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu}X_\nu \frac{\partial f(X_\mu)}{\partial X_\mu} \equiv (1 + \frac{i}{2}\hat{L}_{\alpha\beta})f(X_\mu)$ , where  $\hat{L}_{\alpha\beta} = (M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu}X_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial X_\mu}$ . Using Eq.(2.8), we get

$$\hat{L}_{\alpha\beta} = -i(X_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial X_\alpha} - X_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial X_\beta}) \quad (2.15)$$

This is the generalization of the angular momentum operator for arbitrary space dimension  $N$  and satisfies the same commutation relations as Eq.(2.11). These operators act on wave function,  $\Psi(X_\mu)$ , while the  $M_{\mu\nu}$  are the matrix representations (in the  $N$  dimensional Cartesian basis) of the components of angular momentum.

The *dimension* of this Lie algebra is the number of independent parameters,  $a_{\mu\nu}$ . Recall that  $a_{\mu\nu} = -a_{\nu\mu}$  for  $\mu < \nu$  and  $a_{\mu\mu} = 0$ , so the dimension is the number of pairs, that is  $\binom{N}{2} = \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$ .

## 2.2 Tensors of SO(N) group

Recall that the orthogonal transformations preserve the scalar product:  $X^T Y \equiv I$  is an invariant. This invariant can be written using upper indices (or equally with lower indices) as

$$I = X^\mu Y^\mu = X^\mu \delta_{\mu\nu} Y^\nu \quad (2.16)$$

Given a set of basis vectors  $\{e_\mu\}$ , a *metric tensor*  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , in an  $N$  dimensional space is defined through the equation:  $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu e_\nu$  satisfying  $g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\beta} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma$ . An invariant is specified in the form

$$I = X^\mu g_{\mu\nu} X^\nu \quad (2.17)$$

Eqs.(2.16) and (2.17) implies that the metric tensor

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu e_\nu \quad (2.18)$$

corresponds to the orthogonal group. Further, the metric tensor can be used to raise or lower indices of vectors (tensors):  $V_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} V^\nu$ ,  $V^\mu = g^{\mu\nu} V_\nu$ . Using Eq.(2.18), we get  $V_\mu = V^\mu$ . In other words the covariant and contravariant vectors coincide for orthonormal basis. From now on we do not distinguish between superscripts and subscripts.

In general we can define a tensor,  $T_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_p}$ , of rank  $p$  that transforms as the product of  $p$  ordinary vectors,  $X_{\mu_i}$ :

$$T'_{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_p} = R_{\nu_1\mu_1} R_{\nu_2\mu_2} \ldots R_{\nu_p\mu_p} T_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_p} \quad (2.19)$$

We now define a special kind of a unit totally antisymmetric tensor,  $\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_N}$ , called the *Levi-Civita* tensor as follows:

$$\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_N} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{even permutation of indices} \\ -1 & \text{odd permutation of indices} \\ 0 & \text{if any two indices equal} \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

As a consequence of its antisymmetry:

$$\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_N} \epsilon^{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_N} = \delta_{[\mu_1}^{\nu_1} \ldots \delta_{\mu_N]}^{\nu_N} \quad (2.21)$$

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_m\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{N-m}} \epsilon^{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_m\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_{N-m}} = m! \delta_{[\mu_1}^{\nu_1} \ldots \delta_{\mu_{N-m}]}^{\nu_{N-m}} \quad (2.22)$$

Using Eq.(2.22) we can define the determinant of a matrix  $A$  as

$$\det(A) = \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_N} \epsilon^{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_N} A_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \ldots A_{\nu_N}^{\mu_N} \quad (2.23)$$

Finally, multiplying both sides of this equation by  $\epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_N}$  and use Eq.(2.22) to get

$$\epsilon^{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_N} A_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \ldots A_{\nu_N}^{\mu_N} = \epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_N} \det(A) \quad (2.24)$$

## INVARIANTS OF THE $SO(N)$ GROUP

### 1. $2^{nd}$ rank isotropic tensor: Kronecker symbol

$$\begin{aligned} \delta'_{\mu\nu} &= R_{\mu\alpha} R_{\nu\beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= R_{\mu\alpha} R_{\nu\alpha} \\ &= (RR^T)_{\mu\nu} \\ &= \delta_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

2.  $N^{th}$  rank isotropic tensor: Levi-Civita tensor

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon'_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N} &= R_{\mu_1 \nu_1} R_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots R_{\mu_N \nu_N} \epsilon_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_N} \\
&= \det(R) \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N} \\
&= \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where we have used Eq.(2.24) and the fact  $\det(R) = +1$ .

Starting from a tensor of rank  $p$  and using one of the above invariant tensors, one can construct a new tensor, of smaller rank, by the operation of *contraction*. This consists of multiplying a rank  $p$  tensor by one of the invariant tensors and summing over the repeated indices. There are two possibilities:

(a) Contraction with  $\delta_{\mu\nu}$  is a trace operation.

$\delta_{\mu_1 \mu_2} T_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \dots \mu_p} = T_{\mu \mu \mu_3 \dots \mu_p} \equiv \hat{T}_{\mu_3 \mu_4 \dots \mu_p}$ . Here the first two indices have been contracted but the operation can be applied to any pair. This leads to a tensor of rank  $p-2$ . A tensor is said to be *traceless* if the contraction with a Kronecker symbol of any pair of indices vanishes.

(b) Contraction with  $\epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}$ .

$$\epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N} T_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} \equiv \hat{T}_{\mu_4 \dots \mu_p}$$

The contraction operation is related to reducibility. By definition, a tensor is *reducible*, if by one of the above contractions, one can get a new non-vanishing tensor of smaller rank. If that is not possible, the tensor is *irreducible*. As a consequence of (a), an irreducible tensor must satisfy  $\delta_{\mu_1\mu_2}T_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3..\mu_p} = 0$  and from (b) it follows that the tensor must be symmetric with respect to the indices  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  on which the sum has been performed.

GLOBAL SYMMETRIES ( $a_{\alpha\beta}$  independent of space-time coordinate,  $x$ )

### 1. Scalar boson in the vector representation

Introduce a set of  $N$  scalar bosonic fields by means of an  $N$  dimensional column vector,  $\phi(x)$ :

$$\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(x) \\ \phi_2(x) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \phi_N(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.27)$$

The transformation law is the same as before (see Eqs. (2.2) and (2.6)):

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi'(x) = R\phi(x) \quad (2.28)$$

in terms of its components the above yields  $\phi'_\mu(x) = R_{\mu\nu}\phi_\nu(x) \approx (\mathbf{1} +$



$\frac{i}{2}a_{\alpha\beta}M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu}\phi_\nu$  and on using Eq.(2.8) we get:

$$\phi_\mu(x) \rightarrow \phi'_\mu(x) = \phi_\mu(x) + a_{\mu\nu}\phi_\nu(x) \quad (2.29)$$

Obviously, the dimensionality of the vector representation is  $\binom{N}{1} = N$ . The generators in the  $N$  dimensional vector representation are given by Eq.(2.8).

The Lagrangian for  $N$  real scalar bosonic fields given by

$$\mathbf{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^N \partial_A \phi_\mu(x) \partial^A \phi_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \phi^T(x) \partial^A \phi(x) \quad (2.30)$$

is invariant under *global rotations*, since  $\mathbf{L}' = \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \phi'^T(x) \partial^A \phi'(x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_A [\phi^T(x) R^T] \times \partial^A [R \phi(x)] = \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \phi^T(x) \partial^A \phi(x) = \mathbf{L}$ . Here  $A$  is the Dirac index ( $A = 0 - 3$ ) and where we are using the metric  $\eta = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ .

## 2. Scalar boson in the $2^{nd}$ rank (adjoint) antisymmetric tensor representation

One way to define a second rank antisymmetric tensor,  $\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})} (= -\Phi_{\nu\mu}^{(\mathcal{A})})$ , is

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})} = (\phi_\mu \otimes \phi_\nu)_{\text{antisymmetric}} = \frac{1}{2} (\phi_\mu \otimes \phi_\nu - \phi_\nu \otimes \phi_\mu) \quad (2.31)$$

Then Eq.(2.28) gives  $\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})'} = (R_{\mu\rho}\phi_\rho \otimes R_{\nu\lambda}\phi_\lambda - R_{\nu\lambda}\phi_\lambda \otimes R_{\mu\rho}\phi_\rho) = R_{\mu\rho}\Phi_{\rho\lambda}^{(\mathcal{A})} R_{\lambda\nu}^T$ .

Hence the transformation law takes the form

$$\Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} \rightarrow \Phi'^{(\mathcal{A})} = R \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} R^T \quad (2.32)$$

Writing this transformation law explicitly, we get  $\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})'} \approx [\delta_{\mu\rho} + \frac{i}{2}a_{\alpha\beta}(M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\rho}] \times \Phi_{\rho\lambda}^{(\mathcal{A})} [\delta_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{i}{2}a_{\alpha\beta}(M_{\alpha\beta})_{\nu\lambda}^{(\mathcal{A})}]$ . Expanding this expression using Eq.(2.8) we obtain

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})} \rightarrow \Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})'} = \Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})} + a_{\mu\rho}\Phi_{\rho\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})} + a_{\nu\lambda}\Phi_{\mu\lambda}^{(\mathcal{A})} \quad (2.33)$$

Dimensionality of the second rank antisymmetric tensor representation is  $\binom{N}{2} = \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$ . This is also the number of generators of the  $SO(N)$  group. Hence this representation is also the *adjoint* representation of the group. Further, it implies that we have  $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$  *vector gauge bosons* denoted by  $W_{\mu\nu}^A$  having the global transformation law (2.33):

$$W_{\mu\nu}^A \rightarrow W_{\mu\nu}^{A'} = W_{\mu\nu}^A + a_{\mu\rho} W_{\rho\nu}^A + a_{\nu\lambda} W_{\mu\lambda}^A \quad (2.34)$$

In analogy with Eq.(2.30) we define the Lagrangian for  $N$  scalar bosonic fields

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu,\nu}^N \partial_A \Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})} \partial^A \Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})} = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \left( \partial_A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})T} \partial^A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} \right) \quad (2.35)$$

and it is easily seen to be invariant under the global transformation (2.32) using the properties of trace.

To find the generators in the adjoint representation it is convenient to write the transformation (2.32) in the following fashion

$$\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \rightarrow \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})'} = \frac{1}{2} (R_{\mu_1\nu_1} R_{\mu_2\nu_2} - R_{\mu_1\nu_2} R_{\mu_2\nu_1}) \Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \quad (2.36)$$

Inserting Eqs.(2.4) or (2.6) and (2.8) above, we get,  $\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})'} - \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} = 1/2[(\delta_{\mu_1\nu_1} a_{\mu_2\nu_2} + \delta_{\mu_2\nu_2} a_{\mu_1\nu_1}) - (\nu_1 \leftrightarrow \nu_2)] \Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \equiv a_{\alpha\beta}/2 (M_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu_1\mu_2,\nu_1\nu_2} \Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})}$ , where

$$(M_{\alpha\beta}^{(\mathcal{A})})_{\mu_1\mu_2,\nu_1\nu_2} = \frac{1}{2} \{ [\delta_{\mu_1\nu_1} (\delta_{\alpha\mu_2} \delta_{\beta\nu_2} - \delta_{\alpha\nu_2} \delta_{\beta\mu_2}) + \delta_{\mu_2\nu_2} (\delta_{\alpha\mu_1} \delta_{\beta\nu_1} - \delta_{\alpha\nu_1} \delta_{\beta\mu_1})] - [\nu_1 \leftrightarrow \nu_2] \} \quad (2.37)$$

are the generators of  $SO(N)$  group in the adjoint representation.

### 3. Scalar boson in the $2^{nd}$ rank symmetric and traceless tensor representation

Second rank symmetric ( $\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(S)} = \Phi_{\nu\mu}^{(S)}$ ) and traceless ( $\Phi_{\mu\mu}^{(S)} = 0$ ) tensor can be formed from the product of two  $SO(N)$  vectors:

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(S)} = (\phi_\mu \otimes \phi_\nu)_{\substack{\text{symmetric} \\ \text{traceless}}} = \frac{1}{2} (\phi_\mu \otimes \phi_\nu + \phi_\nu \otimes \phi_\mu) - \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{N} \phi_\mu \otimes \phi_\mu \quad (2.38)$$

Here  $\phi_\mu \otimes \phi_\mu (\equiv \Phi_{\mu\mu}^{(S)})$  is the singlet of  $SO(N)$  group. The dimensionality of  $\Phi^{(S)}$  is  $\binom{N+1}{2} - 1 = \frac{1}{2}N(N+1) - 1$ .

The transformation law is given by

$$\Phi^{(S)} \rightarrow \Phi^{(S)'} = R \Phi^{(S)} R^T \quad (2.39)$$

Writing this transformation explicitly in terms of its parameters, we get

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(S)} \rightarrow \Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(S)'} = \Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(S)} + a_{\mu\rho} \Phi_{\rho\nu}^{(S)} + a_{\nu\lambda} \Phi_{\mu\lambda}^{(S)} \quad (2.40)$$

The globally invariant Lagrangian for the symmetric, traceless tensor is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \left( \partial_A \Phi^{(S)T} \partial^A \Phi^{(S)} \right) \quad (2.41)$$

Another useful form of the transformation law for the evaluation of the generators in the  $\frac{1}{2}N(N+1) - 1$  representation is

$$\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{(S)} \rightarrow \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{(S)'} = \frac{1}{2} (R_{\mu_1\nu_1} R_{\mu_2\nu_2} + R_{\mu_1\nu_2} R_{\mu_2\nu_1}) \Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2}^{(S)} \quad (2.42)$$

and as in the case of antisymmetric representation, the generators are found to be

$$(M_{\alpha\beta}^{(S)})_{\mu_1\mu_2,\nu_1\nu_2} = \frac{1}{2} \{ [\delta_{\mu_1\nu_1} (\delta_{\alpha\mu_2} \delta_{\beta\nu_2} - \delta_{\alpha\nu_2} \delta_{\beta\mu_2}) + \delta_{\mu_2\nu_2} (\delta_{\alpha\mu_1} \delta_{\beta\nu_1} - \delta_{\alpha\nu_1} \delta_{\beta\mu_1})] + [\nu_1 \leftrightarrow \nu_2] \} \quad (2.43)$$

#### 4. Scalar boson in the general $r^{th}$ rank tensor representation

In general, an  $r^{th}$  rank antisymmetric and symmetric tensor representation of  $SO(2N)$  group can be formed from the antisymmetric and symmetric product of  $\phi$ 's as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A})} &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_P (-1)^{\delta_P} \phi_{\mu_1 P(1)} \otimes \phi_{\mu_2 P(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_{\mu_r P(r)} \\ \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{S})} &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_P \phi_{\mu_1 P(1)} \otimes \phi_{\mu_2 P(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_{\mu_r P(r)}\end{aligned}\quad (2.44)$$

with  $\sum_P$  denoting the sum over all permutations and  $\delta_P$  takes on the value 0 and 1 for even and odd permutations, respectively. The dimensionality of the antisymmetric tensor is  $\binom{N}{r}$ , while the dimensionality of the symmetric tensor is  $\binom{N+r-1}{r}$ .

For illustration purposes, consider the case of irreducible  $3^d$  and  $4^{th}$  rank symmetric and traceless tensors. The above equation (with trace subtracted) at once gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}^{(\mathcal{S})} &= \frac{1}{3!} (\phi_{\mu_1}\phi_{\mu_2}\phi_{\mu_3})_{symmetric} - \frac{1}{N+2} (\delta_{\mu_1\mu_2}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\mu_3} \\ &\quad + \delta_{\mu_1\mu_3}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\mu_2}\phi_{\nu} + \delta_{\mu_2\mu_3}\phi_{\mu_1}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\nu})\end{aligned}\quad (2.45)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}^{(\mathcal{S})} &= \frac{1}{4!} (\phi_{\mu_1}\phi_{\mu_2}\phi_{\mu_3}\phi_{\mu_4})_{symmetric} - \frac{1}{N+4} (\delta_{\mu_1\mu_2}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\mu_3}\phi_{\mu_4} \\ &\quad + \delta_{\mu_1\mu_3}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\mu_2}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\mu_4} + \delta_{\mu_1\mu_4}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\mu_2}\phi_{\mu_3}\phi_{\nu} + \delta_{\mu_2\mu_3}\phi_{\mu_1}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\mu_4} \\ &\quad + \delta_{\mu_2\mu_4}\phi_{\mu_1}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\mu_3}\phi_{\nu} + \delta_{\mu_3\mu_4}\phi_{\mu_1}\phi_{\mu_2}\phi_{\nu}\phi_{\nu})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{(N+2)(N+4)} (\delta_{\mu_1\mu_2}\delta_{\mu_3\mu_4}\phi_\mu\phi_\mu\phi_\nu\phi_\nu + \delta_{\mu_1\mu_3}\delta_{\mu_2\mu_4}\phi_\mu\phi_\nu\phi_\mu\phi_\nu \\
& + \delta_{\mu_1\mu_4}\delta_{\mu_2\mu_3}\phi_\mu\phi_\nu\phi_\nu\phi_\mu) \quad (2.46)
\end{aligned}$$

where, for brevity, we have removed the direct product symbols.

In general, a  $p^{th}$  rank antisymmetric tensor gives rise to an irreducible  $(N-p)^{th}$  rank antisymmetric tensor through contraction with the Levi-Civita tensor:

$$\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{N-p}}^{(\mathcal{A})} = \frac{1}{p!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{N-p}\nu_1\dots\nu_p} \Phi_{\nu_1\dots\nu_p}^{(\mathcal{A})} \quad (2.47)$$

For  $N$  even ( $N = 2k$ ), the tensor  $\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})}$  of dimension  $\binom{2k}{k}$  splits into two irreducible tensors  $\Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k}^{(+\alpha)}$  and  $\Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k}^{(-\alpha)}$  each of dimension  $\frac{1}{2}\binom{2k}{k}$  according to the  $SO(2k)$  invariant decomposition of a tensor of rank  $k$ ,

$$\Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})} = \Omega_{\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_k}^{(+\alpha)} + \Omega_{\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_k}^{(-\alpha)},$$

with 
$$\Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k}^{(\pm\alpha)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1\nu_1}\delta_{\mu_2\nu_2}\dots\delta_{\mu_k\nu_k} \pm \frac{\alpha}{k!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_k} \right) \Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})},$$

$$\text{where} \quad \alpha = \begin{cases} 1; & k=\text{even} \\ i; & k=\text{odd} \end{cases} \quad (2.48)$$

Further,  $\Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k}^{(\pm\alpha)}$  also satisfies self and anti-self duality conditions:

$$\Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k}^{(\pm\alpha)} = \pm \frac{\alpha}{k!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_k} \Omega_{\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_k}^{(\pm\alpha)} \quad (2.49)$$

Eqs.(2.48) and (2.49) will be proved later in a section related to spinor representations.

The transformation laws for the arbitrary rank antisymmetric and symmetric tensors can be easily extended and put in useful forms such as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})} &\rightarrow \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})'} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} R_{\mu_2\nu_2} \cdots R_{\mu_r\nu_r} \Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})} \\
\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})'} &= \frac{1}{r!} \left[ \sum_P (-1)^{\delta_P} R_{\mu_1\nu_{1P(1)}} R_{\mu_2\nu_{2P(2)}} \cdots R_{\mu_r\nu_{rP(r)}} \right] \Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})} \\
\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})'} &\approx \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})} + \sum_{i=1}^r a_{\mu_i\nu_i} \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})} \quad (2.50)
\end{aligned}$$

The generators of the  $SO(N)$  group in the  $r^{th}$  rank antisymmetric and symmetric representations are given by

$$\left( M_{\alpha\beta}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})} \right)_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_r,\nu_1\ldots\nu_r} = \frac{1}{r!} \left\{ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^r \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r \delta_{\mu_j\nu_j} (\delta_{\alpha\mu_i} \delta_{\beta\nu_i} - \delta_{\alpha\nu_i} \delta_{\beta\mu_i}) \right] \mp [\nu_i \leftrightarrow \nu_j] \right\} \quad (2.51)$$

The invariant Lagrangian under global transformations is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2r!} \partial_A \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})} \partial^A \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{S})} \quad (2.52)$$

LOCAL SYMMETRIES ( $a_{\alpha\beta}$  dependent on space-time coordinate,  $x$ )

### 1. Scalar boson in the vector representation

This time the scalar fields introduced through Eq.(2.27) must transform as

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_\mu(x) &\rightarrow \phi'_\mu(x) = R_{\mu\nu}(x) \phi_\nu(x) \\
R_{\mu\nu}(x) &= \left( e^{\frac{i}{2} a_{\alpha\beta}(x) M_{\alpha\beta}} \right)_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.53)
\end{aligned}$$

The kinetic energy given by Eq.(2.30):  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^N \partial_A \phi_\mu(x) \partial^A \phi_\mu(x)$  is no longer invariant under the *local rotations* (2.53), because  $\partial_A \phi'(x) = R(x) \partial_A \phi(x) + [\partial_A R(x)] \phi(x) \neq R(x) \partial_A \phi(x)$ . Just as in QED it is the transformation of the derivatives that will cause difficulties. By analogy with that case we require a *gauge covariant derivative*,  $D_A$ , to transform as

$$D_A \phi(x) \rightarrow D'_A \phi'(x) = R(x) D_A \phi(x) \quad (2.54)$$

where  $D_A$  is to be understood as a  $N \times N$  matrix carrying a Dirac index,  $A$ , and operating on the  $N$  component scalar bosonic field,  $\phi(x)$ .

There are  $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$  group generators and we introduce one vector gauge boson,  $W_{\mu\nu}^A(x)$  for each and define

$$\begin{aligned} D^A \phi(x) &= \left[ \partial^A - \frac{ig}{2} M_{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}^A(x) \right] \phi(x) = \left[ \partial^A - \frac{ig}{2} \hat{W}^A(x) \right] \phi(x) \\ \hat{W}^A(x) &\equiv M_{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}^A(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.55)$$

where  $g$  is a coupling constant.

Next, we determine the transformation law for the vector gauge boson. Substituting Eqs.(2.53) and (2.55) in Eq.(2.54) and using the fact  $R(x)R^T(x) = 1$  which implies  $[\partial_A R(x)]R^T(x) = -R(x)\partial_A R^T(x)$ , we get

$$\hat{W}'_A(x) = R(x) \left[ \hat{W}_A(x) + \frac{2i}{g} \partial_A \right] R^T(x) \quad (2.56)$$

Using Eq.(2.8) and the expression,  $R(x) \approx (\mathbf{1} + \frac{i}{2} a_{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha\beta})$ , we obtain after some algebra, the local transformation law for the vector bosons

$$W_{\mu\nu}^A(x) \rightarrow W_{\mu\nu}'^A(x) = W_{\mu\nu}^A(x) + a_{\mu\rho}(x) W_{\rho\nu}^A(x)$$

$$+a_{\nu\lambda}(x)W_{\mu\lambda}^A(x) + \frac{1}{g}\partial^A a_{\mu\nu}(x),$$

with  $W_{\mu\nu}^A(x) = -W_{\nu\mu}^A(x)$  (2.57)

In analogy with QED, we define the *field strength tensor*,  $F_{\mu\nu}^{AB}(x)$  as

$$[D_A, D_B] = -\frac{ig}{2}\hat{F}_{AB}(x),$$

where  $\hat{F}^{AB}(x) = M_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^{AB}(x)$  (2.58)

Substituting Eq.(2.55) into (2.58) and together with Eq.(2.8) and the infinitesimal expression for  $R(x)$ , we get

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{AB}(x) = \partial^A W_{\mu\nu}^B(x) - \partial^B W_{\mu\nu}^A(x) - g \left[ W_{\mu\sigma}^A(x)W_{\sigma\nu}^B(x) - W_{\mu\sigma}^B(x)W_{\sigma\nu}^A(x) \right] \quad (2.59)$$

To find the transformation law for  $F^{AB}$  we left and right multiply Eq.(2.58) by  $R(x)$  and  $R^T(x)$ , respectively, to obtain

$$\hat{F}'_{AB}(x) = R(x)\hat{F}_{AB}(x)R^T(x) \quad (2.60)$$

where we have used the fact that  $D'_A(x) = R(x)D_A R^T(x)$  which follows directly from Eqs.(2.53) and (2.54). Note that Eq.(2.60) is in the form of Eq.(2.56), hence the corresponding infinitesimal result (2.57) applies without the derivative term:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{AB}(x) \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}'^{AB}(x) = F_{\mu\nu}^{AB}(x) + a_{\mu\rho}(x)F_{\rho\nu}^{AB}(x) + a_{\nu\lambda}(x)F_{\mu\lambda}^{AB}(x) \quad (2.61)$$

After the introduction of local transformation the Lagrangian (2.30) must be replaced by

$$\mathbb{L} = \frac{1}{2}D_A\phi^T(x)D^A\phi(x)$$



$$= \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \phi_\mu \partial^A \phi_\mu - g (\partial_A \phi_\mu) W_{\mu\nu}^A \phi_\nu - \frac{g^2}{2} \phi_\mu W_{A\mu\nu} W_{\nu\sigma}^A \phi_\sigma \quad (2.62)$$

In order to define the system including the new gauge field,  $W_{\mu\nu}^A(x)$ , it is necessary to include a kinetic energy term for  $W_{\mu\nu}^A(x)$ :

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{AB\mu\nu}(x) F_{\mu\nu}^{AB}(x) + \frac{1}{2} D_A \phi^T(x) D^A \phi(x) \quad (2.63)$$

## 2. Scalar boson in the $2^{nd}$ rank antisymmetric tensor representation

Recall from Eq.(2.32) that the second rank antisymmetric tensor,  $\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})}$  transforms as  $\Phi'^{(\mathcal{A})} = R \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} R^T$ . Then just as in the case of the vector representation we want the covariant derivative to transform like Eq.(2.32):

$$\left( D_A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} \right)' = R(x) \left( D_A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} \right) R^T(x) \quad (2.64)$$

Then the covariant derivative,  $D_A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})}$  in terms of *Lie-valued* gauge fields, which has the transformation property (2.64), is given by

$$D_A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} = \partial_A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} - \frac{ig}{2} \left( \hat{W}_A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} + \hat{W}_A^T \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} \right) \quad (2.65)$$

Inserting the generators, we find the expression for the covariant derivative to be

$$\left( D^A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} \right)_{\mu\nu} = \partial^A \Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})} - g \left( W_{\mu\sigma}^A \Phi_{\sigma\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})} - W_{\nu\sigma}^A \Phi_{\sigma\mu}^{(\mathcal{A})} \right) \quad (2.66)$$

The total Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{AB\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{AB} + \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \left( D_A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})T} D^A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} \right) \quad (2.67)$$

### 3. Scalar boson in the $2^{nd}$ rank symmetric tensor representation

Recall from Eq.(2.39) that the  $2^{nd}$  rank symmetric tensor,  $\Phi^{(S)}$ , transforms as  $\Phi'^{(S)} = R\Phi^{(S)}R^T$ . Hence, the results for this case will be identical to that for the  $2^{nd}$  rank antisymmetric tensor case. Therefore

$$\left(D^A\Phi^{(S)}\right)_{\mu\nu} = \partial^A\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(S)} - g\left(W_{\mu\sigma}^A\Phi_{\sigma\nu}^{(S)} - W_{\nu\sigma}^A\Phi_{\sigma\mu}^{(S)}\right) \quad (2.68)$$

$$\mathbb{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{AB\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^{AB} + \frac{1}{4}tr\left(D_A\Phi^{(S)T}D^A\Phi^{(S)}\right) \quad (2.69)$$

and of course

$$\left(D_A\Phi^{(S)}\right)' = R(x)\left(D_A\Phi^{(S)}\right)R^T(x) \quad (2.70)$$

### 4. Scalar boson in the general $r^{th}$ rank tensor representation

Recall from Eq.(2.50) the transformation law for an arbitrary antisymmetric and symmetric tensor of rank  $r$ :  $\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},S)'} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1}R_{\mu_2\nu_2}\dots R_{\mu_r\nu_r}\Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},S)}$ . Hence, we require that the corresponding covariant derivative,  $D_A\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},S)'}$ , transforms as

$$\left(D_A\Phi^{(\mathcal{A},S)'}\right)_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_r} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1}R_{\mu_2\nu_2}\dots R_{\mu_r\nu_r}\left(D_A\Phi^{(\mathcal{A},S)'}\right)_{\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_r} \quad (2.71)$$

The expression for the covariant derivative is then given by

$$\left(D^A\Phi^{(\mathcal{A},S)}\right)_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_r} = \partial^A\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_r}^{(\mathcal{A},S)'} - g\sum_P(-1)^{\delta_P}W_{\mu_1 P(1)\nu}^A\Phi_{\nu\mu_2 P(2)\mu_3 P(3)\dots\mu_r P(r)}^{(\mathcal{A},S)'} \quad (2.72)$$

For example, in the case of  $3^{rd}$  rank tensor, the above result takes the form

$$\left(D^A \Phi^{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})}\right)_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} = \partial^A \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})'} - g \left( W_{\mu_1 \nu}^A \Phi_{\nu \mu_2 \mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})'} - W_{\mu_2 \nu}^A \Phi_{\nu \mu_1 \mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})'} + W_{\mu_3 \nu}^A \Phi_{\nu \mu_1 \mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})'} \right) \quad (2.73)$$

## 2.3 Digression: general construction of matrix generators of $U(N)$ and $SU(N)$

A general, *unitary group*,  $U(N)$  has  $N^2$  generators each of which is an  $N \times N$  Hermitian matrix (unitarity of the group element implies Hermiticity of the generators). On the other hand, a general *special unitary group*,  $SU(N)$  has  $N^2 - 1$ ,  $N \times N$  Hermitian and traceless (unimodularity of the group element implies tracelessness of the generators) matrices as generators.

UNITARY GROUP,  $U(N)$

The simplest representation of an  $N \times N$  matrix is one that has all entries zero except one non-vanishing element with value 1:

$$U_j^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & . & . & . & . & 0 \\ . & . & & 1 & & . \\ . & & . & & & . \\ . & & & . & & . \\ . & & & & . & . \\ 0 & . & . & . & . & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (2.74)$$

The matrix  $U_i^j$  has one matrix element with value 1 which is given by the intersection of  $i^{th}$  row and  $j^{th}$  column:

$$(U_j^i)_l^k = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} \quad (2.75)$$

Note that the off-diagonal matrices,  $U_i^j$  ( $i \neq j$ ), are non-Hermitian since  $(U_j^i)_l^{k\dagger} = (U_j^i)_l^{k*T} = (U_j^i)_k^l = \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} = (U_i^j)_l^k$ . However, the diagonal matrices,  $U_i^i$  are Hermitian:

$$\begin{aligned} U_j^{i\dagger} &= U_i^j; \quad i \neq j \\ U_i^{i\dagger} &= U_i^i \end{aligned} \quad (2.76)$$

Collecting other properties of the matrix  $U_i^j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} tr(U_j^i) &= 0; \quad i \neq j \\ tr(U_i^i) &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.77)$$

Now we build generators of  $U(N)$  by forming linear combinations of the matrices  $U_j^i$  for  $i \neq j$  so that they are necessarily Hermitian. The choice are the combinations

$$U_j^i + U_i^j \quad \text{and} \quad -i(U_j^i - U_i^j); \quad \forall i \neq j \quad (2.78)$$

One can easily verify the following properties of these matrix combinations:

$$\begin{aligned} [U_j^i + U_i^j]^\dagger &= U_j^i + U_i^j \\ [-i(U_j^i - U_i^j)]^\dagger &= -i(U_j^i - U_i^j) \\ tr[U_j^i + U_i^j] &= 0, \quad tr[-i(U_j^i - U_i^j)] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.79)$$

There are now a total of  $N^2$ ,  $N \times N$  Hermitian matrix generators of which there are  $N$  diagonal generators,  $U_i^i$  and  $(2\binom{N}{2} =) N(N-1)$  non-diagonal generators,  $U_j^i + U_i^j$  and  $-i(U_j^i - U_i^j)$ . They are all linearly independent. Summarizing,

$$\forall i \neq j = 1, 2, \dots, N; \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, N^2$$

$$U_a = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} U_j^i + U_i^j & \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \text{ generators} \\ -i(U_j^i - U_i^j) & \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \text{ generators} \\ U_i^i & N \text{ generators} \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.80)$$

An arbitrary group element of the  $U(N)$  group is then given by

$$e^{-i \sum_{a=1}^{N^2} \vartheta_a U_a} \quad (2.81)$$

where the angles,  $\vartheta_a$  parametrize the group transformation.

## SPECIAL UNITARY GROUP, $SU(N)$

We begin here by constructing traceless matrices,  $T_j^i$  from  $U_j^i$  as follows:

$$T_j^i = U_j^i - \frac{\delta_j^i}{N} \mathbf{1} \quad (2.82)$$

These are traceless because  $(T_j^i)_k^k = (U_j^i)_k^k - \delta_j^i = \begin{pmatrix} 1-1; & i=j \\ 0-0; & i \neq j \end{pmatrix}$ , where we have used Eq.(2.77). However, the matrices  $T_j^i$ , for  $i \neq j$  are not Hermitian because from Eq.(2.76),  $U_j^i$ 's are non-Hermitian for  $i \neq j$ . As before, we construct linear combinations of  $T_j^i$ 's which are Hermitian:

$$T_j^i + T_i^j \quad \text{and} \quad -i(T_j^i - T_i^j); \quad i \neq j \quad (2.83)$$

Note that  $T_j^i + T_i^j = U_j^i + U_i^j$  and  $-i(T_j^i - T_i^j) = -i(U_j^i - U_i^j)$ .

The  $N$  diagonal Hermitian traceless generators are  $T_i^i = U_i^i - \frac{1}{N}\mathbf{1}$  ( $T_i^{i\dagger} = T_i^i$ ). Since the rank of  $SU(N)$  group is  $N - 1$ , not all of the  $N$  diagonal generators are independent and  $N - 1$  of them can be simultaneously diagonalized. It is common to form the following linear combinations:

$$n_1 (T_i^i + T_{i+1}^{i+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad n_2 (T_i^i - T_{i+1}^{i+1}); \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1 \quad (2.84)$$

where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are normalization factors to be determined. Note that these are a total of  $2(N - 1)$  matrices and we may choose any  $N - 1$  matrices. Next, we determine the normalization factors from the convention that the traces of squared matrices of  $SU(N)$  are equal to 2:

$$\text{tr} (T_j^{i2}) = 2 \quad (2.85)$$

From Eqs.(2.74) and (2.82),  $n_1 (T_i^i + T_{i+1}^{i+1}) = n_1 (U_i^i + U_{i+1}^{i+1} - \frac{2}{N}\mathbf{1}) = n_1 \times \text{diag}(-\frac{2}{N}, \dots, -\frac{2}{N}, 1 - \frac{2}{N}, 1 - \frac{2}{N}, -\frac{2}{N}, \dots, -\frac{2}{N})$ , where the element  $1 - \frac{2}{N}$  occurs twice at the intersections of  $\alpha^{th}$  row,  $\alpha^{th}$  column and  $(\alpha + 1)^{th}$  row,  $(\alpha + 1)^{th}$  column. Squaring this matrix gives  $n_1^2 (T_i^i + T_{i+1}^{i+1})^2 = n_1^2 \text{diag}(\frac{4}{N^2}, \dots, -\frac{4}{N^2}, (1 - \frac{2}{N})^2, (1 - \frac{2}{N})^2, \frac{4}{N^2}, \dots, \frac{4}{N^2})$ . Finally,  $\text{tr} [n_1^2 (T_i^i + T_{i+1}^{i+1})^2] = n_1^2 [2(1 - \frac{2}{N})^2 + (N - 2)\frac{4}{N^2}] = 2n_1^2(\frac{N-2}{N})$ . On using Eq.(2.85), we get  $n_1 = \sqrt{\frac{N}{N-2}}$ .

The second normalization is rather easy to determine. From Eqs.(2.74) and (2.82),  $n_2 (T_i^i - T_{i+1}^{i+1}) = n_2 (U_i^i - U_{i+1}^{i+1}) = n_2 \text{diag}(0, \dots, 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Squaring and taking the trace of this matrix gives  $\text{tr} [n_2^2 (T_i^i - T_{i+1}^{i+1})^2] = 2$ . Thus, from Eq.(2.85), we have  $n_2 = 1$ .

Summarizing,

$$a = 1, 2, \dots, N^2 - 1$$

$$T_a = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left[ \begin{array}{ll} T_j^i + T_i^j & \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \text{ generators} \\ -i(T_j^i - T_i^j) & \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \text{ generators} \end{array} \right]; \forall i \neq j = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ \left[ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{\frac{N}{N-2}}(T_i^i + T_{i+1}^{i+1}) & N-1 \text{ generators} \\ (T_i^i - T_{i+1}^{i+1}) & N-1 \text{ generators} \end{array} \right]; i = 1, \dots, N-1 \end{array} \right. \quad (2.86)$$

Any  $N-1$  of the total  $2(N-1)$  diagonal generators above are allowed. It is also instructive to express  $T_a$ 's in terms of  $U_j^i$ 's,

$$a = 1, 2, \dots, N^2 - 1$$

$$T_a = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left[ \begin{array}{ll} U_j^i + U_i^j & \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \text{ generators} \\ -i(U_j^i - U_i^j) & \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \text{ generators} \end{array} \right]; \forall i \neq j = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ \left[ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{\frac{N}{N-2}}(U_i^i + U_{i+1}^{i+1} - \frac{2}{N}\mathbf{1}) & N-1 \text{ generators} \\ (U_i^i - U_{i+1}^{i+1}) & N-1 \text{ generators} \end{array} \right]; i = 1, \dots, N-1 \end{array} \right. \quad (2.87)$$

The generators  $T_a$  satisfy

$$[T_a, T_b] = if_{abc}T_c \quad (2.88)$$

An arbitrary group element of the  $SU(N)$  group is then given by

$$e^{-i \sum_{a=1}^{N^2-1} \varphi_a T_a} \quad (2.89)$$

where the angles,  $\varphi_a$ , parametrize the group transformation.

## 2.4 SO(2N) algebra in a U(N) basis

COMPLETE EMBEDDING OF U(N) INTO SO(2N)

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  given by

$$P = W + iX \quad \text{and} \quad Q = Y + iZ \quad (2.90)$$

be  $N$  dimensional complex column vectors of the  $U(N)$  group where  $W$ ,  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  are real vectors. Then the  $U(N)$  group transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} P' &= SP, \quad Q' = SQ \\ S &= e^{ib.M_{U(N)}}; \quad S^\dagger S = SS^\dagger = \mathbf{1}; \quad M_{U(N)} = M_{U(N)}^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (2.91)$$

leaves the following scalar products invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} P^\dagger P &= W^T W + X^T X \\ Q^\dagger Q &= Y^T Y + Z^T Z \\ Q^\dagger P &= Y^T W + Z^T X + i(Y^T X - Z^T W) \end{aligned} \quad (2.92)$$

Now, define two  $2N$  dimensional vectors as follows:

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi = \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.93)$$

The above  $U(N)$  invariants can now be expressed in terms of  $\rho$  and  $\xi$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^T \rho &= W^T W + X^T X \\ \xi^T \xi &= Y^T Y + Z^T Z \\ \xi^T \rho &= Y^T W + Z^T X \\ \xi^T \mathbf{J} \rho &= Y^T X - Z^T W, \quad \mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (2.94)$$



Next, consider the  $SO(2N)$  group acting on the real  $2N$  dimensional vectors  $\rho$  and  $\xi$ . Then, the  $SO(2N)$  group transformations

$$\rho' = R\rho, \quad \xi' = R\xi$$

$$\text{where } R = e^{ia.M_{SO(2N)}}$$

$$\text{with } R^T R = R R^T = \mathbf{1} \text{ and } M_{SO(2N)} = -M_{SO(2N)}^T \quad (2.95)$$

leaves the following scalar products invariant:

$$\rho^T \rho, \quad \xi^T \xi, \quad \xi^T \rho \quad (2.96)$$

Since these quantities in Eq.(2.96), which are  $SO(2N)$  invariants and are also  $U(N)$  invariants (see Eq.(2.94)),  $U(N)$  is a "natural" subgroup of  $SO(2N)$ .

Note that since  $R \in SO(2N)$ , the antisymmetric generators  $M_{SO(2N)}$  in the basis of Eq.(2.93), can be written as

$$M_{SO(2N)} = i \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ -\mathbf{B}^T & \mathbf{C} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.97)$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  are real antisymmetric ( $\mathbf{A} = -\mathbf{A}^T$ ,  $\mathbf{C} = -\mathbf{C}^T$ )  $N \times N$  matrices while  $\mathbf{B}$  is an arbitrary real  $N \times N$  matrix.

Additionally, if we impose that  $R \in U(N)$ , then  $M_{SO(2N)}$  is also a generator of  $U(N)$ :  $M_{SO(2N)} \supset M_{U(N)}$ . Then the corresponding transformation must also leave the fourth quantity in Eq.(2.94) invariant:  $\xi'^T \mathbf{J} \rho' = \xi^T \mathbf{J} \rho \Rightarrow e^{ia.M_{U(N)}^T} \mathbf{J} e^{ia.M_{U(N)}}$ , which under infinitesimal transformations takes the form

$$M_{U(N)}^T \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J} M_{U(N)} = 0 \quad (2.98)$$

Inserting Eq.(2.97) into Eq.(2.98) gives

$$M_{U(N)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ -\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{A} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.99)$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is a real  $N \times N$  antisymmetric matrix and  $\mathbf{B}$  is a real  $N \times N$  symmetric matrix.

The number of independent elements in  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are  $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)$ , respectively, giving a total of  $N^2$  independent elements in  $M_{U(N)}$ . The traceless matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  (since  $\mathbf{A}$  is antisymmetric) and the traceless part of matrices  $i\mathbf{B}$ :  $i[\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{N}\text{tr}(\mathbf{B})\mathbf{1}]$  will form the adjoint  $N^2 - 1$  dimensional representation of the  $SU(N)$  group and the trace of  $\mathbf{B}$ :  $\frac{i}{N}\text{tr}(\mathbf{B})\mathbf{1}$  will be an  $SU(N)$  singlet. This term generates the  $U(1)$  group of complex phase transformations. Thus, we have the decomposition  $SO(2N) \rightarrow U(N) \rightarrow SU(N) \otimes U(1)$ .

However, the adjoint and singlet representations of  $SU(N)$  is not the full story. There are other generators of  $SO(2N)$  that are not in  $M_{U(N)}$ . These remaining  $\binom{2N}{2} - N^2 = N(N-1)$  generators of  $SO(2N)$  form two antisymmetric tensor representations of  $U(N)$ :  $-\mathbf{K} \pm i\mathbf{L}$  each of dimension  $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} & -\mathbf{K} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.100)$$

This can be seen from the following argument. From above we have learned that  $2N$  dimensional real vector,  $\begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \end{pmatrix}$ , of  $SO(2N)$ , decomposes into  $N \oplus \bar{N}$  dimensional vectors of  $SU(N)$  corresponding to  $W \pm iX$ . Further, the (anti-symmetric) generators of  $SO(2N)$  can be associated with  $2^{nd}$  rank antisym-

metric tensors. Thus, under  $SO(2N) \supset SU(N) \otimes U(1)$  decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}
[2N \otimes 2N]_{as} &\supset \left\{ (N \oplus \overline{N}) \otimes (N \oplus \overline{N}) \right\}_{as} \\
\left[ \binom{2N}{2} \right] &\supset \{N \otimes N\}_{as} \oplus \{\overline{N} \otimes \overline{N}\}_{as} \oplus \{N \otimes \overline{N}\} \\
[N(2N-1)] &\supset \left\{ \frac{1}{2}N(N-1) \right\} \oplus \left\{ \frac{1}{2}N(N-1) \right\} \oplus \{N^2-1\} \oplus \{1\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.101}$$

Altogether,

$$M_{SO(2N)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{L} \\ -\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{K} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.102}$$

Summarizing:

- $N^2 - 1$  dimensional adjoint of  $SU(N)$  is formed from  $\mathbf{A} + i \left( \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{N} \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}) \mathbf{1} \right)$
- Singlet of  $SU(N)$  is formed from  $\frac{1}{N} \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}) \mathbf{1}$
- Antisymmetric representations of  $SU(N)$  is formed from  $-\mathbf{K} + i\mathbf{L}$  and  $-\mathbf{K} - i\mathbf{L}$  each of dimensionality  $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$
- $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{K}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}$  are real  $N \times N$  antisymmetric matrices and  $\mathbf{B}$  is a real  $N \times N$  symmetric matrix.

#### GENERATORS OF $SU(N)$ IN TERMS OF $SO(2N)$

For compactness and clarity we drop the subscript from  $M_{SO(2N)}$  and write them simply as  $M$ . Looking at the block structure of  $M$  in Eq.(2.102), we can make the following assignments ( $i, j = 1, ..N$ )

$$\mathbf{A}_j^i = -\frac{1}{2} (M_{ij} + M_{i+N \ j+N}), \quad \mathbf{B}_j^i = \frac{1}{2} (M_{i \ j+N} + M_{j \ i+N})$$

$$\mathbf{K}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (M_{ij} - M_{i+N \ j+N}), \quad \mathbf{L}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (M_{i \ j+N} - M_{j \ i+N}) \quad (2.103)$$

The generators of  $U(N)$  group are  $U_j^i$ , defined by

$$U_j^i = \mathbf{A}_j^i + i\mathbf{B}_j^i \quad (2.104)$$

while the  $SU(N)$  generators,  $T_j^i$ , are given by

$$T_j^i = U_j^i - \frac{1}{N} U_k^k \delta_j^i \quad (2.105)$$

while the  $U(1)$  generator,  $Y$ , is given by

$$Y = U_k^k \quad (2.106)$$

Lastly, the broken generators of  $SO(2N)$  group,  $V^{ij}$  and  $\bar{V}_{ij}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} V^{ij} &= -\mathbf{K}^{ij} - i\mathbf{L}^{ij} \\ \bar{V}_{ij} &= -\mathbf{K}^{ij} + i\mathbf{L}^{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (2.107)$$

#### DECOMPOSITION OF TENSOR REPRESENTATIONS OF $SO(2N)$ UNDER THE SUBGROUP $SU(N) \otimes U(1)$

The irreducible tensor representations of  $SO(2N)$  can be decomposed under  $SO(2N) \supset SU(N) \otimes U(1)$  by forming tensor products and using Young tableau.

1. Vector of  $SO(2N)$

This case was already considered in the previous subsections:

$$[2N] \supset \{N\} \oplus \{\overline{N}\} \quad (2.108)$$

2.  $2^{nd}$  rank tensors of  $SO(2N)$

The antisymmetric tensor representation was also considered in the previous sections

$$[N(2N-1)] \supset \{1/2N(N-1)\} \oplus \{\overline{1/2N(N-1)}\} \oplus \{N^2-1\} \oplus \{1\} \quad (2.109)$$

In the case of  $2^{nd}$  rank symmetric traceless tensor of dimensionality  $\binom{2n+1}{2} - \binom{2N}{0}$ , we get  $[2N \otimes 2N]_s \supset \{(N \oplus \overline{N}) \otimes (N \oplus \overline{N})\}_s$  which on simplifying gives

$$[N(2N+1)-1] \supset \{1/2N(N+1)\} \oplus \{\overline{1/2N(N+1)}\} \oplus \{N^2-1\} \oplus \{1\} \quad (2.110)$$

3.  $3^{rd}$  rank tensors of  $SO(2N)$

Here we form an anti-symmetrized and a symmetrized product of three vectors and subtract off the trace in the case of a symmetric tensor representation.

The result for the decomposition of antisymmetric tensor representation with dimensionality  $\binom{2N}{3}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
[2N/3(2N-1)(N-1)] &\supset \{1/6N(N-1)(N-2)\} \\
&\oplus \{\overline{1/6N(N-1)(N-2)}\} \oplus \{1/2N(N+1)(N-2)\} \\
&\oplus \{\overline{1/2N(N+1)(N-2)}\} \oplus \{N\} \oplus \{\overline{N}\} \quad (2.111)
\end{aligned}$$

While the result for the symmetric traceless tensor representation of dimensionality  $\binom{2N+2}{3} - \binom{2N}{1}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
[2N/3(2N+1)(N+1) - 2N] &\supset \{1/6N(N+1)(N+2)\} \\
&\oplus \{\overline{1/6N(N+1)(N+2)}\} \oplus \{1/2N(N-1)(N+2)\} \\
&\oplus \{\overline{1/2N(N-1)(N+2)}\} \quad (2.112)
\end{aligned}$$

#### 4. 4<sup>th</sup> rank tensors of $SO(2N)$

Using the technique as before, we have the following decomposition of the  $\binom{2N}{4}$  component 4<sup>th</sup> rank antisymmetric tensor representation

$$\begin{aligned}
[1/6N(N-1)(2N-1)(2N-3)] &\supset \{1/24N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)\} \\
&\oplus \{\overline{1/24N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)}\} \oplus \{1/6N(N+1)(N-1)(N-3)\} \\
&\oplus \{\overline{1/6N(N+1)(N-1)(N-3)}\} \oplus \{1/2N(N-1)\}\{\overline{1/2N(N-1)}\} \\
&\oplus \{1/4N^2(N+1)(N-3)\} \oplus \{N^2-1\} \oplus \{1\} \quad (2.113)
\end{aligned}$$

For the case of symmetric traceless tensor representation of dimensionality  $\binom{2N+3}{4} - \binom{2N+1}{2}$ , the decomposition is

$$\begin{aligned}
& [1/6N(N+1)(2N+1)(2N+3) - N(2N+1)] \supset \\
& \{1/24N(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)\} \oplus \{\overline{1/24N(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)}\} \\
& \oplus \{1/6N(N+1)(N-1)(N+3)\} \oplus \{\overline{1/6N(N+1)(N-1)(N+3)}\} \\
& \oplus \{1/4N^2(N-1)(N+3)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.114}$$

5. 5<sup>th</sup> rank tensors of  $SO(2N)$

The 5<sup>th</sup> rank antisymmetric tensor representation has dimensionality is  $\binom{2N}{5}$  and can be decomposed as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& [1/15N(2N-1)(N-1)(2N-3)(N-2)] \supset \\
& \{1/120N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)(N-4)\} \\
& \oplus \{\overline{1/120N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)(N-4)}\} \\
& \oplus \{1/24N(N+1)(N-1)(N-2)(N-4)\} \\
& \oplus \{\overline{1/24N(N+1)(N-1)(N-2)(N-4)}\} \\
& \oplus \{1/6N(N-1)(N-2)\} \oplus \{\overline{1/6N(N-1)(N-2)}\} \\
& \oplus \{1/12N^2(N+1)(N-1)(N-4)\} \oplus \{\overline{1/12N^2(N+1)(N-1)(N-4)}\} \\
& \oplus \{1/2N(N+1)(N-2)\} \oplus \{\overline{1/2N(N+1)(N-2)}\} \oplus \{N\} \oplus \{\overline{N}\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.115}$$

For the case of symmetric traceless tensor representation of dimensionality

$\binom{2N+4}{5} - \binom{2N+2}{3}$ , the decomposition is

$$\begin{aligned}
& [1/15N(N+1)(2N+1)(2N+3)(N+2) - 2N/3(2N+1)(N+1)] \supset \\
& \quad \{1/120N(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)(N+4)\} \\
& \quad \oplus \overline{\{1/120N(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)(N+4)\}} \\
& \quad \oplus \{1/24N(N+1)(N-1)(N+2)(N+4)\} \\
& \quad \oplus \overline{\{1/24N(N+1)(N-1)(N+2)(N+4)\}} \\
& \quad \oplus \{1/4N^2(N-1)(N+3)\} \oplus \overline{\{1/4N^2(N-1)(N+3)\}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.116}$$

## 2.5 Spinor representation of $SO(2N)$

### INTRODUCING CLIFFORD ALGEBRA

The spinor representations of  $SO(2N)$  are most easily studied by the introduction of the generalized Dirac  $\Gamma$  matrices. To that end, recall that the special orthogonal group  $SO(2N)$  can be considered as those linear transformation ( $X'_\mu = R_{\mu\nu}X_\nu$ ) on the coordinates  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2N}$  such that the quadratic form:  $X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_{2N}^2$  is invariant. Now, if we write this quadratic form as the square of a linear form of  $X_\mu$ 's, then

$$X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_{2N}^2 = (\Gamma_1 X_1 + \Gamma_1 X_1 + \dots \Gamma_{2N} X_{2N})^2 \tag{2.117}$$

This requires that

$$\{\Gamma_\mu, \Gamma_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}; \quad \mu, \nu = 1, \dots, 2N \tag{2.118}$$



$\Gamma_\mu$  defines a rank  $2N$  *Clifford algebra*. It is obvious from the last equation that

$$\Gamma_\mu^2 = \mathbf{1} \quad \text{no sum on } \mu \quad (2.119)$$

Multiply both sides of Eq.(2.118) by  $\Gamma_\nu$  and use Eq.(2.119) to get  $\Gamma_\nu \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu = \Gamma_\mu$  (no sum on  $\nu$ ). If we assume a matrix representation of  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's, then taking trace of this last expression we get  $tr(\Gamma_\mu) = tr(\Gamma_\nu \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu) = tr(-\Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_\nu) = -tr(\Gamma_\mu)$ . Hence,

$$tr(\Gamma_\mu) = 0 \quad (2.120)$$

#### EXISTENCE OF SPINORIAL REPRESENTATIONS

We show the existence of spinorial representations by explicit construction of  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's. We necessarily make them Hermitian:  $\Gamma_\mu = \Gamma_\mu^\dagger$ . This means we can choose a representation in which  $\Gamma_\mu$  is diagonal. Thus, Eq.(2.119) implies that the (diagonal elements) eigenvalues of  $\Gamma_\mu$  are either  $+1$  or  $-1$  and together with Eq.(2.120) we conclude that the number of  $+1$ 's and  $-1$ 's have to be the same. Thus  $\Gamma_\mu$  are even dimensional matrices.

In general there are two ways to represent the  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's. One is through the  $2^N \times 2^N$  generalized Dirac matrices formed from the direct products of Pauli matrices. The other is by means of creation and annihilation operators acting on a Hilbert space. We will discuss both of these techniques, however, we rely heavily on the latter method.

Recall that the  $2 \times 2$  Pauli spin matrices ( $N = 1$ )

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.121)$$

satisfy the properties

$$\{\sigma_a, \sigma_b\} = 2\delta_{ab}; \quad a, b = 1, 2, 3 \quad (2.122)$$

Thus, one possibility for  $\gamma_\mu$ 's ( $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ ) is to express them in terms of Pauli matrices in the following specific way

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{2i} &= \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_3; \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\ \Gamma_{2i-1} &= \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_3; \quad i = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (2.123)$$

The  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix,  $\mathbf{1}$ , occurs  $(i-1)$  times and  $\sigma_3$  occurs  $(N-i)$  times in each of these expressions above. Further, each of the  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  occur once at the  $i^{th}$  position. Each of the Kronecker products contain  $N$ ,  $2 \times 2$  matrices. Hence  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's are  $2^N \times 2^N$  matrices. It is very easy to verify that the  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's given by Eq.(2.123) satisfies Eq.(2.118).

For the second technique, we define a set of  $N$  fermionic creation and annihilation operators  $b_i$  and  $b_i^\dagger$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ), obeying the anti-commutation rules

$$\{b_i, b_j^\dagger\} = \delta_i^j; \quad \{b_i, b_j\} = 0; \quad \{b_i^\dagger, b_j^\dagger\} = 0 \quad (2.124)$$

and represent the set of  $N$  Hermitian operators  $\Gamma_\mu$  ( $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{2i} &= (b_i + b_i^\dagger); \quad \Gamma_{2i-1} = -i(b_i - b_i^\dagger) \\ \Gamma_\mu &= \Gamma_\mu^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (2.125)$$

# GENERATORS OF $SO(2N)$ IN THE SPINOR REPRESENTATIONS - CONSTRUCTION OF SPINORS

First, recall that the  $2N \times 2N$  matrix,  $R$ , is an orthogonal matrix:  $R^T R = R R^T = \mathbf{1}$  and is associated with rotations through angle  $a_{\mu\nu}$  in the  $\mu - \nu$  plane in the  $2N$  dimensional coordinate space:  $X'_\mu = R_{\mu\nu} X_\nu$  where  $R(a) = e^{\frac{i}{2} a_{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha\beta}}$  with  $a_{\alpha\beta} = -a_{\beta\alpha}$ .

In order to construct the generators and spinors in  $SO(2N)$  we ask the following two questions

*How does  $\Gamma_\mu$  transform under the transformation  $X'_\mu = R_{\mu\nu} X_\nu$ ?*

The answer is simple. The following transformation on  $\Gamma_\mu$ :

$$\Gamma_\mu \rightarrow \Gamma'_\mu = R_{\mu\nu} \Gamma_\nu \quad (2.126)$$

will generate the Clifford algebra:  $\{\Gamma'_\mu, \Gamma'_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$ .

*How does an  $SO(2N)$  spinor,  $\Psi(X)$ , transform under the transformation  $X'_\mu = R_{\mu\nu} X_\nu$ ?*

To answer this question, we define the following transformation on the spinor

$$\Psi(X) \rightarrow \Psi'(X') = S(R)\Psi(X) \quad (2.127)$$

where we have introduced a spinor by means of an  $2^N$  dimensional column

vector

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(x) \\ \Psi_2(x) \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \Psi_{2^N}(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.128)$$

The unknown transformation matrix,  $S(R)$ , induces a similarity transformation on the matrices  $\Gamma_\mu$ :

$$\Gamma'_\mu = S(R)\Gamma_\mu S^{-1}(R) \quad (2.129)$$

This is true because  $\Gamma'_\mu$ , given by Eq.(2.129), also satisfies the Clifford algebra.  $S(R)$  is called a spinor representation of the  $SO(N)$  group. The notation  $\Delta$  is usually used for the spinor representation.

Eqs.(2.126) and (2.129) implies

$$R_{\mu\nu}\Gamma_\nu = S(R)\Gamma_\mu S^{-1}(R) \quad (2.130)$$

Next, we construct the explicit form of the transformation matrix,  $S(R)$ . We write

$$S(R) = e^{-\frac{i}{4}a_{\alpha\beta}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}} \quad (2.131)$$

where  $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$  are the spinorial generators to be determined. For infinitesimal rotations Eqs.(2.130) and (2.131) gives  $\left(\mathbf{1} - \frac{i}{4}a_{\alpha\beta}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}\right)\Gamma_\mu\left(\mathbf{1} + \frac{i}{4}a_{\sigma\epsilon}\Sigma_{\sigma\epsilon}\right) = \Gamma_\nu(\delta_{\nu\mu} + a_{\nu\mu})$ . On simplification, it gives

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\Gamma_\mu, \Gamma_\nu] \quad (2.132)$$

Note  $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$  is antisymmetric ( $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = -\Sigma_{\nu\mu}$ ) as expected and Hermitian ( $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^\dagger = \Sigma_{\mu\nu}$ ). One useful form of  $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$  is in terms of creation and annihilation operators. Using Eqs.(2.125) and (2.132) the generators can be easily put in a more useful form

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{2j-1 \ 2k-1} &= \frac{i}{2} [b_j, b_k^\dagger] - \frac{i}{2} [b_k, b_j^\dagger] - i (b_j b_k + b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger) \\ \Sigma_{2j \ 2k-1} &= \frac{1}{2} [b_j, b_k^\dagger] + \frac{1}{2} [b_k, b_j^\dagger] - (b_j b_k - b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger) \\ \Sigma_{2j \ 2k} &= \frac{i}{2} [b_j, b_k^\dagger] - \frac{i}{2} [b_k, b_j^\dagger] + i (b_j b_k + b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger)\end{aligned}\quad (2.133)$$

Further, these generators satisfy the usual  $SO(2N)$  Lie algebra

$$[\Sigma_{\mu\nu}, \Sigma_{\rho\sigma}] = -2i (\delta_{\mu\rho} \Sigma_{\nu\sigma} - \delta_{\mu\sigma} \Sigma_{\nu\rho} - \delta_{\nu\rho} \Sigma_{\mu\sigma} + \delta_{\nu\sigma} \Sigma_{\mu\rho}) \quad (2.134)$$

Note for  $\mu \neq \nu \neq \rho \neq \sigma$ ,  $[\Sigma_{\mu\nu}, \Sigma_{\rho\sigma}] = 0$ . This implies  $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\Sigma_{\rho\sigma}$  can be simultaneously diagonalized, that is there exists a basis in which both  $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\Sigma_{\rho\sigma}$  are represented by diagonal matrices. It is clear from Eq.(2.133) that  $\Sigma_{2k \ 2k-1} = N - 2b_i^\dagger b_i$  are the  $N$  diagonal generators and form the Cartan subalgebra of  $SO(2N)$ .

As a concluding remark, observe that the group element  $S(R)$  is unitary. This is because  $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$ 's are Hermitian.

$$\Psi^\dagger \rightarrow \Psi'^\dagger = \Psi^\dagger S^{-1}(R); \quad S^{-1}(R) = S^\dagger(R) \quad (2.135)$$

### global transformation

Assuming the parameters,  $a_{\alpha\beta}$  to be independent of space-time, the kinetic energy for the spinors given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_A \Psi^\dagger \partial^A \Psi \quad (2.136)$$

is globally invariant because  $\partial_A \Psi'^\dagger \partial^A \Psi' = \partial_A (\Psi^\dagger S^{-1}) \partial^A (S \Psi) = \partial_A \Psi^\dagger \partial^A \Psi$ , and where we have used Eqs.(2.127) and (2.135).

### local transformation

Here we introduce the covariant derivative,  $D_A$ , just as in the case for transformation of vectors and tensors under local transformations

$$D^A = \left[ \partial^A - \frac{ig}{2} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}^A \right] \quad (2.137)$$

with  $D_A$  transforming

$$D_A \rightarrow D'_A = S D_A S^{-1} \quad (2.138)$$

The covariant derivative acting on the spinor

$$(D^A \Psi)_\mu = \left[ \partial^A - \frac{ig}{2} (\Sigma_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu} W_{\alpha\beta}^A \right] \Psi_\nu \quad (2.139)$$

The corresponding complete locally invariant kinetic energy for the spinors is

$$\mathcal{L} = (D_A \Psi)^\dagger D^A \Psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{AB\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{AB} \quad (2.140)$$

where the second term represents the kinetic energy for the gauge fields,  $W_{\mu\nu}^A$  (see Eq.(2.59)).

#### FERMION IN THE SPINOR REPRESENTATION

##### global transformation

In the case of global transformations the kinetic energy is the Dirac Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^A\partial_A\Psi \quad (2.141)$$

where  $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger\gamma^0$  and  $\gamma^A$  are the Dirac matrices of the Lorentz group.

##### local transformation

In the case of a locally invariant kinetic energy we must replace the ordinary space-time derivative in the previous equation by the covariant derivative and add the kinetic energy for the gauge vector bosons

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^A D_A\Psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{AB\mu\nu}F^{AB}_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.142)$$

where the interaction gauge-fermionic part of the Lagrangian is

$$i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^A D_A\Psi = i\bar{\Psi}_\mu\gamma^A\partial_A\Psi_\mu + \frac{g}{4}\bar{\Psi}_\mu\gamma^A W_{\alpha\beta}^A(\Sigma_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu}\Psi_\nu \quad (2.143)$$

## ABSTRACT $SU(N)$ SUBGROUP

We begin with the identification that the operators  $u_j^i$ , given by

$$u_j^i = -\frac{1}{2} [b_i^\dagger, b_j] = -b_i^\dagger b_j + \delta_{ij} \quad (2.144)$$

satisfy the  $U(N)$  algebra

$$[u_j^i, u_l^k] = \delta_{il} u_j^k - \delta_{kj} u_l^i \quad (2.145)$$

That is, they are the generators of  $U(N)$  group. Further, the operators,  $u_j^i$  can be easily expressed as linear combinations of the  $SO(2N)$  generators,  $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$ 's, as follows

$$u_j^i = \frac{1}{4} (i\Sigma_{2i-2j} + i\Sigma_{2i-1-2j-1} + \Sigma_{2i-2j-1} + \Sigma_{2j-2i-1}) \quad (2.146)$$

Hence, the  $u_j^i$ 's generate a subalgebra, that is,  $U(N)$  is a subgroup of  $SO(2N)$ . If one recalls from Eq.(2.104),  $u_j^i$  is expressed in terms of an antisymmetric tensor,  $\mathbf{A}_j^i$  and symmetric tensor,  $\mathbf{B}_j^i$ . These are identified here as

$$\begin{aligned} u_j^i &= \mathbf{A}_j^i + i\mathbf{B}_j^i \\ \mathbf{A}_j^i &= -\frac{1}{4} ([b_i^\dagger, b_j] - [b_j^\dagger, b_i]) \\ \mathbf{B}_j^i &= \frac{i}{4} ([b_i^\dagger, b_j] + [b_j^\dagger, b_i]) \end{aligned} \quad (2.147)$$

Let's now identify all the spinorial generators of the  $SO(2N)$  group under the subgroup decomposition:

$$SO(2N) \quad \supset \quad SU(N) \times U(1)$$



$$N(2N-1) \quad \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \text{ 's} \quad \supset \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} N^2-1 & t_j^i \text{ 's} \\ \frac{1}{2}N(N-1) & V^{ij} \text{ 's} \\ \frac{1}{2}N(N-1) & \bar{V}_{ij} \text{ 's} \\ 1 & Y \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.148)$$

$t_j^i$ : Generators in the adjoint representation

$$\begin{aligned} t_j^i &= u_j^i - \frac{1}{N} \delta_j^i \sum_{k=1}^N u_k^k \\ t_j^i &= -b_i^\dagger b_j + \frac{1}{N} \delta_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N b_k^\dagger b_k \\ t_j^i &= \frac{1}{4} (i \Sigma_{2i-2j} + i \Sigma_{2i-1-2j-1} + \Sigma_{2i-2j-1} + \Sigma_{2j-2i-1}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2N} \delta_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \Sigma_{2k-2k-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.149)$$

One can identify these real ( $t_j^{i\dagger} = t_i^j$ ) operators,  $t_j^i$ 's of the *abstract*  $SU(N)$  group with the generators  $T_j^i$ 's of the *matrix*  $SU(N)$  group (see section 2.3), and construct Hermitian operators,  $t_a$ , exactly like Hermitian traceless generators which are given by Eq.(2.86),

$$a = 1, 2, \dots, N^2 - 1$$

$$t_a = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left[ \begin{array}{ll} t_j^i + t_i^j & \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \text{ generators} \\ -i(t_j^i - t_i^j) & \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \text{ generators} \end{array} \right]; \quad \forall i \neq j = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ \left[ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{\frac{N}{N-2}} (t_i^i + t_{i+1}^{i+1}) & N-1 \text{ generators} \\ (t_i^i - t_{i+1}^{i+1}) & N-1 \text{ generators} \end{array} \right]; \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1 \end{array} \right. \quad (2.150)$$

The Hermitian generators,  $t_a$ , can be expressed in terms of the real generators,  $t_j^i$ , by means of Hermitian traceless  $N \times N$  matrices,  $T_a$  ( $T_a = T_a^\dagger$ ,  $\text{tr} T_a =$

0) introduced in section 2.3, through the equation

$$t_a = \frac{1}{2}(T_a)_j^i t_i^j \quad (2.151)$$

Using Eq.(2.149) we get

$$t_a = -b_j^\dagger (T_a/2)_j^i b_i = -b_j^\dagger (T_a^*/2)_i^j b_i; \quad a = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1 \quad (2.152)$$

Clearly,  $t_a$ 's satisfy

$$[t_a, t_b] = i f_{abc} t_c \quad (2.153)$$

where  $f_{abc}$  are the structure constants of  $SU(N)$ .

$Y$ :  $U(1)_Y$  generator

$$Y = \sum_{k=1}^N u_k^k = \frac{N}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^N b_k^\dagger b_k = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{2k-1 \ 2k} \quad (2.154)$$

$Y$  commutes with all the generators of the  $SU(N)$  group and hence generates a  $U(1)$  subalgebra. One can easily verify that  $Y (b_{i_1}^\dagger b_{i_2}^\dagger \dots b_{i_m}^\dagger |0 >) = (\frac{N}{2} - m) \times (b_{i_1}^\dagger b_{i_2}^\dagger \dots b_{i_m}^\dagger |0 >)$ . This shows that the representation,  $[m] \equiv b_{i_1}^\dagger b_{i_2}^\dagger \dots b_{i_m}^\dagger |0 >$  in the spinor representations of  $SO(2N)$  has  $U(1)_Y$  charges,  $Q_Y = \frac{N}{2} - m$ , up to a normalization. Summarizing

$$\begin{aligned} [m] &\equiv b_{i_1}^\dagger b_{i_2}^\dagger \dots b_{i_m}^\dagger |0 > \\ Y [m] &= (N - 2m) [m] \end{aligned} \quad (2.155)$$

That is, the representation,  $[m]$  has  $N - 2m$ ,  $U(1)$  charges.

$V^{ij}$  and  $\bar{V}_{ij}$ : Antisymmetric tensors of  $SU(N)$

$$\begin{aligned} V^{ij} &= b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger = \frac{1}{4} (\Sigma_{2i} \Sigma_{2j-1} - \Sigma_{2j} \Sigma_{2i-1} + i\Sigma_{2i-1} \Sigma_{2j-1} - i\Sigma_{2i} \Sigma_{2j}) \\ \bar{V}_{ij} &= b_i b_j = \frac{1}{4} (-\Sigma_{2i} \Sigma_{2j-1} + \Sigma_{2j} \Sigma_{2i-1} + i\Sigma_{2i-1} \Sigma_{2j-1} - i\Sigma_{2i} \Sigma_{2j}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.156)$$

## 2.6 Decomposition of $SO(2N)$ spinor into antisymmetric tensors of $SU(N)$

Having shown the complete imbedding of  $SU(N)$  in  $SO(2N)$ , we expect that an arbitrary  $2^N$  spinor  $\Psi$  of  $SO(2N)$  can be represented by  $SU(N)$  tensors,  $\psi^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r}$  of  $r^{th}$  rank:  $\Psi = (\psi, \psi^{i_1}, \psi^{i_1 i_2}, \dots, \psi^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_N})$ . These  $SU(N)$  tensors have to be necessarily antisymmetric in their indices as can be seen by a simple dimensionality argument. Write the  $2^N$  dimensionality of  $SO(2N)$  spinor as  $(1 + 1)^N$  and use binomial theorem to write this further as  $\sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} = 1 + N + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1) + \dots + 1$ . These are exactly the dimensionalities of antisymmetric tensors.

We want to construct a basis for antisymmetric  $SU(N)$  tensors and also a basis for the  $SO(2N)$  spinor. For this reason we introduce a Fock vacuum,  $|0\rangle \equiv |0, 0, \dots, 0, 0\rangle$  ( $N$  unoccupied states), annihilated by the destruction operators

$$b_i |0\rangle = 0; \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N \quad (2.157)$$

One  $i^{th}$  occupied state for a fermion out of a possible  $N$  states could be denoted as a ket state  $\Omega_i \equiv |0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0\rangle = b_i^\dagger |0\rangle$ . One can then, in

principle, generalize it to ket states of the form:

$$\Omega_{m,i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} \equiv b_{i_1}^\dagger b_{i_2}^\dagger \dots b_{i_m}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (2.158)$$

denoting a state occupied by  $m$  fermions in positions  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$ . These strings of 0's and 1's span a  $2^N$  dimensional Hilbert space. The corresponding bra state is

$$\Omega_{m,i_m \dots i_2 i_1}^\dagger = \langle 0 | b_{i_m} \dots b_{i_2} b_{i_1} \quad (2.159)$$

It is very easy to verify that the ket and bra states satisfy the orthonormality relations

$$\Omega_{r,i_r \dots i_2 i_1}^\dagger \Omega_{s,j_1 j_2 \dots j_s} = \begin{cases} \delta_{[j_1}^{i_1} \delta_{j_2}^{i_2} \dots \delta_{j_s}^{i_r}] & \text{for } r = s \\ 0 & \text{for } r \neq s \end{cases} \quad (2.160)$$

Thus, the ket states  $\Omega_{m,i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}$  form a basis for the  $m^{th}$  rank antisymmetric tensors of  $SU(N)$  and the collection of these states  $\{\Omega_m\}$  for  $0 \leq m \leq N$  form a basis for the  $SO(2N)$  spinor with expansion coefficients being the antisymmetric tensors of  $SU(N)$ :  $\Psi = k_0 \Omega_0 \psi + k_1 \Omega_{i_1} \psi^{i_1} + \dots + k_N \Omega_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_N} \psi^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_N}$ .  $k_i$ 's are normalization constants and are determined through the invariant scalar product (see Eqs.(2.127) and (2.135)):  $\Psi'^\dagger \Psi' = \Psi^\dagger \Psi$  where

$$\Psi^\dagger \Psi = \sum_{m=0}^N \frac{1}{m!} \psi_{i_m \dots i_1}^\dagger \psi^{i_1 \dots i_m} \quad (2.161)$$

where  $\psi_{i_m \dots i_1}^\dagger \equiv \psi^{*i_1 \dots i_m}$

Finally,

$$\Psi = \sum_{p=0}^N \frac{1}{p!} \psi^{i_1 \dots i_p} \prod_{q=1}^p b_{i_q}^\dagger |0\rangle \quad (2.162)$$

## 2.7 SO(2N) chirality and projection operators

Recall that  $\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{34}, \dots, \sigma_{2N-1, 2N}$  can be simultaneously diagonalized. Therefore, we define the  $SO(2N)$  group chirality operator  $\Gamma_0$  as

$$\Gamma_0 \equiv \prod_{k=1}^N \Sigma_{2k-1, 2k} = (-i)^N \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \dots \Gamma_{2N} \quad (2.163)$$

Other easily verified forms of  $\Gamma_0$  which are useful for later computation are

$$\Gamma_0 = \prod_{k=1}^N [b_k, b_k^\dagger] = \prod_{k=1}^N (1 - 2b_k^\dagger b_k) \quad (2.164)$$

One can further easily verify the following properties of the chirality operator

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0^\dagger &= \Gamma_0 \\ \text{tr}(\Gamma_0) &= 0 \\ \{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_\mu\} &= 0 \\ \Gamma_0^2 &= \mathbf{1} \\ [\Gamma_0, \Sigma_{\mu\nu}] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.165)$$

Now,  $\Gamma_0$  commutes with all the generators  $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$ 's. This implies that the spinor representation,  $S(R) \left( = e^{i/2 a_{\alpha\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}} \right)$ , also commutes with  $\Gamma_0$ :  $[\Gamma_0, S(R)] = 0$ . This, together with the fact that  $\Gamma_0 \neq (\text{constant})\mathbf{1}$  (see Eq. (2.163)), we conclude, using Schurs lemma, that the  $2^N$  space is reducible. Further, from  $\Gamma_0^2 = \mathbf{1}$  we can decompose the  $2^N$  dimensional spinor into two  $2^{N-1}$  irreducible representations:  $\Delta_+$  and  $\Delta_-$ , corresponding to the eigenvalues  $+1$  and  $-1$  of

$\Gamma_0$ :  $\Gamma_0\Psi = \pm\Psi$ . Thus, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(2^N) &= \Delta_+(2^{N-1}) \oplus \Delta_-(2^{N-1}) \\ \Psi &\equiv \Psi_{(+)} + \Psi_{(-)} \\ \Psi_{(\pm)} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0)\Psi \\ \Gamma_0\Psi_{(\pm)} &= \pm\Psi_{(\pm)}\end{aligned}\tag{2.166}$$

Also one has

$$\text{Generators of } \Delta_{\pm} : \quad \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0)\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4}(\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0)[\Gamma_{\mu}, \Gamma_{\nu}]\tag{2.167}$$

$\Psi_{(+)}$  and  $\Psi_{(-)}$  are called *semi-spinors* and they transform as two inequivalent representations.

Note that on using Eq.(2.164), one finds  $\Gamma_0 b_i^{\dagger} = -b_i^{\dagger}\Gamma_0$ . It is then generalized to

$$\Gamma_0 b_{i_1}^{\dagger} b_{i_2}^{\dagger} \dots b_{i_m}^{\dagger} |0\rangle = (-1)^m b_{i_1}^{\dagger} b_{i_2}^{\dagger} \dots b_{i_m}^{\dagger} |0\rangle\tag{2.168}$$

Using Eqs.(2.166) and (2.167) in Eq.(2.162) we get, for  $N = \text{odd}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_+ &= \sum_{p=0,2,\dots}^{N-1} \frac{1}{p!} \psi^{i_1 \dots i_p} \prod_{q=1}^p b_{i_q}^{\dagger} |0\rangle \\ \Psi_- &= \sum_{p=1,3,\dots}^N \frac{1}{p!} \psi^{i_1 \dots i_p} \prod_{q=1}^p b_{i_q}^{\dagger} |0\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{2.169}$$

while for  $N = \text{even}$ , the sums above terminate at  $N$  and  $N - 1$  for  $\Psi_+$  and  $\Psi_-$ , respectively. In general, the  $p$  index tensors of  $SU(N)$  can be reduced to  $N - p$  index tensors using the Levi Civita tensor of  $SU(N)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{i_N \dots i_{p+1}} &= \frac{1}{p!} \epsilon_{i_N \dots i_1} \psi^{i_1 \dots i_p} \\ \psi^{i_N \dots i_{p+1}} &= \frac{1}{p!} \epsilon^{i_N \dots i_1} \psi_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\dagger}\end{aligned}\tag{2.170}$$

As is evident from Eq.(2.169), positive chirality components of a spinor contain antisymmetric  $SU(N)$  of even rank, while the negative chirality components have odd rank tensors. Thus, for odd  $N$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
SO(2N) &\supset SU(N) \times U(1) \\
2_+^{N-1} &\supset \left[ \binom{N}{0} \right] \oplus \left[ \binom{N}{2} \right] \oplus \dots \oplus \left[ \binom{N}{N-1} \right] \\
2_-^{N-1} &\supset \left[ \binom{N}{1} \right] \oplus \left[ \binom{N}{3} \right] \oplus \dots \oplus \left[ \binom{N}{N} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.171}$$

While for even  $N$ , the top and bottom expressions in Eq.(2.171) terminate at  $\left[ \binom{N}{N} \right]$  and  $\left[ \binom{N}{N-1} \right]$  respectively.

The quantities  $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0)$  are *projection operators* as they possess the following properties

$$\begin{aligned}
P_+ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} + \Gamma_0), \quad P_- = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} - \Gamma_0) \\
P_+^2 &= P_+, \quad P_-^2 = P_- \\
P_+ P_- &= P_- P_+ = 0 \\
P_+ + P_- &= \mathbf{1}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.172}$$

Using the infinitesimal form of Eq.(2.131) and the fifth equation in Eq.(2.165) it is seen that  $P_\pm$  commute with  $S(R)$  and  $S^{-1}(R)$ ,

$$P_\pm S(R) = S(R) P_\pm, \quad P_\pm S^{-1}(R) = S^{-1}(R) P_\pm \tag{2.173}$$

Next, consider the transformation properties of the semi-spinors. Using Eqs.(2.127) and (2.173) in Eq.(2.166), we can write  $\Psi'_{(\pm)} = P_\pm \Psi' = P_\pm S(R) \Psi$

$= S(R)(P_{\pm}\Psi)$ . One can consider the same strategy to find the transformation property for  $\Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger}$ . The results are

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{(\pm)} &\rightarrow \Psi'_{(\pm)} = S(R)\Psi_{(\pm)} \\ \Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger} &\rightarrow \Psi'^{\dagger}_{(\pm)} = \Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger}S^{-1}(R)\end{aligned}\quad (2.174)$$

We now collect some useful results regarding the projection operators. Using the definition of  $P_{\pm}$  and the fourth equation in Eq.(2.165) we get

$$P_+ = +\Gamma_0 P_+, \quad P_- = -\Gamma_0 P_- \quad (2.175)$$

Using the third equation in Eq.(2.165) we can show

$$\Gamma_{\mu}P_+ = P_-\Gamma_{\mu}, \quad \Gamma_{\mu}P_- = P_+\Gamma_{\mu}; \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, 2N \quad (2.176)$$

Using Eq.(2.176) and the fifth equation in Eq.(2.172) it follows that

$$P_+\Gamma_{\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\dots\Gamma_{\mu_k}P_+ = 0, \quad P_-\Gamma_{\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\dots\Gamma_{\mu_k}P_- = 0; \quad k = \text{odd} \ \& \ k \leq N \quad (2.177)$$

$$P_+\Gamma_{\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\dots\Gamma_{\mu_k}P_- = 0, \quad P_-\Gamma_{\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\dots\Gamma_{\mu_k}P_+ = 0; \quad k = \text{even} \ \& \ k \leq N \quad (2.178)$$

Consider the product  $I \equiv P_+\Gamma_1\Gamma_2\dots\Gamma_N P_+$  ( $N$  even). Using Eq.(2.175) and the definition of  $\Gamma_0$  (Eq.(2.163)), we can write this product as  $I = (-i)^N P_+\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2\dots\Gamma_N \Gamma_1\Gamma_2\dots\Gamma_N \Gamma_{N+1}\Gamma_{N+2}\dots\Gamma_{2N} P_+$ . Next, we make use of the Clifford algebra (Eq.(2.118)) to conclude  $I = (-i)^N (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}} P_+\Gamma_{N+1}\Gamma_{N+2}\dots\Gamma_{2N} P_+$ . In general an ordered product of  $N$  distinct  $\Gamma$ 's,  $\Gamma_{N+1}\Gamma_{N+2}\dots\Gamma_{2N}$ , can be expressed in terms of the unordered product of the leftover  $N$  distinct  $\Gamma$ 's using the Levi Civita tensor. This is possible since there are  $2N$  distinct  $\Gamma$ 's:

$$\Gamma_{N+1}\Gamma_{N+2}\dots\Gamma_{2N} = \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{12\dots nj_1 j_2 \dots j_N} \Gamma_{j_1} \Gamma_{j_2} \dots \Gamma_{j_N} \quad (2.179)$$



Using Eq.(2.179) and the fact  $(-i)^N(-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}} = (-i)^{N^2}$  in the expression for I, we get  $P_+\Gamma_1\Gamma_2..\Gamma_N P_+ = \frac{(-i)^{N^2}}{N!}\epsilon_{12...nj_1j_2...j_N}P_+\Gamma_{j_1}\Gamma_{j_2}...\Gamma_{j_N}P_+$ . One can similarly consider an identical product with  $P_+$ 's replaced by  $P_-$ 's and also a product with  $P$ 's of opposite chirality. The results can now be summarized as

$N$  even

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\pm}\Gamma_1\Gamma_2..\Gamma_N P_{\pm} &= \pm \frac{1}{N!}\epsilon_{12...nj_1j_2...j_N}P_{\pm}\Gamma_{j_1}\Gamma_{j_2}...\Gamma_{j_N}P_{\pm} \\ P_{\pm}\Gamma_1\Gamma_2..\Gamma_N P_{\mp} &= \mp \frac{1}{N!}\epsilon_{12...nj_1j_2...j_N}P_{\pm}\Gamma_{j_1}\Gamma_{j_2}...\Gamma_{j_N}P_{\mp} \end{aligned} \quad (2.180)$$

It is also very easy to see that the result for a product of odd number of  $\Gamma_{\mu}$ 's sandwiched between projection operators of opposite and same chirality will be

$N$  odd

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\pm}\Gamma_1\Gamma_2..\Gamma_N P_{\mp} &= \pm \frac{i}{N!}\epsilon_{12...nj_1j_2...j_N}P_{\pm}\Gamma_{j_1}\Gamma_{j_2}...\Gamma_{j_N}P_{\mp} \\ P_{\pm}\Gamma_1\Gamma_2..\Gamma_N P_{\pm} &= \mp \frac{i}{N!}\epsilon_{12...nj_1j_2...j_N}P_{\pm}\Gamma_{j_1}\Gamma_{j_2}...\Gamma_{j_N}P_{\pm} \end{aligned} \quad (2.181)$$

## 2.8 Anomaly cancellation of the spinor representation

Using Eqs.(2.168), (2.172), (2.175) and (2.155) we can write [25]

$$P_{\pm}Y^k \left( b_{i_1}^{\dagger} b_{i_2}^{\dagger} ... b_{i_m}^{\dagger} |0> \right) = \frac{1 \pm (-1)^m}{2} (N - 2m)^k \left( b_{i_1}^{\dagger} b_{i_2}^{\dagger} ... b_{i_m}^{\dagger} |0> \right) \quad (2.182)$$

The  $Y^k$ -anomaly,  $A_{\pm}(Y^k; N) = \text{tr}_{\pm} Y^k$  for the  $\pm$  chirality spinor representation is given by

$$A_{\pm}(Y^k; N) = \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} \frac{1 \pm (-1)^m}{2} (N - 2m)^k \quad (2.183)$$

where we take into consideration that each of the components,  $\binom{N}{m}$ , of the  $SU(5)$  tensor,  $\psi^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}$ , has charge,  $N - 2m$ .

One can now easily prove the following results

$$A_{\pm}(Y; N) = \begin{cases} \pm 1 & ; N = 1 \\ 0 & ; N \neq 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.184)$$

$$A_{\pm}(Y^3; N) = \begin{cases} \pm 24 & ; N = 3 \\ \pm 1 & ; N = 1 \\ 0 & ; N \neq 1, N \neq 3 \end{cases} \quad (2.185)$$

From this one can conclude that the spinor representations of  $SO(2N)$  are  $U(1)$  *anomaly-free* for  $N = 2$  and  $N \geq 4$ . The cases  $N = 1$  ( $SO(2) \cong U(1)$ ) and  $N = 3$  ( $SO(6) \cong SU(4)$ ) have, indeed, an anomalous spinor representation.

## 2.9 Charge conjugation under $SO(2N)$ group.

### Complex and real spinor representations of $SO(2N)$

For infinitesimal transformations ( $a_{\alpha\beta} \ll 1$ ), Eqs.(2.127) and (2.131) give  $\delta\Psi' \equiv \Psi' - \Psi = -\frac{i}{4}a_{\alpha\beta}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}\Psi$ . This expression, after complex conjugation

and the fact that  $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ 's are Hermitian ( $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^* = \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^T$ ), takes the form,  $\delta\Psi'^* = \frac{i}{4}a_{\alpha\beta}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^T\Psi^*$ . Now consider an invertible matrix,  $B$ , and left multiply the last expression for  $\delta\Psi'^*$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\Psi^{c'} &= \frac{i}{4}a_{\alpha\beta} \left( B^{-1}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^T B \right) \Psi^c \\ \Psi^c &\equiv B^{-1}\Psi^*; \quad BB^{-1} = B^{-1}B = \mathbf{1}\end{aligned}\tag{2.186}$$

If  $\Psi^c$  is to remain invariant under  $SO(2N)$  transformations, then  $\Psi^c$  must transform in the same way as  $\Psi$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^c &\rightarrow \Psi^{c'} = S(R)\Psi^c \\ \delta\Psi^{c'} &= \frac{i}{4}a_{\alpha\beta} (-\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}) \Psi^c\end{aligned}\tag{2.187}$$

Comparing Eqs.(2.186) with (2.187) gives

$$B^{-1}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^T B = -\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}\tag{2.188}$$

The left hand side of Eq.(2.188) using Eq.(2.132) can be written as  $B^{-1}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^T B = -\frac{i}{2}[(B^{-1}\Gamma_{\alpha}^T B)(B^{-1}\Gamma_{\beta}^T B) - (B^{-1}\Gamma_{\beta}^T B)B^{-1}\Gamma_{\alpha}^T B]$ . This would be equal to the right hand side of Eq. (2.188) if

$$B^{-1}\Gamma_{\alpha}^T B = \pm\Gamma_{\alpha}\tag{2.189}$$

To see how  $\Gamma_0$  transforms under charge conjugation we use Eq.(2.163) to write  $B^{-1}\Gamma_0^T B = (-i)^N (B^{-1}\Gamma_{2N}^T B) \dots (B^{-1}\Gamma_2^T B)(B^{-1}\Gamma_1^T B)$ . Using Eq.(2.189) we get  $B^{-1}\Gamma_0^T B = (-i)^N (\pm 1)^{2N} (-1)^{(N-1)+(N-2)+\dots+2+1} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \dots \Gamma_{2N}$ . Thus

$$B^{-1}\Gamma_0^T B = B^{-1}\Gamma_0^* B = (-1)^N \Gamma_0\tag{2.190}$$

where the second expression in Eq.(2.190) follows from the fact that  $\Gamma_0$  is Hermitian (see Eq.(2.165)).

Next, we take on the task of determining the explicit expression for the charge conjugation matrix. To determine the specific representation of  $B$  we first have to define the basis for  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's and specify the behavior of  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's under charge conjugation (see Eq.(2.189)). For illustrative purposes, let's assume the basis to be  $\Gamma_{2i}^T = \Gamma_{2i}$ ,  $\Gamma_{2i-1}^T = -\Gamma_{2i}$  ( $i = N$ ) and lets assume that  $\Gamma_\mu$  transforms under  $B$  as  $B^{-1}\Gamma_\mu^T B = -\Gamma_\mu$ . Then the correct form of  $B$  is  $\prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_{2i-1}$  for odd  $N$ . This is true because  $B^{-1}\Gamma_{2i}^T B = (-1)^N \Gamma_{2i} = -\Gamma_{2i}$  and similarly for  $B^{-1}\Gamma_{2i-1}^T B = -(-1)^{N-1} \Gamma_{2i-1} = -\Gamma_{2i-1}$ . Under transposition this specific representation of  $B$  can be evaluated as follows:  $B^T = \Gamma_{2N-1}^T \dots \Gamma_3^T \Gamma_1^T = (-1)^N \Gamma_{2N-1} \dots \Gamma_3 \Gamma_1 = (-1)^N (-1)^{(N-2)+(N-1)+\dots+2+1} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_3 \dots \Gamma_{2N-1} = (-1)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} B$ .

There are two choices for the basis of  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's. These are

$$\underline{(A.) \quad \text{Basis: } \Gamma_{2i}^T = -\Gamma_{2i}, \quad \Gamma_{2i-1}^T = \Gamma_{2i-1}}$$

Within this set there are two possible choices for the representations of  $B$  corresponding to  $\pm$  sign in Eq.(2.189)

$$\text{Set } A_1 \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B^{-1}\Gamma_\mu^T B = (-1)^{N+1} \Gamma_\mu \\ B = \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_{2i-1}, \quad B^T = (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} B \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.191)$$

$$\text{Set } A_2 \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B^{-1} \Gamma_\mu^T B = (-1)^N \Gamma_\mu \\ B = \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_{2i}, \quad B^T = (-1)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} B \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.192)$$

(B.) Basis:  $\Gamma_{2i}^T = \Gamma_{2i}, \quad \Gamma_{2i-1}^T = -\Gamma_{2i-1}$

$$\text{Set } B_1 \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B^{-1} \Gamma_\mu^T B = (-1)^{N+1} \Gamma_\mu \\ B = \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_{2i}, \quad B^T = (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} B \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.193)$$

$$\text{Set } B_2 \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} B^{-1} \Gamma_\mu^T B = (-1)^N \Gamma_\mu \\ B = \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_{2i-1}, \quad B^T = (-1)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} B \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.194)$$

One can choose any of the four representations of  $B$ . The Set  $B_2$  is the most frequent choice. Further, representation free properties of  $B$  are

$$B^2 = (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \mathbf{1}, \quad B^{-1} = B^\dagger = (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} B \quad (2.195)$$

that is,  $B$  is unitary.

To investigate whether the representations of a particular  $SO(2N)$  group are real or complex, we need a deciding quantity,  $Q \equiv B^{-1} [\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0) \Sigma_{\mu\nu}]^* B$ . More will be said about the significance of this quantity in the next section. Here we will simply evaluate the quantity. Noting that  $\Gamma_0^* = \Gamma_0^T$  and

$\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^* = \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^T$ , the expression for  $Q$  can be simplified to  $Q = \frac{1}{2}[B^{-1}\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^T B \pm (B^{-1}\Gamma_0^T B)(B^{-1}\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^T B)]$ . Use of Eq.(2.190) at once gives

$$B^{-1} \left\{ - \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0) \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \right]^* \right\} B = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{1} \pm (-1)^N \Gamma_0) \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \right] \quad (2.196)$$

To find a connection between between the spinor representation,  $\Delta$  (group element:  $S$ ), and its *contragredient* representation,  $\check{\Delta}$  (group element:  $(S^T)^{-1}$ ), consider the product,  $Z \equiv B^{-1}S^T B$ . Use of the Eq.(2.131) and (2.188) gives  $Z \approx \mathbf{1} + \frac{i}{4}a_{\alpha\beta}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta} \approx S^{-1}$ . Finally, left and right multiplying by  $B$  and  $B^{-1}$ , respectively, and taking the inverse on both sides of the equation, we get

$$(S^T)^{-1} = B S B^{-1} \quad (2.197)$$

The representations  $\Delta$  and  $\check{\Delta}$  are unitarily equivalent as seen by the Eq.(2.197).

From Eq.(2.187), we can write  $B^{-1}\Psi^{*'} = S B^{-1}\Psi$ . Left multiplying by  $B$  and using Eq.(2.197) we get the transformation of a spinor under the contragredient representation:

$$\Psi^* \rightarrow \Psi^{*'} = (S^T)^{-1} \Psi^* \quad (2.198)$$

Transposition of this equation gives:  $\Psi'^{\dagger} = \Psi^{\dagger} S^{-1}$ , this is Eq.(2.135), which was obtained independently.

One last observation about the transformation of spinors is that there is one more quantity that transforms like  $\Psi^{\dagger}$ . From Eq.(2.127), we can write  $B\Psi' = B S \Psi$  and using Eq.(2.197) we can we obtain

$$(B\Psi) \rightarrow (B\Psi') = (S^T)^{-1} (B\Psi) \quad (2.199)$$

Taking the transpose of this equation we can write

$$(B\Psi)^T \rightarrow (B\Psi')^T = (B\Psi)^T S^{-1} \quad (2.200)$$

which transforms like  $\Psi^\dagger$ .

It is worth summarizing the transformation properties of the above spinors as they will be very useful later on in looking for  $SO(2N)$  invariants.

Spinor (Cogredient) representation,  $\Delta$ :  $S(R)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\rightarrow \Psi' = S\Psi \\ (B^{-1}\Psi^*) &\rightarrow (B^{-1}\Psi'^*) = S(B^{-1}\Psi^*) \end{aligned} \quad (2.201)$$

Contragredient representation,  $\check{\Delta}$ :  $(S^T(R))^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^* &\rightarrow \Psi'^* = (S^T)^{-1}\Psi^* \Rightarrow \Psi^\dagger \rightarrow \Psi'^\dagger = \Psi^\dagger S^{-1} \\ (B\Psi) &\rightarrow (B\Psi') = (S^T)^{-1}(B\Psi) \Rightarrow (B\Psi)^T \rightarrow (B\Psi')^T = (B\Psi)^T S^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.202)$$

A useful result for the construction of invariants later is a property relating charge conjugation operator and the projection operators:  $P_\pm B = BP_\pm$  or  $BP_\mp$  according to whether  $N$  is even or odd, respectively. This result does not depend on the representation of  $B$ . Using either representation  $(\prod_{\alpha=1}^N \Gamma_{2\alpha-1}, \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \Gamma_{2\alpha})$  and together with Eq.(2.190), one can very easily prove the above result. Collecting

$$\begin{aligned} P_\pm B &= BP_\pm; & N \text{ even} \\ P_\pm B &= BP_\mp; & N \text{ odd} \end{aligned} \quad (2.203)$$

Similarly, one can, with relative ease, prove

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\pm}^T B &= B P_{\pm}, \quad B P_{\pm}^* = P_{\pm} B, \quad B^{-1} P_{\pm}^* = P_{\pm} B^{-1}; \quad N \text{ even} \\ P_{\pm}^T B &= B P_{\mp}, \quad B P_{\pm}^* = P_{\mp} B, \quad B^{-1} P_{\pm}^* = P_{\mp} B^{-1}; \quad N \text{ even} \end{aligned} \quad (2.204)$$

These properties of projection and charge conjugation operators are going to be very useful when looking for non-vanishing  $SO(2N)$  invariants.

## COMPLEX AND REAL SPINOR REPRESENTATIONS OF $SO(2N)$

To motivate the discussion under this topic, assume  $\Delta_+^*$  and  $\Delta_-^*$  (conjugate representations) to be the complex conjugate of the irreducible representations,  $\Delta_+$  and  $\Delta_-$ , respectively. Further, recall from Eq.(2.167) that  $L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)} \equiv [\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0)\Sigma_{\mu\nu}]$  represents the generators of  $\Delta_{\pm}$ , therefore the generators of  $\Delta_{\pm}^*$  are  $-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*} \equiv -[\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0)\Sigma_{\mu\nu}]^*$ . This is because if under  $\Delta_{\pm}$ :  $\Psi'_{(\pm)} \approx -\frac{i}{2}a_{\mu\nu}L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}\Psi_{(\pm)}$ , then under  $\Delta_{\pm}^*$ :  $\Psi_{(\pm)}^{*'} \approx -\frac{i}{2}a_{\mu\nu}[-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}]\Psi_{(\pm)}^*$ .

If  $\Delta_{\pm}$  is *equivalent* to  $\Delta_{\pm}^*$  ( $\Delta_{\pm}^* \sim \Delta_{\pm}$ ), then there exists a charge conjugation (unitary) matrix  $B$ , such that  $B^{-1}[-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}]B = L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}$  and the representation is said to be *real*.

If, on the other hand, the representation is *complex*:  $\Delta_{\pm}^* \sim \Delta_{\mp}$  then in this case the generators in the  $\Delta_{\pm}$  are related to the one in  $\Delta_{\pm}^*$  by  $B^{-1}[-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}]B = L_{\mu\nu}^{(\mp)}$ .

In the case of real representations, the consequences due to the existence of matrix  $B$  are now going to be explored. Start with  $B^{-1}[-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}]B = L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}$  and take complex conjugate of this equation to get,  $B^T L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}(B^{-1})^T =$



$-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}$ . Eliminating  $L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}$  between these two equations and left multiplying the resulting equation by  $(B^{-1})^T B$ , we get  $[L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}, (B^{-1})^T B] = 0$ . Then Schurs lemma implies  $(B^{-1})^T B = \lambda \mathbf{1}$ , with  $\lambda$  being a constant. Left multiplying this last equation by  $B^T$  gives  $B = \lambda B^T$ . Transposing this equation we obtain  $B^T = \lambda B$ . It is now easy to see from the last two equations that  $\lambda^2 = 1$ . Thus we have two cases:  $B = +B^T$  with  $B^* B = +\mathbf{1}$  and  $B = -B^T$  with  $B^* B = -\mathbf{1}$ . We now go a step further and investigate the possibility of finding real representations which satisfy these two conditions by  $B$ .

We will now prove that in the first case of unitary symmetric,  $B$  ( $B = +B^T$ ,  $B^{-1} = B^\dagger$ ), one can find a basis such that representations are real. To make progress, we begin by writing  $B$  as the product of a unitary matrix,  $U$  ( $U^{-1} = U^\dagger$ ) and its transpose:  $B = UU^T$  (certainly  $B$  is unitary symmetric). Inserting this expression for  $B$  in  $B^{-1}[-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}]B = L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}$  and left and right multiplying the resulting equation by  $U$  and  $U^{-1}$ , respectively, we obtain  $-(UL_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}U^{-1})^T = UL_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}U^{-1}$ , where we have used the fact that  $L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}$  are Hermitian as is evidenced by the explicit expression for  $L_{\mu\nu}$  above. If we define a new set of generators in this basis as  $l_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)} \equiv UL_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}U^{-1}$  and take Hermitian conjugate on both sides of this expression we conclude that  $l_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)\dagger} = l_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}$ . Further, it also follows that  $l_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)T} = -l_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}$ . Thus, the generators,  $l_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}$ , are purely imaginary and antisymmetric. Therefore, the representations  $e^{-\frac{i}{2}a_{\mu\nu}l_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}}$  will be real. They are also referred to as *strictly-real* or *real-positive* or *orthogonal-like* representations.

In the case when  $B$  is unitary antisymmetric:  $B = -B^T$ ,  $B^{-1} = B^\dagger$ ,

one cannot find any basis in which the representations are real. In this case the representation is said to be *pseudo-real* or *real-negative* or *symplectic-like* representations.

Let us now summarize all our findings:

$$\text{Generators of } \Delta_{\pm} : L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)} \equiv \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0)\Sigma_{\mu\nu} \right] \quad (2.205)$$

$$\text{Generators of } \Delta_{\pm}^* : -L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*} \equiv -\left[ \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0)\Sigma_{\mu\nu} \right]^* \quad (2.206)$$

#### Real spinor representations of SO(2N)

- $\Delta_+$  is inequivalent to  $\Delta_-$  and  $\Delta_+^* = \Delta_+$ ,  $\Delta_-^* = \Delta_-$
- Relation between  $\Delta_{\pm}^*$  and  $\Delta_{\pm}$

$$B^{-1}[-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}]B = L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}; \quad B^{-1} = B^{\dagger} \quad (2.207)$$

- *Strictly-real* representations

$$B = +B^T; \quad BB^* = +\mathbf{1} \quad (2.208)$$

A basis could be found in which the generators are purely imaginary and antisymmetric, making the representations completely real

- *Pseudo-real* representations

$$B = -B^T; \quad BB^* = -\mathbf{1} \quad (2.209)$$

No basis could be found in which the representations are real

### Complex spinor representations of $SO(2N)$

- $\Delta_+$  is inequivalent to  $\Delta_-$  and  $\Delta_+^* = \Delta_-$ ,  $\Delta_-^* = \Delta_+$
- Relation between  $\Delta_\pm^*$  and  $\Delta_\mp$

$$B^{-1}[-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}]B = L_{\mu\nu}^{(\mp)}; \quad B^{-1} = B^\dagger \quad (2.210)$$

From the definitions of  $\Psi_{(\pm)}$  (Eq.(2.166)) and  $\Psi^c$  (Eq.(2.186)) one could write  $(\Psi_{(\pm)})^c = B^{-1}[\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} + \Gamma_0)\Psi]^*$ . On using Eq.(2.190) and the fact  $BB^{-1} = \mathbf{1}$  we get, at once,

$$(\Psi_{(\pm)})^c = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{1} \pm (-1)^N \Gamma_0] \Psi^c \quad (2.211)$$

Further, recall from Eq.(2.196)

$$B^{-1} [-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}] B = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{1} \pm (-1)^N \Gamma_0) \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \right] \quad (2.212)$$

Equipped with Eqs.(2.207) through (2.212), we are now ready to classify  $SO(2N)$  according to real and complex spinor representations.

Case 1.  $N = \text{odd}$ . Complex representations. Theory is *chiral*

For  $N$  odd ( $N = 2k + 1$ ), Eq.(2.212) gives  $B^{-1}[-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}]B = L_{\mu\nu}^{(\mp)}$  which, according to Eq.(2.210) tells us that the spinor representations of  $SO(4k + 2)$  are complex.

Furthermore, for odd  $N$ , Eq.(2.213) implies  $(\Psi_{(\pm)})^c = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{1} \mp \Gamma_0] \Psi^c$ , then from the definition of  $\Psi_{(\pm)}$  it implies

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_{(+)})^c &= (\Psi^c)_- \\ (\Psi_{(-)})^c &= (\Psi^c)_+ \end{aligned} \tag{2.213}$$

If we, for a moment, assign the semi-spinors  $\Psi_{(+)}$  and  $\Psi_{(-)}$  to left ( $L$ ) and right ( $R$ )-handed fermions, respectively, then the interpretation of Eq.(2.213) would be that the charge conjugated state of a left-handed fermion is a right-handed anti-fermion and vice versa. For example, for fermion  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha_L^+ \equiv \alpha_L^{-c}$  is the anti-fermion with respect to  $\alpha_R^-$ .

Case 2.  $N = \text{even}$ . Real representations. Theory is *vector-like*

For  $N$  even ( $N = 2m$ ), Eq.(2.212) gives  $B^{-1}[-L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)*}]B = L_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}$  which according to Eq.(2.207), tells us that the spinor representations of  $SO(4m)$  are real.

Additionally, for  $N$  even, Eq.(2.211) implies  $(\Psi_{(\pm)})^c = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{1} \pm \Gamma_0] \Psi^c$ , then from the definition of  $\Psi_{(\pm)}$  it implies

$$(\Psi_{(+)})^c = (\Psi^c)_+$$

$$\left(\Psi_{(-)}\right)^c = (\Psi^c)_- \quad (2.214)$$

In this case, the interpretation would be that the charge conjugated state of a left-handed particle is a left-handed anti-particle and similar argument can be made for a right-handed particle.

The deciding factor for a particular  $SO(4m)$  group to have strictly real or pseudo-real representations is whether  $B = +B^T$  or  $B = -B^T$ . Here, the specific representation for  $B$  is not important, so, for example, we could choose either  $B^T = (-1)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} B$  or  $B^T = (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} B$  (see Eqs.(2.191)-(2.195)). Either expression gives, for  $m$  even ( $m=2k$ ,  $N=8k$ ),  $B^T = +B$  and for  $m$  odd ( $m=2k+1$ ,  $N=8k+4$ ),  $B^T = -B$ .

Thus,  $SO(8k)$  possesses strictly real spinor representations, while  $SO(8k+4)$  have pseudo-real spinor representations.

## 2.10 General $SO(2N)$ scalar and vector type couplings

In this section we form  $SO(2N)$  invariants appearing in both the Lagrangian and the superpotential. We will construct four distinct  $SO(2N)$  invariants. They will be built from  $\Psi^\dagger$  and  $\Psi$ ,  $\Psi^T$  and  $\Psi$ ,  $\Psi^\dagger$  and  $\Psi^*$ , and  $\Psi^T$  and  $\Psi^*$ . Further, classification of these  $SO(2N)$  invariants as Lorentz vectors or scalars will require an appropriate insertion of Dirac charge conjugation matrix,  $C$  and Dirac matrices,  $\gamma^A$  ( $A = 0 - 3$ ). For completeness, we list all

the possible Lorentz scalars and vectors constructed out of Dirac spinors,  $\chi$ .

#### Lorentz Scalars

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\chi}\chi &= \bar{\chi}^c\chi^c = \bar{\chi}_L\chi_R + \bar{\chi}_R\chi_L \\
\bar{\chi}^c\chi &= \chi_L^T C\chi_L + \chi_R^T C\chi_R \\
\bar{\chi}\chi^c &= \bar{\chi}_L C\bar{\chi}_L^T + \bar{\chi}_R C\bar{\chi}_R^T
\end{aligned} \tag{2.215}$$

#### Lorentz Vectors

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\chi}\gamma^A\chi &= \bar{\chi}_L\gamma^A\chi_L + \bar{\chi}_R\gamma^A\chi_R \\
\bar{\chi}^c\gamma^A\chi^c &= \chi_L^T C\gamma^A C\bar{\chi}_L^T + \chi_R^T C\gamma^A C\bar{\chi}_R^T \\
\bar{\chi}^c\gamma^A\chi &= \chi_L^T C\gamma^A\chi_R + \chi_R^T C\gamma^A\chi_L \\
\bar{\chi}\gamma^A\chi^c &= \bar{\chi}_L\gamma^A C\bar{\chi}_R^T + \bar{\chi}_R\gamma^A C\bar{\chi}_L^T
\end{aligned} \tag{2.216}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\chi} &= \chi^\dagger\gamma^0 \\
\chi^c &= C\bar{\chi}^T \\
\chi &= \chi_L + \chi_R \\
\chi_{(L)} &= \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5)\chi
\end{aligned} \tag{2.217}$$

$$\gamma_A\gamma_B + \gamma_B\gamma_A = 2\eta_{AB}$$

$$\eta = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$$

$$\gamma^{0\dagger} = \gamma^0; \quad \gamma^{A\dagger} = \gamma^0\gamma^A\gamma^0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_5 &= i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 \\
C &= -C^{-1} = -C^\dagger = -C^T = i\gamma^2\gamma^0 \\
C(\gamma^A)^T C^{-1} &= -\gamma^A
\end{aligned} \tag{2.218}$$

#### INVARIANTS FORMED FROM $\Psi^\dagger$ AND $\Psi$ : LAGRANGIAN CASE

We commence by constructing bilinear spinors formed from the Kronecker product of  $\Psi^\dagger$ ,  $\Psi$  and a symmetrized or an antisymmetrized product of appropriate number of  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's. These bilinears then transform as vectors and tensors of  $SO(2N)$  as constructed earlier. For example, the quantity defined by  $\Upsilon_{\{\mu\nu\rho\}} = \Psi^\dagger \Gamma_{\{\mu} \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\}} \Psi$  transforms as a third rank symmetric tensor. This is because  $\Upsilon'_{\{\mu\nu\rho\}} = \Psi'^\dagger \Gamma_{\{\mu} \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\}} \Psi' = \Psi^\dagger S^{-1} \Gamma_{\{\mu} \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\}} S \Psi = \Psi^\dagger (S^{-1} \Gamma_{\{\mu} S) (S^{-1} \times \Gamma_\nu S) (S^{-1} \Gamma_{\rho\}} S) \Psi = R_{\mu\alpha} R_{\nu\beta} R_{\rho\gamma} \Upsilon_{\{\alpha\beta\gamma\}}$  and where we have used Eqs.(110), (111), (113) and (118). We now list some bilinears along with their transformation properties however, we will not specify the symmetry associated with their indices:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Scalar : } \Upsilon &= \Psi^\dagger \Psi; \quad \Upsilon' = \Upsilon \\
\text{Vector : } \Upsilon_{\mu_1} &= \Psi^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Psi; \quad \Upsilon'_{\mu_1} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} \Upsilon_{\nu_1} \\
2^{nd}\text{Rank Tensor : } \Upsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2} &= \Psi^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Psi; \quad \Upsilon'_{\mu_1\mu_2} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} R_{\mu_2\nu_2} \Upsilon_{\nu_1\nu_2} \\
r^{th}\text{Rank Tensor : } \Upsilon_{\mu_1\dots\mu_r} &= \Psi^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi; \quad \Upsilon'_{\mu_1\dots\mu_r} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} \dots R_{\mu_r\nu_r} \Upsilon_{\nu_1\dots\nu_r}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.219}$$

An arbitrary  $SO(2N)$  tensor of  $r^{th}$  rank, can be written as the sum of four

bilinear semi-spinors ( $\Psi = \Psi_{(+)} + \Psi_{(-)}$ ):  $\Psi^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi = \Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots$   
 $\times \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi_{(\pm)} + \Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi_{(\mp)}$ . Using  $\Psi_{(\pm)} = P_\pm \Psi$ , one can write  
 $\Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi_{(\pm)} = \Psi^\dagger [P_\pm \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} P_\pm] \Psi$ . From Eq.(2.177), this quan-  
 tity vanishes if  $r$  is odd. Similarly, one can show using Eq.(2.178) that the  
 quantity  $\Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi_{(\mp)}$  vanishes if  $r$  is even. Hence, we have the fol-  
 lowing results

$$\forall N, \ r \text{ odd}$$

$$\Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi_{(\pm)} = 0 \quad (2.220)$$

$$\forall N, \ r \text{ even}$$

$$\Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi_{(\mp)} = 0 \quad (2.221)$$

Recall that the representation,  $\Delta$ , decomposes into two irreducible repre-  
 sentations  $\Delta_\pm$ , while the contragredient representations,  $\check{\Delta}$  splits into two  
 irreducible  $\Delta_\pm^*$ :

$$\Delta = \Delta_+ \oplus \Delta_-$$

$$\check{\Delta} = \Delta_+^* \oplus \Delta_-^*$$

$$\Delta_+^* = \Delta_-, \quad \Delta_-^* = \Delta_+; \quad \text{for } N \text{ odd}$$

$$\Delta_+^* = \Delta_+, \quad \Delta_-^* = \Delta_-; \quad \text{for } N \text{ even}$$

$$\Delta_+ \text{ and } \Delta_- \text{ are inequivalent} \quad (2.222)$$

The surviving even and odd rank tensors of  $SO(2N)$  are



*Even Rank Antisymmetric Tensors:*  $\Delta_{\pm}^* \otimes \Delta_{\pm}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger} \Psi_{(\pm)} \\
& \Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2}] \Psi_{(\pm)} \\
& \Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4}] \Psi_{(\pm)} \\
& \Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_m}] \Psi_{(\pm)}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} m = N - 1; & \text{N odd} \\ m = N; & \text{N even} \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.223)
\end{aligned}$$

*Odd Rank Antisymmetric Tensors:*  $\Delta_{\pm}^* \otimes \Delta_{\mp}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Psi_{(\mp)} \\
& \Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3}] \Psi_{(\mp)} \\
& \Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4} \Gamma_{\mu_5}] \Psi_{(\mp)} \\
& \Psi_{(\pm)}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_m}] \Psi_{(\mp)}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} m = N; & \text{N odd} \\ m = N - 1; & \text{N even} \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.224)
\end{aligned}$$

Each of the these irreducible antisymmetric tensors have dimensionalities  $\binom{2N}{r}$ , where  $r(< N)$  represents the rank of the tensor.

We do not get any new tensors for  $m > n$ . To illustrate this point, consider a tensor of rank  $N + k$  ( $k \leq N$ ), this tensor can be reduced to a tensor of rank  $(2N - [N + k] =) N - k$  by contraction with an  $SO(2N)$  Levi-Civita tensor carrying  $2N$  distinct indices.

There is an additional subtlety for tensors of rank  $N$ . For  $N$  even,

the real tensor  $\Upsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(N=even)}$  of dimensionality  $\binom{2N}{N}$  splits into two real tensors  $\Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(+)}$  and  $\Psi_{(-)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(-)}$  each of dimension  $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}$ . These decomposed tensors are *real self-dual and anti-self-dual*, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(N=even)} &= \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(+)} + \Psi_{(-)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(-)} \\
&\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(+)} \\ \Psi_{(-)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(-)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots \delta_{\mu_N \nu_N} \pm \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_N} \right) \Upsilon_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N}^{(N=even)} \\
&\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(+)} \\ \Psi_{(-)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(-)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \pm \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(+)} \\ \Psi_{(-)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(-)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.225}
\end{aligned}$$

For  $N$  odd, the real tensor  $\Upsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(N=odd)}$  of dimensionality  $\binom{2N}{N}$  splits into two complex tensors  $\Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(-)}$  and  $\Psi_{(-)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(+)}$  each of dimension  $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}$ . These decomposed tensors are *complex self-dual and anti-self-dual*, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(N=odd)} &= \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(-)} + \Psi_{(-)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(+)} \\
&\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(-)} \\ \Psi_{(-)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(+)} \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots \delta_{\mu_N \nu_N} \pm \frac{i}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_N} \right) \Upsilon_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N}^{(N=odd)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(-)} \\ \Psi_{(-)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(+)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \pm \frac{i}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(-)} \\ \Psi_{(-)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(+)} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (2.226)$$

Eqs. (2.225) and (2.226) can be verified at once upon using  $\Psi_{(\pm)} = P_{\pm} \Psi$  and Eqs.(2.180) and (2.181).

If we follow the conventional assignment of the *left-handed fermion states* to  $\Psi_{(+)}$  then  $\Psi_{(-)} \begin{pmatrix} = \Psi_{(+)}^* & N \text{ odd} \\ = \Psi_{(-)}^* & N \text{ even} \end{pmatrix}$  contains the *right-handed CP conjugate fermion states*. The product  $2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1}$  ( $N$  odd) and  $2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\pm)}^{N-1}$  ( $N$  even) then transforms as a *Lorentz vector*. Thus, to make these tensors a Lorentz vector, we must insert the product  $\gamma^0 \gamma^A$ . Similarly, the product  $2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\pm)}^{N-1}$  ( $N$  odd) and  $2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1}$  ( $N$  even) transform as a *Lorentz scalar* and we must insert a  $\gamma^0$  in these tensors.

In order to form  $SO(2N)$  invariants we must contract antisymmetric bosonic tensors (Eq.(2.50)) of appropriate rank with each of the above antisymmetric tensors formed from the Kronecker product of spinors so that the whole object transforms like a scalar. For the sake of illustration, consider the quantity  $I = \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3]} \Psi_{(-)} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})}$ , which we now show to transform as an  $SO(2N)$  scalar:  $I' = \Psi_{(+)}'^\dagger \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3]} \Psi_{(-)}' \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})'} = \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger (S^{-1} \Gamma_{[\mu_1} S) \times (S^{-1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} S) (S^{-1} \Gamma_{\mu_3]} S) \Psi_{(-)} R_{\mu_1 \nu_1} R_{\mu_2 \nu_2} R_{\mu_3 \nu_3} \Phi_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})} = \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger (R_{\mu_1 \sigma_1} \Gamma_{[\sigma_1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times (R_{\mu_2\sigma_2}\Gamma_{\sigma_2})(R_{\mu_3\sigma_3}\Gamma_{\sigma_3})\Psi_{(-)}R_{\nu_1\mu_1}^TR_{\nu_2\mu_2}^TR_{\nu_3\mu_3}^T\Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})} = \Psi_{(+)}^\dagger\Gamma_{[\sigma_1}\Gamma_{\sigma_2}\Gamma_{\sigma_3]}\Psi_{(-)} \\
& \times \Phi_{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})}\delta_{\nu_1\sigma_1}\delta_{\nu_2\sigma_2}\delta_{\nu_3\sigma_3} = I.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now summarize our results

$N$  Even (Vector Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}}\gamma^A\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}}\Phi_A^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}}\gamma^A\Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2]}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}}\Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}}\gamma^A\Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\Gamma_{\mu_3}\Gamma_{\mu_4]}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}}\Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& \dots\dots\dots \\
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\pm 1)A}\Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_N}^{(\pm 1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2_{(+)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(+)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(+1)} \\
2_{(-)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(-)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(-1)} \quad (2.227)
\end{aligned}$$

$N$  Even (Scalar Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned}
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}}\Gamma_{\mu_1}\Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}}\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}}\Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\Gamma_{\mu_3]}\Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}}\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}}\Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\Gamma_{\mu_3}\Gamma_{\mu_4}\Gamma_{\mu_5]}\Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}}\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& \dots\dots\dots \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}}\Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\dots\Gamma_{\mu_{N-1]}}\Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}}\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{N-1}}^{(\mathcal{A})}
\end{aligned}$$

$$2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1} = \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-3} \oplus \binom{2N}{N-1} \quad (2.228)$$

$N$  odd (Vector Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_A^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2]} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4]} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & \dots\dots\dots \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_{N-1}]} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{N-1}}^{(\mathcal{A})} \end{aligned}$$

$$2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1} = \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-3} \oplus \binom{2N}{N-1} \quad (2.229)$$

$N$  Odd (Scalar Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}} \Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3]} \Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}} \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4} \Gamma_{\mu_5]} \Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}} \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & \dots\dots\dots \\ & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\pm i)} \Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_N}^{(\mp i)} \end{aligned}$$

$$2_{(-)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(-)}^{N-1} = \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(+i)}$$

$$2_{(+)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(+)}^{N-1} = \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(-i)} \quad (2.230)$$

The last lines in each of the Eqs.(2.227)-(2.230) represent the decomposition law for the Kronecker product of the spinor representations of  $SO(2N)$  and each of the numbers appearing on the right hand side of the equations represent the dimensionalities of the tensors formed. For example, in Eq.(227), the rank 2 tensor,  $\overline{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2]} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}}$  has dimensionality  $\binom{2N}{2}$  and so on.

A word about our notation is in order.  $g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$ 's and  $h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$ 's are coupling constants. The indices  $\dot{a}$  and  $\dot{b}$  run over different number of generations carried by the semi-spinors. Here,  $\Omega^\pm$  are ordinary (bosonic) rank  $N$  tensors and satisfy duality conditions given by Eqs.(2.48) and (2.49). The other notation used in the previous four equations that need clarification are

$$\overline{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} = \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^\dagger \gamma^0 \quad (2.231)$$

$N$  odd

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(+i)} + \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(-i)} \\ \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots \delta_{\mu_N \nu_N} \pm \frac{i}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \right) \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} \\ \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &= \overline{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}} \\ \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &= \pm \frac{i}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.232)$$

$N$  even

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^A &= \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(+1)} + \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(-1)} \\
\Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots \delta_{\mu_N \nu_N} \pm \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \right) \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} \\
\Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &= \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \\
\Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &= \pm \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \Upsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b} \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} \quad (2.233)
\end{aligned}$$

The reader must have noticed in Eqs.(2.227) and (2.230) that the ordinary (bosonic) rank  $N$  tensors and the rank  $N$  tensors formed from the Kronecker product of semi-spinors satisfy same (opposite) in sign duality conditions for  $N$  even (odd). This is absolutely necessary in order for the invariant to be non-vanishing. This can be seen by means of a simple example. Consider two 2-index antisymmetric tensors  $A_{\mu_1 \mu_2}$  and  $B_{\mu_1 \mu_2}$  of  $SO(4)$  ( $N=2$ ) satisfying the duality conditions:  $A_{\mu_1 \mu_2} = +\frac{1}{2!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_1 \nu_2} A_{\nu_1 \nu_2}$  and  $B_{\mu_1 \mu_2} = -\frac{1}{2!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_1 \nu_2} B_{\nu_1 \nu_2}$ . The invariant  $A_{\mu_1 \mu_2} B_{\mu_1 \mu_2}$  is zero because  $A_{\mu_1 \mu_2} B_{\mu_1 \mu_2} = -\frac{1}{(2!)^2} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_1 \nu_2} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2} A_{\nu_1 \nu_2} B_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = -\frac{1}{(2!)^2} (2!) (\delta_{\nu_1 \sigma_1} \delta_{\nu_2 \sigma_2} - \delta_{\nu_1 \sigma_2} \delta_{\nu_2 \sigma_1}) = -A_{\mu_1 \mu_2} B_{\mu_1 \mu_2}$ .

The next task is to determine the symmetry of the couplings in the exchange of identical semi-spinors. Looking at Eqs.(2.227) and (2.229), define a  $k$ -index ( $k = \text{odd}$ ) Kronecker product of spinors,  $K_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^0 \gamma^A \Gamma_{\mu_1} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_k} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}}$  and recall that the spinors are anticommutating fermion fields,  $\gamma^{0\dagger} = \gamma^0$  and that  $\Gamma_\mu^\dagger = \Gamma_\mu$ . From the fact  $K_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = K_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^\dagger$  conclude that  $K_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = -\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Gamma_{\mu_k} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_1} \times \gamma^{A\dagger} \gamma^0 \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}$ . Further, from the properties satisfied by the Dirac matrices,

$(\gamma^0)^2 = \mathbf{1}$  and  $\gamma^A = \gamma^0 \gamma^{A\dagger} \gamma^0$  and the anticommutating property of  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's, one can easily show that  $K_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = -(-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} K_{\dot{b}\dot{a}}$ . Thus

$\forall N$  and  $k$  even

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L} &\equiv g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \overline{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_k} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ \mathbf{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} + (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)+2}{2}} g_{\dot{b}\dot{a}} \right] \overline{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_k} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})} \end{aligned} \quad (2.234)$$

If the symmetry factor  $(-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)+2}{2}}$  is odd, and if we restrict to one generation or do not allow for mixing between generations ( $\dot{a} = \dot{b}$ ), then  $\mathbf{L}$  would vanish.

One can also build symmetric traceless tensors. Here one starts with a symmetrized product of  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's and then subtracts off the trace. For example a  $2^{nd}$  rank symmetric traceless tensor of dimension  $\binom{2N+1}{2} - \binom{2N}{0}$  takes the form

$$\Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2\}} \Psi_{(\pm)} - \delta_{\mu_1\mu_2} \Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Psi_{(\pm)} \quad (2.235)$$

while a  $3^{rd}$  rank symmetric traceless tensor of dimension  $\binom{2N+2}{3} - \binom{2N}{1}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3\}} \Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}} - \frac{N}{N+2} \left[ \delta_{\mu_2\mu_3} \Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Psi_{(\mp)} + \delta_{\mu_1\mu_3} \Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Psi_{(\mp)} \right. \\ \left. + \delta_{\mu_1\mu_2} \Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Psi_{(\mp)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.236)$$

where we have used the fact that  $tr(\Gamma_{\mu_i} \Gamma_{\mu_j}) = N$ .



INVARIANTS FORMED FROM  $\Psi^T$  AND  $\Psi$ : LAGRANGIAN AND SUPERPOTENTIAL CASES

We, again, build bilinear spinors from  $\Psi^T$  and  $\Psi$  and an antisymmetric or a symmetric product of  $\Gamma_\mu$ 's. As before these quantities transform as scalars, vectors and tensors. For illustration purposes, consider a quantity defined through  $\Xi_{\mu_1\mu_2} \equiv (B\Psi)^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Psi$ . Then from Eqs.(2.127) and (2.200),  $\Xi'_{\mu_1\mu_2} = (B\Psi')^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Psi' = (B\Psi)^T S^{-1} \Gamma_{\mu_1} (SS^{-1})_{\mu_2} (S\Psi) = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} R_{\mu_2\nu_2} \Xi_{\nu_1\nu_2}$ . Hence  $\Xi_{\mu_1\mu_2}$  transforms like a second rank tensor. The following are the bilinear spinors that can be formed in this case

$$\text{Scalar : } \Xi = \Psi^T B^T \Psi; \quad \Xi' = \Xi$$

$$\text{Vector : } \Xi_{\mu_1} = \Psi^T B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Psi;$$

$$\Xi'_{\mu_1} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} \Xi_{\nu_1}$$

$$2^{nd}\text{Rank Tensor : } \Xi_{\mu_1\mu_2} = \Psi^T B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Psi;$$

$$\Xi'_{\mu_1\mu_2} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} R_{\mu_2\nu_2} \Xi_{\nu_1\nu_2}$$

$$r^{th}\text{Rank Tensor : } \Xi_{\mu_1..\mu_r} = \Psi^T B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1}..\Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi;$$

$$\Xi'_{\mu_1..\mu_r} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1}..R_{\mu_r\nu_r} \Xi_{\nu_1..\nu_r} \quad (2.237)$$

An  $r^{th}$  rank tensor can be decomposed in to a sum of four  $r^{th}$  rank tensors involving semi-spinors ( $\Psi = \Psi_{(+)} + \Psi_{(-)}$ ):  $\Psi^T B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1}..\Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi = \Psi_{(\pm)}^T B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1}$

$\times \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi_{(\pm)} + \Psi_{(\pm)}^T B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi_{(\mp)}$ . Using the fact  $\Psi_{(\pm)} = P_{\pm} \Psi$  and Eqs. (2.172), (2.177), (2.178), (2.193), (2.194) and (2.204) one can easily verify the following results:

$N$  odd,  $r$  even or  $N$  even,  $r$  odd

$$\Psi_{(\pm)}^T B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi_{(\pm)} = 0 \quad (2.238)$$

$N$  odd,  $r$  odd or  $N$  even,  $r$  even

$$\Psi_{(\pm)}^T B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Psi_{(\mp)} = 0 \quad (2.239)$$

If we make the same assignment of the particles as in the previous case: left-handed states fermion states to  $\Psi_{(+)}$  and right-handed CP conjugate fermion states to  $\Psi_{(-)}$ , then the product  $2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1}$  ( $N$  odd and even) transforms as a Lorentz vector. Therefore to make these tensors a Lorentz vector, we must insert the product  $C\gamma^A$ , where  $C$  is the Dirac charge conjugation matrix. The product  $2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\pm)}^{N-1}$  ( $N$  odd and even) transforms as a Lorentz scalar and we must insert  $C$  in these tensors.

Following the same lines as in the previous case where invariants were formed from  $\Psi^\dagger$  and  $\Psi$ , we get

$N$  Even (Vector Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C\gamma^A B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}} \Phi_{A\mu_1}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C\gamma^A B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3]} \Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}} \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C\gamma^A B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4} \Gamma_{\mu_5]} \Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}} \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & \dots\dots \quad \dots\dots \quad \dots\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C\gamma^A B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\dots\Gamma_{\mu_{N-1}]}\Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}}\Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{N-1}}^{(\mathcal{A})}$$

$$2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1} = \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-3} \oplus \binom{2N}{N-1} \quad (2.240)$$

$N$  Even (Scalar Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B^T \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}}\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2]}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}}\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\Gamma_{\mu_3}\Gamma_{\mu_4]}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}}\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & \dots\dots\dots \\ & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Xi_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\pm 1)} \Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2_{(+)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(+)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{+1} \\ 2_{(-)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(-)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.241)$$

$N$  odd (Vector Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C\gamma^A B^T \Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}}\Phi_A^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C\gamma^A B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2]}\Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}}\Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C\gamma^A B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\Gamma_{\mu_3}\Gamma_{\mu_4]}\Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}}\Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & \dots\dots\dots \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C\gamma^A B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_2}\dots\Gamma_{\mu_{N-1}]}\Psi_{(\mp)\dot{b}}\Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{N-1}}^{(\mathcal{A})} \end{aligned}$$

$$2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1} = \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-3} \oplus \binom{2N}{N-1} \quad (2.242)$$

$N$  Odd (Scalar Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3]} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4} \Gamma_{\mu_5]} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & \dots\dots\dots \\ & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Xi_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\mp i)} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2_{(-)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(-)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(+i)} \\ 2_{(+)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(+)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(-i)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.243)$$

where we have defined

$N$  even

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= \Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(+1)} + \Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(-1)} \\ \Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots \delta_{\mu_N \nu_N} \pm \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_N} \right) \Xi_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \\ \Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &\equiv \Psi_{(\pm)}^T C B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(\pm)} \\ \Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &= \pm \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \Xi_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N}^{(\pm 1)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.244)$$

$N$  odd

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= \Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(+i)} + \Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(-i)} \\
\Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots \delta_{\mu_N \nu_N} \pm \frac{i}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_N} \right) \Xi_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \\
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &\equiv \Psi_{(\mp)}^T C B^T \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} \Psi_{(\mp)} \\
\Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &= \pm \frac{i}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \Xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\mp i)} \quad (2.245)
\end{aligned}$$

These duality conditions follow immediately upon using  $\Psi_{(\pm)} = P_{\pm} \Psi$  and Eqs.(2.180) and (2.181). The couplings above appear in the Lagrangian. These couplings can also appear in the superpotential, provided the Dirac charge conjugation matrix,  $C$  is removed.

As a final result, we find the symmetry factor in the exchange of identical semi-spinors. Looking at Eqs.(2.241) and (2.243). Define a  $k$ -index tensor:  $h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_k} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}}$ . Here  $k$  is odd for  $N$  odd and  $k$  is even for  $N$  even. As before, using the fact that the transpose of this defined quantity is itself and that Dirac charge conjugation matrix,  $C$ , is antisymmetric, we conclude that

$k$  odd,  $N$  odd or  $k$  even,  $N$  even

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &\equiv h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_k} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} + (-1)^{\frac{(N-k)(N-k+1)}{2}} h_{\dot{b}\dot{a}} \right] \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B^T \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_k} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \Phi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})} \quad (2.246)
\end{aligned}$$

Note this result is independent of the representation of  $B$ . Looking at Eqs.(2.191)-(2.194), we have two distinct options:  $B^{-1} \Gamma_{\mu}^T B = (-1)^N \Gamma_{\mu}$ ,

$B^T = (-1)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}}B$  and  $B^{-1}\Gamma_\mu^T B = (-1)^{N+1}\Gamma_\mu$ ,  $B^T = (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}B$ . Both options give the same result of Eq.(2.246).

#### INVARIANTS FORMED FROM $\Psi^\dagger$ AND $\Psi^*$ : LAGRANGIAN CASE

Here we construct couplings out of  $\Psi^\dagger$  and  $\Psi^*$ . This is possible if we write a quantity with two indices (say) as  $\Lambda_{\mu_1\mu_2} = \Psi^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} B \Psi^*$ . From Eq.(2.198),  $\Lambda'_{\mu_1\mu_2} = \Psi^{\dagger'} \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} B \Psi^{*'} = \Psi^\dagger S^{-1} \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} B (S^{-1})^T \Psi^* = \Psi^\dagger (S^{-1} \Gamma_{\mu_1} S) (S^{-1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} S) \times S^{-1} B (S^{-1})^T \Psi^*$ . Using Eqs.(2.130), (2.195) and (2.197) we get  $\Lambda'_{\mu_1\mu_2} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} R_{\mu_2\nu_2} (\Psi^\dagger \Gamma_{\nu_1} \Gamma_{\nu_2} B \Psi^*) = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} R_{\mu_2\nu_2} \Lambda_{\nu_1\nu_2}$ . Hence, we can form the following tensors

$$\text{Scalar : } \Lambda = \Psi^\dagger B \Psi^*;$$

$$\Lambda' = \Lambda$$

$$\text{Vector : } \Lambda_{\mu_1} = \Psi^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} B \Psi^*;$$

$$\Lambda'_{\mu_1} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} \Lambda_{\nu_1}$$

$$2^{nd}\text{Rank Tensor : } \Lambda_{\mu_1\mu_2} = \Psi^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} B \Psi^*;$$

$$\Lambda'_{\mu_1\mu_2} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1} R_{\mu_2\nu_2} \Lambda_{\nu_1\nu_2}$$

$$r^{th}\text{Rank Tensor : } \Lambda_{\mu_1..\mu_r} = \Psi^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1}..\Gamma_{\mu_r} B \Psi^*;$$

$$\Lambda'_{\mu_1..\mu_r} = R_{\mu_1\nu_1}..R_{\mu_r\nu_r} \Lambda_{\nu_1..\nu_r} \quad (2.247)$$

One can very easily prove that in the case of couplings formed from  $\Psi^\dagger$  and  $\Psi^*$ , the following general results hold true

For  $r$  odd,  $N$  even or  $r$  even,  $N$  odd

$$\Psi_\pm^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} B \Psi_\pm^* = 0 \quad (2.248)$$

For  $r$  even,  $N$  even or  $r$  odd,  $N$  odd

$$\Psi_\pm^\dagger \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} B \Psi_\mp^* = 0 \quad (2.249)$$

We now present a complete sets of invariants. In order for the couplings to transform correctly as Lorentz vectors and Lorentz scalars we must insert, by hand, quantities like  $\gamma^0 \gamma^A C \gamma^{0T}$  and  $\gamma^0 \gamma^A \gamma^{0T}$ , respectively. Thus the non-vanishing couplings are

$N$  Even (Vector Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{\mu_1} B C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3]} B C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4} \Gamma_{\mu_5]} B C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\ & \dots\dots\dots \\ & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_{N-1}]} B C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{N-1}}^{(\mathcal{A})} \end{aligned}$$

$$2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1} = \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-3} \oplus \binom{2N}{N-1} \quad (2.250)$$

$N$  Even (Scalar Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned}
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2]} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4]} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& \dots\dots\dots \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Lambda_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\pm 1)} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2_{(+)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(+)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{+1} \\
2_{(-)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(-)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \quad (2.251)$$

$N$  odd (Vector Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_A^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2]} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1 \mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4]} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& \dots\dots\dots \\
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_{N-1}]} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{N-1}}^{(\mathcal{A})}
\end{aligned}$$

$$2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1} = \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-3} \oplus \binom{2N}{N-1} \quad (2.252)$$



$N$  Odd (Scalar Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned}
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{\mu_1} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3]} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4} \Gamma_{\mu_5]} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& \dots\dots\dots \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Lambda_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\mp i)} \Omega_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2_{(-)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(-)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(-i)} \\
2_{(+)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(+)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(+i)}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.253}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)} &= \Psi_{(\pm)}^\dagger \gamma^0 \\
\bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)}^T &= \gamma^{0T} \Psi_{(\pm)}^*
\end{aligned} \tag{2.254}$$

$N$  even

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= \Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(+1)} + \Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(-1)} \\
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots \delta_{\mu_N \nu_N} \pm \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_N} \right) \Lambda_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \\
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &\equiv \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)} \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)}^T \\
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &= \pm \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \Lambda_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N}^{(\pm 1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.255}$$

$N$  odd

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= \Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(+i)} + \Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(-i)} \\
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots \delta_{\mu_N \nu_N} \pm \frac{i}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_N} \right) \Lambda_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \\
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &\equiv \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)} \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)}^T \\
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &= \pm \frac{i}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\mp i)} \quad (2.256)
\end{aligned}$$

The symmetry factor is

$k$  odd,  $N$  odd or  $k$  even,  $N$  even

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &\equiv h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_k} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} + (-1)^{\frac{(N-k)(N-k+1)}{2}} h_{\dot{b}\dot{a}} \right] \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}} \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_k} BC \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})} \quad (2.257)
\end{aligned}$$

INVARIANTS FORMED FROM  $\Psi^T$  AND  $\Psi^*$ : LAGRANGIAN CASE

In this case, a second rank tensor, for example, is defined by  $\Theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Psi^T B \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu \times B^{-1} \Psi^*$ , because  $\Theta'_{\mu\nu} = \Psi'^T B \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu B^{-1} \Psi^{*'} = \Psi^T S^T B \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu B^{-1} (S^T)^{-1} \Psi^*$ , and where we have used Eq.(2.198). On using Eq.(2.197),  $\Theta'_{\mu\nu} = \Psi^T (BS^{-1}) \times \Gamma_\mu (SS^{-1}) \Gamma_\nu B^{-1} (BSB^{-1}) \Psi^* = \Psi^T B (S^{-1} \Gamma_\mu S) (S^{-1} \Gamma_\nu S) B^{-1} \Psi^* = R_{\mu\sigma} R_{\nu\rho} \Psi^T \times B \Gamma_\sigma \Gamma_\rho B^{-1} \Psi^* = R_{\mu\sigma} R_{\nu\rho} \Theta_{\sigma\rho}$ . Thus,

$$\text{Scalar : } \Theta = \Psi^T B B^{-1} \Psi^*;$$

$$\Theta' = \Theta$$

$$\text{Vector : } \Theta_{\mu_1} = \Psi^T B \Gamma_{\mu_1} B^{-1} \Psi^*;$$

$$\Lambda'_{\mu_1} = R_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \Theta_{\nu_1}$$

$$2^{nd} \text{Rank Tensor : } \Theta_{\mu_1 \mu_2} = \Psi^T B \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} B^{-1} \Psi^*;$$

$$\Theta'_{\mu_1 \mu_2} = R_{\mu_1 \nu_1} R_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \Theta_{\nu_1 \nu_2}$$

$$r^{th} \text{Rank Tensor : } \Theta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} = \Psi^T B \Gamma_{\mu_1} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} B^{-1} \Psi^*;$$

$$\Theta'_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} = R_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \dots R_{\mu_r \nu_r} \Theta_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_r} \quad (2.258)$$

Using the properties (2.204) and Eqs.(2.177)-(2.178), the reader can make the following inferences

$$\forall N, \ r \text{ odd}$$

$$\Psi_{\pm}^T B \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} B^{-1} \Psi_{\pm}^* = 0 \quad (2.259)$$

$$\forall N, \ r \text{ even}$$

$$\Psi_{\pm}^T B \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} B^{-1} \Psi_{\mp}^* = 0 \quad (2.260)$$

In order for the couplings to correctly exhibit the Lorentz vector and scalar behavior, we must insert, by hand,  $C\gamma_A C\gamma^{0T}$  and  $CC\gamma^{0T}$ , respectively.

We have the following couplings in the Lagrangian,

$N$  Even (Vector Couplings)

$$g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C \gamma^A B B^{-1} C \overline{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_A^{(A)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C \gamma^A B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2}] B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C \gamma^A B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4}] B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& \dots\dots\dots \dots\dots\dots \dots\dots\dots \\
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Theta_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\pm 1)} \Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_N}^{(\pm 1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2_{(+)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(+)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(+1)} \\
2_{(-)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(-)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(-1)}
\end{aligned} \quad (2.261)$$

$N$  Even (Scalar Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned}
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B \Gamma_{\mu_1} B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3}] B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4} \Gamma_{\mu_5}] B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& \dots\dots\dots \dots\dots\dots \dots\dots\dots \\
& h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_{N-1}}] B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{N-1}}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-3} \oplus \binom{2N}{N-1}
\end{aligned} \quad (2.262)$$

$N$  odd (Vector Couplings)

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C \gamma^A B B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_A^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C \gamma^A B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2}] B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C \gamma^A B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4}] B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}^{(\mathcal{A})}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{.....} \quad \text{.....} \quad \text{.....} \\
g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C \gamma^A B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_{N-1}}]} B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{N-1}}^{(\mathcal{A})}
\end{array}$$

$$2_{(\pm)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(\mp)}^{N-1} = \binom{2N}{0} \oplus \binom{2N}{2} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-3} \oplus \binom{2N}{N-1} \quad (2.263)$$

$N$  Odd (Scalar Couplings)

$$\begin{array}{c}
h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B \Gamma_{\mu_1} B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3}]} B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \Gamma_{\mu_4} \Gamma_{\mu_5}]} B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
\text{.....} \quad \text{.....} \quad \text{.....} \\
h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Theta_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\mp i)} \Omega_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_N}^{(\pm i)}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2_{(+)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(+)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(+i)} \\
2_{(-)}^{N-1} \otimes 2_{(-)}^{N-1} &= \binom{2N}{1} \oplus \binom{2N}{3} \oplus \dots \oplus \binom{2N}{N-2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \binom{2N}{N}^{(-i)}
\end{aligned} \quad (2.264)$$

where we have defined

$N$  even

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{\mu_1\dots\mu_N} &= \Theta_{\mu_1\dots\mu_N}^{(+1)} + \Theta_{\mu_1\dots\mu_N}^{(-1)} \\
\Theta_{\mu_1\dots\mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1\nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2\nu_2} \dots \delta_{\mu_N\nu_N} \pm \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_N\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_N} \right) \Theta_{\nu_1\dots\nu_N} \\
\Theta_{\mu_1\dots\mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &\equiv \Psi_{(\pm)}^T C \gamma^A B \Gamma_{[\mu_1 \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N}]} B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)}^T \\
\Lambda_{\mu_1\dots\mu_N}^{(\pm 1)} &= \pm \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\dots\mu_N\nu_1\dots\nu_N} \Lambda_{\mu_1\dots\mu_N}^{(\pm 1)}
\end{aligned} \quad (2.265)$$

$N$  odd

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= \Theta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(+i)} + \Theta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(-i)} \\
\Theta_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu_1 \nu_1} \delta_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots \delta_{\mu_N \nu_N} \pm \frac{i}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_N} \right) \Lambda_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \\
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &\equiv \Psi_{(\pm)}^T C B \Gamma_{[\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_N]} B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\mp)}^T \\
\Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\pm i)} &= \pm \frac{i}{N!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N \nu_1 \dots \nu_N} \Lambda_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{(\mp i)} \quad (2.266)
\end{aligned}$$

The correct symmetry factor can be easily computed. It is found to be

$\forall N, k$  even

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &\equiv g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C \gamma^A B \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_k} B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})} \\
\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} + (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)+2}{2}} g_{\dot{b}\dot{a}} \right] \Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^T C \gamma^A B \Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_k} B^{-1} C \bar{\Psi}_{(\pm)\dot{b}}^T \Phi_{A\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{(\mathcal{A})} \quad (2.267)
\end{aligned}$$

## 2.11 Specialization to SO(10) gauge group

DECOMPOSITION OF SO(10) SPINOR UNDER SU(5)

In the case of  $SO(10)$  ( $N = 5$ ) group the 32 ( $= 2^5$ ) dimensional spinor splits into two inequivalent irreducible 16 ( $= 2^5$ ) dimensional representations:  $\Psi_{(+)} \sim 16$ ,  $\Psi_{(-)} \sim \overline{16}$ . Then Eq.(2.171) gives

under  $SO(10) \supset SU(5) \otimes U(1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
16 &\supset [1](M) \oplus [10](M^{ij}) \oplus [\overline{5}](M_i) \\
\overline{16} &\supset [5](N^i) \oplus [\overline{10}](N_{ij}) \oplus [1](N) \quad (2.268)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{5} \sim \begin{pmatrix} d_1^c \\ d_2^c \\ d_3^c \\ e^- \\ \nu_e \end{pmatrix}_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} d_{L\alpha}^c \\ e_L^- \\ \nu_{eL} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.269)$$

$$10 \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_3^c & -u_2^c & | & -u^1 & -d^1 \\ -u_3^c & 0 & u_1^c & | & -u^2 & -d^2 \\ u_2^c & -u_1^c & 0 & | & -u^3 & -d^3 \\ - & - & - & - & - & - \\ u^1 & u^2 & u^3 & | & 0 & e^+ \\ d^1 & d^2 & d^3 & | & -e^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}_L \quad (2.270)$$

$$\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\xi} u_{L\xi}^c & | & -u_L^\alpha & -d_L^\alpha \\ -- & - & -- \\ u_L^\alpha & | & \\ & | & \epsilon_{ab} e_L^+ \\ d_L^\alpha & | & \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.271)$$

$$1 \sim \nu_{eL}^c \quad (2.272)$$

Here,  $\alpha, \beta, \xi = 1, 2, 3$  are  $SU(3)$  color indices, while  $a, b = 4, 5$  are  $SU(2)$  indices and we adopt that all particles are left-handed ( $L$ ).  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\xi}$  and  $\epsilon_{ab}$  are Levi-Civita tensors of  $SU(3)$  and  $SU(2)$  subgroups, respectively.

From Eq.(2.169),  $\Psi_{(+)} = \psi|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\psi^{ij}b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger|0\rangle + \frac{1}{24}\psi^{ijkl}b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger|0\rangle$   
 $(i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, 5)$ . Note that  $(5 \sim) \psi^{ijkl}$  of  $SU(5)$  is reducible, thus the  
irreducible  $(\bar{5} \sim) \psi^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{4!}\epsilon^{ijklm}\psi_m$ . Since  $\Psi_{(+)}$  is a 16 component vector,  
we make a slight change of notation:  $\Psi_{(+)} \rightarrow |\Psi_{(+)}\rangle$ . To identify the  
 $SU(5)$  multiplets with matter particles, we also replace the symbols  $\psi$  by  $\mathbf{M}$ .  
Taking, into consideration generation (family) indices  $(\acute{a}, \acute{b})$ , we write for the  
 $SO(10)$  spinor,

$$\Psi_{(+)\acute{a}} \rightarrow |\Psi_{(+)\acute{a}}\rangle = |0\rangle \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}} + \frac{1}{2}b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger|0\rangle \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}}^{ij} + \frac{1}{24}\epsilon^{ijklm}b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger b_m^\dagger|0\rangle \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}i} \quad (2.273)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}} &= \nu_{L\acute{a}}^c; & \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}\alpha} &= D_{L\acute{a}\alpha}^c; & \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}}^{\alpha\beta} &= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}U_{L\acute{a}\gamma}^c; & \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}4} &= E_{L\acute{a}}^- \\ \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}}^{4\alpha} &= U_{L\acute{a}}^\alpha; & \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}5} &= \nu_{L\acute{a}}; & \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}}^{5\alpha} &= D_{L\acute{a}}^\alpha; & \mathbf{M}_{\acute{a}}^{45} &= E_{L\acute{a}}^+ \end{aligned} \quad (2.274)$$

Similarly, from Eq.(2.169), we have for the negative chirality states:  $\Psi_{(-)} =$   
 $\psi^i b_i^\dagger|0\rangle + \frac{1}{6}\psi^{ijk}b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger|0\rangle + \frac{1}{120}\psi^{ijklm}b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger b_m^\dagger|0\rangle$ . Again  $(10 \sim) \psi^{ijk}$  is  
reducible, thus the irreducible  $(\bar{10} \sim) \psi^{ijk} = \frac{1}{2!}\epsilon^{ijklm}\psi_{lm}$ . Also  $(\bar{1} \sim) \psi^{ijklm} =$   
 $\frac{1}{0!}\epsilon^{ijklm}\psi'$  and thus  $\psi^{ijklm}b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger b_m^\dagger = \epsilon^{ijklm}b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger b_m^\dagger\psi' = 5! b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger b_3^\dagger b_4^\dagger b_5^\dagger\psi'$ .  
To distinguish between the two multiplets, we replace the  $\psi$ 's by  $\mathbf{N}$ . Putting  
everything together, we have for the  $\bar{16}$  multiplet

$$\Psi_{(-)\acute{b}} \rightarrow |\Psi_{(-)\acute{b}}\rangle = b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger b_3^\dagger b_4^\dagger b_5^\dagger|0\rangle \mathbf{N}_{\acute{b}} + \frac{1}{12}\epsilon^{ijklm}b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger b_m^\dagger|0\rangle \mathbf{N}_{\acute{b}ij} + b_i^\dagger|0\rangle \mathbf{N}_{\acute{b}}^i \quad (2.275)$$



The group theoretic difference between the chirality (+) and (−) states is that chirality (+) states are generated by the action of an even number of creation operators on the vacuum state while the chirality (−) states are generated by the action of an odd number of creation operators. The chirality (+) fields,  $|\Psi_{(+)a} \rangle$ , can be identified with the three generations of quarks and leptons, constituted by three sets of  $\bar{5}+10$  plets of  $SU(5)$  and three  $SU(5)$  singlet (right handed neutrino) fields. The chirality (−) fields,  $|\Psi_{(-)\dot{a}} \rangle$  could be Higgs multiplets that arise in  $SO(10)$  model building. The role of the negative chirality fields in model building will be discussed elsewhere.

For the sake of completeness, we also give the Hermitian conjugate, transpose and complex conjugates of the  $\Psi_{(\pm)}$  mutiplets:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{(+)a}^\dagger &\rightarrow \langle \Psi_{(+)a} | = \mathbf{M}_a^\dagger \langle 0 | + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{M}_{aij}^\dagger \langle 0 | b_j b_i + \frac{1}{24} \mathbf{M}_a^{i\dagger} \epsilon_{ijklm} \langle 0 | b_m b_l b_k b_j \\
\Psi_{(+)a}^T &\rightarrow \langle \Psi_{(+)a}^* | = \mathbf{M}_a^T \langle 0 | + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{M}_a^{ijT} \langle 0 | b_j b_i + \frac{1}{24} \mathbf{M}_{ai}^T \epsilon^{ijklm} \langle 0 | b_m b_l b_k b_j \\
\Psi_{(+)a}^* &\rightarrow |\Psi_{(+)a}^* \rangle = |0 \rangle \mathbf{M}_a^* + \frac{1}{2} b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger |0 \rangle \mathbf{M}_{aij}^* + \frac{1}{24} \epsilon_{ijklm} b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger b_m^\dagger |0 \rangle \mathbf{M}_a^{i*}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.276}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger &\rightarrow \langle \Psi_{(-)\dot{a}} | = \mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \langle 0 | b_5 b_4 b_3 b_2 b_1 + \frac{1}{12} \mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \epsilon_{ijklm} \langle 0 | b_m b_l b_k + \mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}i}^\dagger \langle 0 | b_i \\
\Psi_{(-)\dot{a}}^T &\rightarrow \langle \Psi_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | = \mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}}^T \langle 0 | b_5 b_4 b_3 b_2 b_1 + \frac{1}{12} \mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}ij}^T \epsilon^{ijklm} \langle 0 | b_m b_l b_k + \mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}}^{iT} \langle 0 | b_i \\
\Psi_{(-)\dot{a}}^* &\rightarrow |\Psi_{(-)\dot{a}}^* \rangle = b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger b_3^\dagger b_4^\dagger b_5^\dagger |0 \rangle \mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}}^* + \frac{1}{12} \epsilon_{ijklm} b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger b_m^\dagger |0 \rangle \mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}}^{ij*} + b_i^\dagger |0 \rangle \mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}i}^*
\end{aligned}$$

where we have the used the convention set in Eqs.(2.161) and (2.170).

## SO(10) INVARIANTS

From the decomposition formulas of Eqs. (2.229), (2.230), (2.242), (2.243), (2.252), (2.253), (2.263), (2.264) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
16^\dagger \otimes \overline{16} &= 10 \oplus 120 \oplus 126^{(+i)} \\
\overline{16}^\dagger \otimes 16 &= 10 \oplus 120 \oplus 126^{(-i)} \\
16^\dagger \otimes 16 &= 1_{(as)} \oplus 45_{(s)} \oplus 210_{(as)} \\
\overline{16}^\dagger \otimes \overline{16} &= 1_{(as)} \oplus 45_{(s)} \oplus 210_{(as)} \tag{2.277}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
16 \otimes 16 &= 10_{(s)} \oplus 120_{(as)} \oplus 126_{(s)}^{(-i)} \\
\overline{16} \otimes \overline{16} &= 10_{(s)} \oplus 120_{(as)} \oplus 126_{(s)}^{(+i)} \\
16 \otimes \overline{16} &= 1 \oplus 45 \oplus 210 \\
\overline{16} \otimes 16 &= 1 \oplus 45 \oplus 210 \tag{2.278}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{16}^\dagger \otimes \overline{16}^\dagger &= 10_{(s)} \oplus 120_{(as)} \oplus 126_{(s)}^{(+i)} \\
16^\dagger \otimes 16^\dagger &= 10_{(s)} \oplus 120_{(as)} \oplus 126_{(s)}^{(-i)} \\
16^\dagger \otimes \overline{16}^\dagger &= 1 \oplus 45 \oplus 210 \\
\overline{16}^\dagger \otimes 16^\dagger &= 1 \oplus 45 \oplus 210 \tag{2.279}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
16 \otimes \overline{16}^\dagger &= 10 \oplus 120 \oplus 126^{(+i)} \\
\overline{16} \otimes 16^\dagger &= 10 \oplus 120 \oplus 126^{(-i)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
16 \otimes 16^\dagger &= 1_{(as)} \oplus 45_{(s)} \oplus 210_{(as)} \\
\overline{16} \otimes \overline{16}^\dagger &= 1_{(as)} \oplus 45_{(s)} \oplus 210_{(as)}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.280}$$

where the subscripts  $s$  and  $as$  indicate whether the particular tensor formed from the Kronecker product of spinors appears symmetrically or antisymmetrically in the exchange of 16 plets (see Eqs.(2.234), (2.246), (2.257) and (2.267)). The superscript  $\pm i$  indicates the duality condition satisfied by the 126 dimensional,  $5^{th}$  rank tensor of  $SO(10)$  (see Eqs.(2.232), (2.245), (2.256) and (2.266)). In the literature  $126^{(\mp i)}$  are defined as  $126^{(-i)} \equiv 126$  and  $126^{(+i)} \equiv \overline{126}$ . As mentioned earlier, it is imperative that in order for the invariant to be non-vanishing the  $5^{th}$  rank bosonic tensor (not shown here) which couples to the Kronecker products of spinors must satisfy opposite in sign duality condition.

Counting, the number of  $SO(2N)$  invariants in Eqs.(2.276)-(2.279), gives us a total of 48 couplings. In this thesis, besides other computations, we have evaluated 15 of these couplings in terms of  $SU(5)$  fields.

Here it is also appropriate to identify the particular representation of the  $SO(10)$  charge conjugation matrix that we are going to use in the subsequent chapters. To that end, we first choose the basis to be:  $\Gamma_{2i}^T = \Gamma_{2i}$ ,  $\Gamma_{2i-1}^T = -\Gamma_{2i-1}$ . This is reasonable because  $\Gamma_{2i}(= b_i + b_i^\dagger)$  are represented by symmetric matrices and  $\Gamma_{2i-1} = -i(b_i - b_i^\dagger)$  are represented by antisymmetric matrices in the spinor basis. For the particular representation of B, we choose Eq.(2.194) and along with Eq.(2.195), we have:

$$B^{-1}\Gamma_\mu^T B = -\Gamma_\mu$$

$$B = -i \prod_{k=1}^5 (b_k - b_k^\dagger), \quad B^T = -B, \quad B^{-1} = B \quad (2.281)$$

DECOMPOSITION OF ORDINARY (BOSONIC)  $SO(10)$  VECTOR AND TENSOR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO  $SU(5)$

From Eqs.(2.108)-(2.116), we have the following decompositions:

$$SO(10) \supset SU(5) \otimes U(1)$$

$$\Phi_\mu : [10] \supset \{5\} \oplus \{\bar{5}\} \quad (2.282)$$

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})} : [45] \supset \{24\} \oplus \{10\} \oplus \{\bar{10}\} \oplus \{1\}$$

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{S})} : [54] \supset \{24\} \oplus \{15\} \oplus \{\bar{15}\} \quad (2.283)$$

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(\mathcal{A})} : [120] \supset \{5\} \oplus \{\bar{5}\} \oplus \{10\} \oplus \{\bar{10}\} \oplus \{45\} \oplus \{\bar{45}\}$$

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(\mathcal{S})} : [210'] \supset \{35\} \oplus \{\bar{35}\} \oplus \{70\} \oplus \{\bar{70}\} \quad (2.284)$$

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{(\mathcal{A})} : [210] \supset \{1\} \oplus \{5\} \oplus \{\bar{5}\} \oplus \{10\} \oplus \{\bar{10}\} \oplus \{24\} \oplus \{40\} \oplus \{\bar{40}\}$$

$$\oplus \{75\}$$

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{(\mathcal{S})} : [660] \supset \{70\} \oplus \{\bar{70}\} \oplus \{160\} \oplus \{\bar{160}\} \oplus \{200\} \quad (2.285)$$

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}^{(\mathcal{A})} : [252] \supset \{1\} \oplus \{1\} \oplus \{5\} \oplus \{\bar{5}\} \oplus \{10\} \oplus \{\bar{10}\} \oplus \{15\} \oplus \{\bar{15}\}$$

$$\oplus \{45\} \oplus \{\bar{45}\} \oplus \{50\} \oplus \{\bar{50}\}$$

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{(\mathcal{S})} : [1782] \supset \{126\} \oplus \{\bar{126}\} \oplus \{315\} \oplus \{\bar{315}\} \oplus \{450\} \oplus \{\bar{450}\} \quad (2.286)$$

Recall that the real 252-dimensional  $5^{th}$  rank tensor,  $\Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}^{(\mathcal{A})}$  decomposes into two complex  $5^{th}$  tensors,  $\Omega_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}^{(\mathcal{A})}$  and  $\overline{\Omega}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}^{(\mathcal{A})}$  each of dimensionality 126:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}^{(\mathcal{A})} : [126] &\supset \{1\} \oplus \{\overline{5}\} \oplus \{10\} \oplus \{\overline{15}\} \oplus \{45\} \oplus \{\overline{50}\} \\ \overline{\Omega}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}^{(\mathcal{A})} : [\overline{126}] &\supset \{1\} \oplus \{5\} \oplus \{\overline{10}\} \oplus \{15\} \oplus \{\overline{45}\} \oplus \{50\}\end{aligned}\quad (2.287)$$

### SPINORIAL GENERATORS OF $SO(10)$

The two most interesting subgroup decompositions are

$$SO(10) \supset SO(6) \otimes SO(4) \cong SU(4)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$$

and

$$SO(10) \supset SU(5) \otimes U(1)_X$$

In the case of a full exhibition of  $SU(4)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$  invariant content of  $SO(10)$  couplings see [52].

$$\underline{SO(6) \cong SU(4)_C \supset SU(3)_C \otimes U(1)_{B-L}}$$

#### 1. $SU(3)_C$ Generators.

The general  $SU(N)$  spinorial generators are given by Eqs.(2.149) and (2.150). Here we specialize them for  $N = 3$ :

$$\begin{aligned}t_\beta^\alpha &= -b_\alpha^\dagger b_\beta + \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{3} \sum_{\xi=1}^3 b_\xi^\dagger b_\xi; \quad \alpha, \beta, \xi = 1, 2, 3 \\ t_\beta^\alpha &= \frac{i}{4} (\Sigma_{2\alpha \ 2\beta} + \Sigma_{2\alpha-1 \ 2\beta-1} - i\Sigma_{2\alpha \ 2\beta-1} - i\Sigma_{2\beta \ 2\alpha-1}) - \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{6} \sum_{\xi=1}^3 \Sigma_{2\xi \ 2\xi-1}\end{aligned}\quad (2.288)$$

$$a = 1, 2, \dots, 8$$

$$t_a = 2 \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left[ \begin{array}{ll} t_\alpha^\beta + t_\beta^\alpha & 3 \text{ generators} \\ -i(t_\beta^\alpha - t_\alpha^\beta) & 3 \text{ generators} \end{array} \right]; & \forall \alpha \neq \beta = 1, 2, 3 \\ \left[ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{3}(t_\alpha^\alpha + t_{\alpha+1}^{\alpha+1}) & 2 \text{ generators} \\ (t_\alpha^\alpha - t_{\alpha+1}^{\alpha+1}) & 2 \text{ generators} \end{array} \right]; & \alpha = 1, 2 \end{array} \right. \quad (2.289)$$

Factor of 2 inserted above is chosen for convenience. We choose the following diagonal generators ( $\alpha = 1$ ):  $\sqrt{3}(t_1^1 + t_2^2)$  and  $(t_1^1 - t_2^2)$ . The complete list of all the  $SU(3)$  color generators are

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sqrt{3}(t_1^1 + t_2^2) &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(b_1^\dagger b_1 + b_2^\dagger b_2 - 2b_3^\dagger b_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\Sigma_{12} + \Sigma_{34} - 2\Sigma_{56}) \\ 2(t_1^1 - t_2^2) &= -2(b_1^\dagger b_1 - b_2^\dagger b_2) = \Sigma_{34} - \Sigma_{12} \\ 2(t_2^1 + t_1^2) &= -2(b_1^\dagger b_2 + b_2^\dagger b_1) = \Sigma_{23} - \Sigma_{14} \\ -2i(t_2^1 - t_1^2) &= 2i(b_1^\dagger b_2 - b_2^\dagger b_1) = \Sigma_{24} + \Sigma_{13} \\ 2(t_3^1 + t_1^3) &= -2(b_1^\dagger b_3 + b_3^\dagger b_1) = \Sigma_{25} - \Sigma_{16} \\ -2i(t_3^1 - t_1^3) &= 2i(b_1^\dagger b_3 - b_3^\dagger b_1) = \Sigma_{26} + \Sigma_{15} \\ 2(t_3^2 + t_2^3) &= -2(b_2^\dagger b_3 + b_3^\dagger b_2) = \Sigma_{45} - \Sigma_{36} \\ -2i(t_3^2 - t_2^3) &= 2i(b_2^\dagger b_3 - b_3^\dagger b_2) = \Sigma_{46} + \Sigma_{35} \end{aligned} \quad (2.290)$$

## 2. $U(1)_{B-L}$ Generator.

From Eq.(2.154), we get

$$B - L = \frac{3}{2} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 b_{\alpha}^{\dagger} b_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{2\alpha-1 \ 2\alpha} \quad (2.291)$$

Convention is to put a normalization factor of  $\frac{2}{3}$ , that is  $B - L \rightarrow \frac{2}{3}(B - L)$ .

Therefore the new  $B - L$  is given by

$$B - L = 1 - \frac{2}{3}(b_1^{\dagger} b_1 + b_2^{\dagger} b_2 + b_3^{\dagger} b_3) = \frac{1}{3}(\Sigma_{12} + \Sigma_{34} + \Sigma_{56}) \quad (2.292)$$

## 3. $SU(4)_C$ Generators.

Using Eq.(2.150), we have a total of 12 non-diagonal generators of  $SU(4)_C$ .

In addition to the 6 non-diagonal generators of  $SU(3)_C$  (see Eq.(2.289)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(t_4^1 + t_1^4) &= -2(b_1^{\dagger} b_4 + b_4^{\dagger} b_1) = \Sigma_{27} - \Sigma_{18} \\ -2i(t_4^1 - t_1^4) &= 2i(b_1^{\dagger} b_4 - b_4^{\dagger} b_1) = \Sigma_{28} + \Sigma_{17} \\ 2(t_4^2 + t_2^4) &= -2(b_2^{\dagger} b_4 + b_4^{\dagger} b_2) = \Sigma_{47} - \Sigma_{38} \\ -2i(t_4^2 - t_2^4) &= 2i(b_2^{\dagger} b_4 - b_4^{\dagger} b_2) = \Sigma_{48} + \Sigma_{37} \\ 2(t_4^3 + t_3^4) &= -2(b_3^{\dagger} b_4 + b_4^{\dagger} b_3) = \Sigma_{67} - \Sigma_{58} \\ -2i(t_4^3 - t_3^4) &= 2i(b_3^{\dagger} b_4 - b_4^{\dagger} b_3) = \Sigma_{68} + \Sigma_{57} \end{aligned} \quad (2.293)$$

The 3 diagonal generators of  $SU(4)_C$  are chosen to be

$$2\sqrt{2}(t_1^1 + t_2^2) = -\sqrt{2}(b_1^{\dagger} b_1 + b_2^{\dagger} b_2 - b_3^{\dagger} b_3 - b_4^{\dagger} b_4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Sigma_{56} + \Sigma_{78} - 7\Sigma_{34} - 7\Sigma_{12}) \\
2\sqrt{2}(t_2^2 + t_3^3) &= -\sqrt{2}(b_2^\dagger b_2 + b_3^\dagger b_3 - b_1^\dagger b_1 - b_4^\dagger b_4) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Sigma_{12} + \Sigma_{78} - 7\Sigma_{34} - 7\Sigma_{56}) \\
2(t_1^1 - t_2^2) &= -2(b_1^\dagger b_1 - b_2^\dagger b_2) = 4(\Sigma_{34} - \Sigma_{12}) \tag{2.294}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{SO(4) \cong SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \supset SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_R}$$

1.  $SU(2)_L$  Generators.

In this case the generators are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{3L} &\equiv -(t_4^4 - t_5^5) = \frac{1}{2}(b_4^\dagger b_4 - b_5^\dagger b_5) = \frac{1}{4}(\Sigma_{78} - \Sigma_{9\ 10}) \\
\frac{1}{2}(t_5^4 + t_4^5) &= -\frac{1}{2}(b_4^\dagger b_5 + b_5^\dagger b_4) = \frac{1}{4}(\Sigma_{7\ 10} - \Sigma_{89}) \\
\frac{i}{2}(t_5^4 - t_4^5) &= -\frac{i}{2}(b_4^\dagger b_5 - b_5^\dagger b_4) = -\frac{1}{4}(\Sigma_{8\ 10} + \Sigma_{79}) \tag{2.295}
\end{aligned}$$

Again the overall normalizations of  $-1$  and  $\pm\frac{1}{2}$  are inserted for convenience.

2.  $U(1)_R$  Generator.

From Eq.(2.154), we get  $T_{3R} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=4}^5 \Sigma_{2p-1\ 2p} = 2/2 - \sum_{p=4}^5 b_p^\dagger b_p$ . We again normalize  $T_{3R}$  with a factor of  $-\frac{1}{2}$ , that is  $T_{3R} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}T_{3R}$ . Therefore,

$$T_{3R} = -\frac{1}{4}(\Sigma_{78} + \Sigma_{9\ 10}) = \frac{1}{2}(b_4^\dagger b_4 + b_5^\dagger b_5 - 1) \tag{2.296}$$



### 3. $SU(2)_R$ Generators.

Here the diagonal generator,  $T_{3R}$  is given by Eq.(2.295) while the other two generators are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}(\Sigma_{7\ 10} + \Sigma_{89}) &= -\frac{1}{2}(b_4 b_5 - b_4^\dagger b_5^\dagger) \\ -\frac{1}{4}(\Sigma_{8\ 10} - \Sigma_{79}) &= -\frac{i}{2}(b_4 b_5 + b_4^\dagger b_5^\dagger)\end{aligned}\tag{2.297}$$

Each of these generators is a linear combination of the broken generators in  $SO(10)$  (see Eq.(2.156)). Since  $SO(4)$  is isomorphic to  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ , the six generators given by Eqs.(2.294)-(2.296) are also the generators of  $SO(4)$ .

$$\underline{U(1)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L} \supset U(1)_Y}$$

We begin by writing,  $Y = \alpha T_{3R} + \beta(B - L)$ . From the hypercharge assignments of the known particles one gets  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus

$$Y = T_{3R} + \frac{B - L}{2}\tag{2.298}$$

From Eqs.(2.291) and (2.295) we can immediately write down,

$$\begin{aligned}Y &= -\frac{1}{3}(b_1^\dagger b_1 + b_2^\dagger b_2 + b_3^\dagger b_3) + \frac{1}{2}(b_4^\dagger b_4 + b_5^\dagger b_5) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}(\Sigma_{12} + \Sigma_{34} + \Sigma_{56}) - \frac{1}{4}(\Sigma_{78} + \Sigma_{9\ 10})\end{aligned}\tag{2.299}$$

$$\underline{U(1)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \supset U(1)_{em}}$$

Assume that,  $Q = aT_{3L} + bY$ . From the charge assignments of the known particles, we find  $a = 1$  and  $b = 1$ . Therefore, we write

$$Q = T_{3L} + Y \quad (2.300)$$

From Eqs.(2.294) and (2.298) we can immediately write down

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= -\frac{1}{3} (b_1^\dagger b_1 + b_2^\dagger b_2 + b_3^\dagger b_3) + b_4^\dagger b_4 \\ &= \frac{1}{6} (\Sigma_{12} + \Sigma_{34} \Sigma_{56}) - \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{9 \ 10} \end{aligned} \quad (2.301)$$

$$\underline{SO(10) \supset SU(5) \otimes U(1)_X}$$

Eq.(2.154) can be used to find the  $U(1)_X$  generator.

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \frac{5}{2} - (b_1^\dagger b_1 + b_2^\dagger b_2 + b_3^\dagger b_3 + b_4^\dagger b_4 + b_5^\dagger b_5) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma_{12} + \Sigma_{34} + \Sigma_{56} + \Sigma_{78} + \Sigma_{9 \ 10}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.302)$$

$T_{3L}$ ,  $T_{3R}$ ,  $B - L$ ,  $Y$ ,  $X$  IN THE ORDINARY 10-DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATION OF  $SO(10)$

For symmetry breaking purposes, where the spinor and tensor representations of  $SO(10)$  acquire a vacuum expectation value in either  $T_{3L}$ ,  $T_{3R}$ ,  $B - L$ ,

$Y$  or  $X$  direction, it is essential to have these operators in the ordinary 10-dimensional representation given by Eq.(2.10).

From Eq.(2.10), the generators,  $M_{\mu\nu}$  in the 10-dimensional representation is a  $10 \times 10$  matrix with  $-i$  and  $+i$  as the only non-vanishing elements and are given by the intersection of the  $\mu^{th}$  row and  $\nu^{th}$  column and  $\nu^{th}$  row and  $\mu^{th}$  column with  $\mu \neq \nu$ . If  $-i$  and  $+i$  are to lie immediately above and below the diagonal, that is when  $\mu = \nu - 1$ , then  $M_{\mu\nu}$  can be expressed as

$$M_{\mu-1 \mu} = \sigma_2 \otimes \text{diag}(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.303)$$

That is, we have expressed  $10 \times 10$  generator,  $M_{\mu-1 \mu}$  in terms  $(5 \times 5) \otimes (2 \times 2)$ . Here, 1 appears at the  $(\frac{\mu}{2})^{th}$  position along the diagonal of the  $5 \times 5$  matrix.

Replacing, the letter  $M$  by  $\Sigma$  and using Eqs.(2.291), (2.294), (2.295), (2.298), (2.300) and (2.301), we get

$$B - L = \frac{1}{3} \sigma_2 \otimes \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$$

$$T_{3L} = \frac{1}{4} \sigma_2 \otimes \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 1, -1)$$

$$T_{3R} = -\frac{1}{4} \sigma_2 \otimes \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 1, 1)$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{4} \sigma_2 \otimes \text{diag}(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, -1, -1)$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{4} \sigma_2 \otimes \text{diag}(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 0, -2)$$

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2 \otimes \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \quad (2.304)$$

$T_{3L}, T_{3R}, B - L, Y, X$  QUANTUM NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS OF THE STATES  
IN THE 16 OF  $SO(10)$

Let's begin by writing the 16 plet of matter for one generation (Eq.(2.272))  
in terms of Standard Model particle states (Eq.(2.273)):

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{(+)} > &= |0 > \nu_{eL}^c \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\xi} b_\alpha^\dagger b_\beta^\dagger |0 > u_{L\xi}^c + \frac{1}{2} b_4^\dagger b_\alpha^\dagger |0 > u_L^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} b_5^\dagger b_\alpha^\dagger |0 > d_L^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} b_4^\dagger b_5^\dagger |0 > e_L^+ \\ &+ \frac{1}{24} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\xi} b_4^\dagger b_5^\dagger b_\alpha^\dagger b_\beta^\dagger |0 > d_{L\xi}^c + \frac{1}{24} b_5^\dagger b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger b_3^\dagger |0 > e_L^- + \frac{1}{24} b_1^\dagger b_2^\dagger b_3^\dagger b_4^\dagger |0 > \nu_{eL} \end{aligned} \quad (2.305)$$

For example, in order to calculate  $B - L$  quantum number assignment of the state  $u_L^\alpha$ , we use Eqs.(2.291) and (2.304) to write  $(B - L)(b_4^\dagger b_\alpha^\dagger |0 >) = [1 - \frac{2}{3}(b_1^\dagger b_1 + b_2^\dagger b_2 + b_3^\dagger b_3)](b_4^\dagger b_\alpha^\dagger |0 >) = \frac{1}{3}(b_4^\dagger b_\alpha^\dagger |0 >)$ . Therefore,  $B - L$  quantum number of  $u_L^\alpha$  is  $(B - L)_{u_L^\alpha} = \frac{1}{3}$ . We now give a complete list of all the quantum numbers of Standard Model particle states appearing in the 16 plet of  $SO(10)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (B - L)_{\nu_{eL}^c} &= 1, \quad (B - L)_{\nu_{eL}} = -1, \quad (B - L)_{e_L^+} = 1, \quad (B - L)_{e_L^-} = -1 \\ (B - L)_{u_{L\alpha}^c} &= -\frac{1}{3}, \quad (B - L)_{u_L^\alpha} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad (B - L)_{d_{L\alpha}^c} = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad (B - L)_{d_L^\alpha} = \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (2.306)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{3L})_{\nu_{eL}^c} &= 0, \quad (T_{3L})_{\nu_{eL}} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (T_{3L})_{e_L^+} = 0, \quad (T_{3L})_{e_L^-} = -\frac{1}{2} \\ (T_{3L})_{u_{L\alpha}^c} &= 0, \quad (T_{3L})_{u_L^\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (T_{3L})_{d_{L\alpha}^c} = 0, \quad (T_{3L})_{d_L^\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.307)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(T_{3R})_{\nu_{eL}^c} &= -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (T_{3R})_{\nu_{eL}} = 0, \quad (T_{3R})_{e_L^+} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (T_{3R})_{e_L^-} = 0 \\
(T_{3R})_{u_{L\alpha}^c} &= -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (T_{3R})_{u_L^\alpha} = 0, \quad (T_{3R})_{d_{L\alpha}^c} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (T_{3R})_{d_L^\alpha} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.308}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(Y)_{\nu_{eL}^c} &= 0, \quad (Y)_{\nu_{eL}} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (Y)_{e_L^+} = 1, \quad (Y)_{e_L^-} = -\frac{1}{2} \\
(Y)_{u_{L\alpha}^c} &= -\frac{2}{3}, \quad (Y)_{u_L^\alpha} = \frac{1}{6}, \quad (Y)_{d_{L\alpha}^c} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad (Y)_{d_L^\alpha} = \frac{1}{6}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.309}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q)_{\nu_{eL}^c} &= 0, \quad (Q)_{\nu_{eL}} = 0, \quad (Q)_{e_L^+} = 1, \quad (Q)_{e_L^-} = -1 \\
(Q)_{u_{L\alpha}^c} &= -\frac{2}{3}, \quad (Q)_{u_L^\alpha} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad (Q)_{d_{L\alpha}^c} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad (Q)_{d_L^\alpha} = -\frac{1}{3}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.310}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(X)_{\nu_{eL}^c} &= \frac{5}{2}, \quad (X)_{\nu_{eL}} = -\frac{3}{2}, \quad (X)_{e_L^+} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (X)_{e_L^-} = -\frac{3}{2} \\
(X)_{u_{L\alpha}^c} &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad (X)_{u_L^\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (X)_{d_{L\alpha}^c} = -\frac{3}{2}, \quad (X)_{d_L^\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.311}$$

## Chapter 3

# Grand Unification

One of the main effort in particle physics is to find ever more unifying principles in particle physics. An early attempt in this direction after the formulation of the Standard Model was the work of Pati and Salam[26] in the unification of quarks and leptons within the gauge group  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)_C$ . Quickly thereafter the  $SU(5)$  unification was proposed by Georgi and Glashow[27] wherein the gauge coupling constants of  $SU(3)_C$ ,  $SU(2)_L$  and  $U(1)_Y$  are unified at the grand unification scale  $M_G$ . The non-supersymmetric models have severe fine tuning problems in keeping the Higgs triplet light and needs supersymmetry to relax this constraint (For a review see, Ref.[28, 29, 30, 31]). However, in supersymmetric grand unification one of the main problem concerns the issue of breaking of supersymmetry. It is difficult to break supersymmetry within the global supersymmetry framework and one needs local supersymmetry to do the breaking[28, 29, 30]. This leads one to construct supergravity grand unification models[33]. The ways to

construct supersymmetric theories is well known and the reader is referred to well known reports[32, 28, 29, 30]. In the analysis to follow we will start with the particle spectrum of MSSM exhibited in the Table below.

Table 4.1: Particle content of the MSSM

| Vector Multiplets                                                                        |                                                                    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $j = 1$                                                                                  | $j = \frac{1}{2}$                                                  |
| $g_\mu^a(x), a = 1 \dots 8$                                                              | $\lambda^a(x), a = 1 \dots 8$                                      |
| gluons ( $g$ )                                                                           | gluinos ( $\tilde{g}$ )                                            |
| $B_\mu^\alpha(x), B_\mu^Y(x), \alpha = 1, 2, 3$                                          | $\lambda^\alpha(x), \lambda^Y(x), \alpha = 1, 2, 3$                |
| $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge bosons                                                     | $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauginos                                   |
| Chiral Multiplets                                                                        |                                                                    |
| $j = \frac{1}{2}$                                                                        | $j = 0$                                                            |
| $(u_{iL}, d_{iL}); u_{iR}, d_{iR}$                                                       | $(\tilde{u}_{iL}, \tilde{d}_{iL}); \tilde{u}_{iR}, \tilde{d}_{iR}$ |
| quarks ( $i$ =generation)                                                                | squarks                                                            |
| $(\nu_{iL}, e_{iL}); e_{iR}$                                                             | $(\tilde{\nu}_{iL}, \tilde{e}_{iL}); \tilde{e}_{iR}$               |
| leptons                                                                                  | sleptons                                                           |
| $\tilde{H}_1=(\tilde{H}_1^0, \tilde{H}_1^-); \tilde{H}_2=(\tilde{H}_2^+, \tilde{H}_2^0)$ | $H_1=(H_1^0, H_1^-); H_2=(H_2^+, H_2^0)$                           |
| Higgsinos                                                                                | Higgs bosons                                                       |

Table 4.2: Anomaly cancellations in MSSM spectrum

| bose                                     | fermi                            | Y              | $tr(Y^3)$                   | $tr(T_3^2 Y)$   |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| g                                        | $\tilde{g}$                      | 0              | 0                           | 0               |
| $W^a$                                    | $\tilde{W}_a$                    | 0              | 0                           | 0               |
| B                                        | $\tilde{B}$                      | 0              | 0                           | 0               |
| $\tilde{L}=(\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{e}^-)_L$ | $L=(\nu, e^-)_L$                 | -1             | -2                          | $-\frac{2}{4}$  |
| $\tilde{e}_L^c$                          | $e_L^c$                          | 2              | 8                           | 0               |
| $\tilde{q}=(\tilde{u}, \tilde{d})_L$     | $q=(u, d)_L$                     | $\frac{1}{3}$  | $(\frac{3 \times 2}{27})$   | $\frac{2}{4}$   |
| $\tilde{u}_L^c$                          | $u_L^c$                          | $-\frac{4}{3}$ | $-(\frac{3 \times 64}{27})$ | 0               |
| $\tilde{d}_L^c$                          | $d_L^c$                          | $\frac{2}{3}$  | $(\frac{3 \times 8}{27})$   | 0               |
| $(H_1^0, H_1^-)$                         | $(\tilde{H}_1^0, \tilde{H}_1^-)$ | -1             | -2                          | $-\frac{2}{4}$  |
| $(H_2^+, H_2^0)$                         | $(\tilde{H}_2^+, \tilde{H}_2^0)$ | 1              | 2                           | $\frac{2}{4}$   |
| total                                    |                                  |                | $tr(Y^3)=0$                 | $tr(T_3^2 Y)=0$ |

As exhibited above the spectrum is anomaly free. The above spectrum can be accomodated in the  $16_+$  spinor of  $SO(10)$ . We will now give details of the techniques for the computation of couplings of the 16-plet of spinors with tensor representations.



## Chapter 4

# Technique for the Evaluation of $SO(2N)$ Invariants. The Basic Theorem

Here we review the recently developed technique [6, 19, 20, 34] for the analysis of  $SO(2N)$  invariant couplings which allows a full exhibition of the  $SU(N)$  invariant content of the spinor and tensor representations. The technique utilizes a basis consisting of a specific set of reducible  $SU(N)$  tensors in terms of which the  $SO(2N)$  invariant couplings have a simple expansion.

### 4.1 Specific set of $SU(N)$ reducible tensors

We begin with the observation that the natural basis [6] for the expansion of the  $SO(2N)$  vertex is in terms of a specific set of  $SU(N)$  reducible tensors,

$\Phi_{c_k}$  and  $\Phi_{\bar{c}_k}$  which we define as

$$\begin{aligned} A^k &\equiv \Phi_{c_k} \equiv \Phi_{2k} + i\Phi_{2k-1} \\ A_k &\equiv \Phi_{\bar{c}_k} \equiv \Phi_{2k} - i\Phi_{2k-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

This can be extended immediately to define the quantity  $\Phi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \dots}$  with an arbitrary number of unbarred and barred indices where each  $c$  index can be expanded out so that

$$A^i A^j A_k \dots = \Phi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \dots} = \Phi_{2ic_j \bar{c}_k \dots} + i\Phi_{2i-1c_j \bar{c}_k \dots} \quad \text{etc...} \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, for example, the quantity  $\Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots c_{i_n}}$  is a sum of  $2^n$  terms gotten by expanding all the  $c$  indices.  $\Phi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \dots c_n}$  is completely anti-symmetric in the interchange of its  $c$  indices whether unbarred or barred:

$$\Phi_{c_i \bar{c}_j c_k \dots \bar{c}_n} = -\Phi_{c_k \bar{c}_j c_i \dots \bar{c}_n} \quad \text{etc..} \quad (4.3)$$

Further,

$$\Phi_{c_i \bar{c}_j c_k \dots \bar{c}_n}^* = \Phi_{\bar{c}_i c_j \bar{c}_k \dots c_n} \quad \text{etc...} \quad (4.4)$$

Use of quantities like  $\Phi_{c_i \bar{c}_j c_k \dots \bar{c}_n}^*$  are also useful in evaluating kinetic energy like terms such as:  $-\frac{1}{2}\partial_A \Phi_\mu \partial^A \Phi_\mu^\dagger$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}\Phi_{\mu\nu}^{AB} \Phi_{\mu\nu AB}$ , etc.. We give the general results here,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \dots \mu_r} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \dots \mu_r} &= \frac{1}{2^r} \left[ \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}} \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}} \right. \\ &+ \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}} \Phi_{c_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}} + \dots + \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}} \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}} \\ &\left. + \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}} \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}} + \dots + \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}} \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \dots + \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}} \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}} \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \dots \mu_r} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \dots \mu_r}^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2^r} \left[ \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}} \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}}^\dagger \right. \\ &+ \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}} \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}}^\dagger + \dots + \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}} \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}}^\dagger \\ &+ \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}} \Phi_{c_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}}^\dagger + \dots + \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}} \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}}^\dagger \\ &\left. + \dots + \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}} \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} \bar{c}_{i_r}}^\dagger \right] \quad (4.6) \end{aligned}$$

We now make the observation that the object  $\Phi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \dots c_n}$  transforms like a reducible representation of  $SU(N)$ . Thus if we are able to compute the  $SO(2N)$  invariant couplings in terms of these reducible tensors of  $SU(N)$  then there remains only the further step of decomposing the reducible tensors into their irreducible parts.

## 4.2 Basic Theorem to evaluate an $SO(2N)$ vertex

A result essential to our analysis is the Basic Theorem[6] which states that an  $SO(2N)$  vertex  $\Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \dots \mu_r}$  can be expanded in the following fashion

$$\Gamma_{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_2} \Gamma_{\mu_3} \dots \Gamma_{\mu_r} \Phi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \dots \mu_r} = b_{i_1}^\dagger b_{i_2}^\dagger b_{i_3}^\dagger \dots b_{i_r}^\dagger \Phi_{c_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_r}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (b_{i_1} b_{i_2}^\dagger b_{i_3}^\dagger \dots b_{i_r}^\dagger \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} c_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_r}} + \text{perms}) + (b_{i_1} b_{i_2} b_{i_3}^\dagger \dots b_{i_r}^\dagger \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} c_{i_3} \dots c_{i_r}} + \text{perms}) \\
& + \dots + (b_{i_1} b_{i_2} b_{i_3} \dots b_{i_{r-1}} b_{i_r}^\dagger \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_{r-1}} c_{i_r}} + \text{perms}) \\
& + b_{i_1} b_{i_2} b_{i_3} \dots b_{i_r} \Phi_{\bar{c}_{i_1} \bar{c}_{i_2} \bar{c}_{i_3} \dots \bar{c}_{i_r}}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Eq.(4.7) is the basic result we need in the analysis of the  $SO(2N)$  invariant couplings. It is found convenient to arrange the right hand side of Eq.(4.7) in a normal ordered form by which we mean that all the  $b$ 's are either to the right or to the left and all the  $b^\dagger$ 's are either to the left or to the right using strictly the anti-commutation relations on the  $b$ 's and  $b^\dagger$ 's of Eq.(2.124). When a pair of  $b^\dagger$  and  $b$  have a summed index such as  $b_n^\dagger b_n$  we will move them together either to the left or to the right. After normal ordering one decomposes  $\Phi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \dots c_n}$  into its  $SU(N)$  irreducible components. The final step consists of carrying out the process of removing all the  $b$  and  $b^\dagger$  using the anti-commutation relation (2.124) and the condition  $b_i |0\rangle = 0$  (Eq.(2.157)), thus allowing one to compute the couplings in the  $SU(N)$  invariant decomposition.

## Chapter 5

# Complete Cubic Couplings of Bilinear Spinors and 10, 120 and 126 plet in SO(10) Unification

We illustrate the technique developed in chapter 4 by giving a complete analysis of the trilinear couplings [6] in the superpotential with the 16 plet of matter and  $\overline{16}$  plet of Higgs. Since  $16 \times 16 = 10_s + 120_{as} + 126_s$ , we compute explicitly in terms of  $SU(5)$  fields the couplings  $16 - 16 - 10_s$ ,  $16 - 16 - 120_{as}$  and  $16 - 16 - \overline{126}_s$ . Further, we discuss that the coupling of the  $\overline{126}$  with matter leads to extra zeros in the Higgs triplet sector and the existence of such zeros can enhance the proton decay lifetime.

## 5.1 The 10 plet, 120 plet and the $\overline{126}$ plet couplings in the superpotential

The procedure of chapter 4 makes it straightforward to analyze the  $SO(2N)$  invariant couplings involving large tensor representations. As an example of our technique we give a complete determination of the superpotential at the trilinear level involving two spinor representations, which consists of the couplings  $16 \times 16 \times 10$ ,  $16 \times 16 \times 120$  and  $16 \times 16 \times \overline{126}$ . We also compute the same interactions with 16 plet of matter replaced by  $\overline{16}$  plet of Higgs.

### (a) $16 \times 16 \times 10$ COUPLING

We begin by computing the  $16 \times 16 \times 10$  coupling[6] which is given by

$$W_{(++)}^{(10)} = f_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}^{(10)} < \hat{\Psi}_{(+) \hat{a}}^* | B \Gamma_\mu | \hat{\Psi}_{(+) \hat{b}} > \Phi_\mu \quad (5.1)$$

where  $f_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}^{(10)}$  are the Yukawa coupling constants and semi-spinors  $\Psi_{(\pm)}$  with a  $\hat{\phantom{x}}$  stands for chiral superfields. Following the procedure of chapter 4 we decompose the vertex so that

$$\Gamma_\mu \Phi_\mu = b_i \Phi_{\bar{c}_i} + b_i^\dagger \Phi_{c_i} \quad (5.2)$$

In Eq.(5.2) the tensors are already in their irreducible form and one can identify

$$\Phi_{c_i} = h^i; \quad \Phi_{\bar{c}_i} = h_i \quad (5.3)$$

with the 5 plet and  $\bar{5}$  plet of Higgs respectively. To normalize the tensors we define

$$h_i = \sqrt{2}H_i; \quad h^i = \sqrt{2}H^i \quad (5.4)$$

so that the kinetic energy  $-\partial_A \Phi_\mu \partial^A \Phi_\mu^\dagger$  of the tensor  $\Phi_\mu$  takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{kin}^{10-Higgs} = -\partial_A H_i \partial^A H_i^\dagger - \partial_A H^i \partial^A H^{i\dagger} \quad (5.5)$$

where  $A(= 0, 1, 2, 3)$  is the Dirac index.

Using the above we compute the  $16 - 16 - 10$  coupling and find

$$W_{(++)}^{(10)} = i2\sqrt{2}f_{\acute{a}\acute{b}}^{(10)(+)} \left[ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}i} H_j + \left( -\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}m} + \frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}}^{kl} \right) H^m \right] \quad (5.6)$$

where  $f_{\acute{a}\acute{b}}^{(\cdot)(\pm)}$  are defined by

$$f_{\acute{a}\acute{b}}^{(\cdot)(\pm)} = \frac{1}{2}(f_{\acute{a}\acute{b}}^{(\cdot)} \pm f_{\acute{b}\acute{a}}^{(\cdot)}) \quad (5.7)$$

In the equation above, for example  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}}$  represents the transpose of the chiral superfield,  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{a}}$  etc.. Note that  $f_{\acute{a}\acute{b}}^{(\cdot)(\pm)}$  are symmetric (antisymmetric) under the interchange of generation indices  $\acute{a}$  and  $\acute{b}$ . As expected the 16-16-10 couplings given by Eq.(5.6) are correctly symmetric in the generation indices. We note that the couplings have the  $SU(5)$  invariant structure consisting of  $1_M - \bar{5}_M - 5_H$ ,  $10_M - \bar{5}_M - \bar{5}_H$  and  $10_M - 10_M - 5_H$ .

**(b)  $16 \times 16 \times 120$  COUPLING**

Next, we discuss the 16-16-120 coupling[6] defined by

$$W_{(++)}^{(120)} = \frac{1}{3!} f_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(120)} < \hat{\Psi}_{(+) \dot{a}}^* | B \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho]} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+) \dot{b}} > \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho} \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho]} = \frac{1}{3!} \sum_P (-1)^{\delta_P} \Gamma_{\mu_{P(1)}} \Gamma_{\nu_{P(2)}} \Gamma_{\rho_{P(3)}} \quad (5.9)$$

with  $\sum_P$  denoting the sum over all permutations and  $\delta_P$  takes on the value 0 and 1 for even and odd permutations respectively.

We expand the vertex using Eq.(4.7) and find

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\lambda} \Phi_{\mu\nu\lambda} = & b_i b_j b_k \Phi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k} + b_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_k^{\dagger} \Phi_{c_i c_j c_k} + 3(b_i^{\dagger} b_j b_k \Phi_{c_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k} + b_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_k \Phi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k}) \\ & + 3(b_i \Phi_{\bar{c}_n c_n \bar{c}_i} + b_i^{\dagger} \Phi_{\bar{c}_n c_n c_i}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

The 120 plet of  $SO(10)$  has the  $SU(5)$  decomposition  $120 = 5 + \bar{5} + 10 + \bar{10} + 45 + \bar{45}$ . The tensors in Eq.(5.10) can be decomposed in terms of the irreducible  $SU(5)$  tensors as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k} = h_k^{ij} + \frac{1}{4} (\delta_k^i h^j - \delta_k^j h^i), \quad \Phi_{c_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k} = h_{jk}^i + \frac{1}{4} (\delta_j^i h_k - \delta_k^i h_j) \\ \Phi_{c_i c_j c_k} = \epsilon^{ijklm} h_{lm}, \quad \Phi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k} = \epsilon_{ijklm} h^{lm}, \quad \Phi_{\bar{c}_n c_n c_i} = h^i, \Phi_{\bar{c}_n c_n \bar{c}_i} = h_i \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

where  $h_i, h^i, h_{ij}, h^{ij}, h_k^{ij}, h_{jk}^i$  are the  $\bar{5}, 5, \bar{10}, 10, 45$  and  $\bar{45}$  plet representations of  $SU(5)$ . To normalize them we make the following redefinition of fields

$$\begin{aligned} h^i = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} H^i, \quad h^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} H^{ij}, \quad h_k^{ij} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} H_k^{ij} \\ h_i = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} H_i, \quad h_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} H_{ij}, \quad h_{jk}^i = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} H_{jk}^i \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$



In terms of the redefined fields the kinetic energy term for the 120 multiplet which is given by  $-\partial_A \Phi_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^A \Phi_{\mu\nu\lambda}^\dagger$  takes on the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{kin}^{120-Higgs} = & -\frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H^{ij} \partial^A H^{ij\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H_{ij} \partial^A H_{ij}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H_k^{ij} \partial^A H_k^{ij\dagger} \\ & - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H_{jk}^i \partial^A H_{jk}^{i\dagger} - \partial_A H^i \partial^A H^{i\dagger} - \partial_A H_i \partial^A H_i^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

A straightforward computation of Eq.(5.8) using Eqs.(5.10)<sup>1</sup>, (5.11) and (5.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} W_{(++)}^{(120)} = & i \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} f_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(120)(-)} \left[ -2 \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}i} H^i - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}i} H_j \right. \\ & + \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}i}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}j} H^{ij} + \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}} H_{ij} \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{mn} H_n^{kl} \\ & \left. + \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}i}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{jk} H_{jk}^i \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

The front factor  $f_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(120)(-)}$  in Eq.(5.14) exhibits correctly the anti-symmetry in the generation indices. Further, the couplings have the  $1_M - \bar{5}_M - 5_H$ ,  $1_M - 10_M - \bar{10}_H$ ,  $\bar{5}_M - \bar{5}_M - 10_H$ ,  $10_M - \bar{5}_M - \bar{5}_H$ ,  $\bar{5}_M - 10_M - \bar{45}_H$  and  $10_M - 10_M - 45_H$   $SU(5)$  invariant structures.

### (c) $16 \times 16 \times \overline{126}$ COUPLING

---

<sup>1</sup>The symmetrical arrangement in the first brace of Eq.(5.10) is necessary for achieving an automatic anti-symmetry in the generation indices for the  $SU(5)$   $10_M - 10_M - 45_H$  coupling.

We now turn to the most difficult of the three cases, i.e., the  $16 - 16 - \overline{126}$  coupling[6] which is given by

$$W_{(++)}^{(\overline{126})} = \frac{1}{5!} f_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})} < \hat{\Psi}_{(+) \dot{a}}^* | B \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda} \Gamma_{\sigma]} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+) \dot{b}} > \overline{\Phi}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda\sigma} \quad (5.15)$$

For the reduction of the  $SO(10)$  vertex it is more convenient initially to work with the full 252 dimensional tensor,  $\Xi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$  which can be decomposed as  $\Xi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} = \overline{\Phi}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} + \Phi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$ , where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\Phi}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} \\ \Phi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\mu\alpha} \delta_{\nu\beta} \delta_{\rho\gamma} \delta_{\lambda\delta} \delta_{\sigma\theta} \pm \frac{i}{5!} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\theta} \right) \Xi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\theta} \quad (5.16)$$

and where the  $\overline{\Phi}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$  is the  $\overline{126}$  plet and  $\Phi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$  is the 126 plet representation. These representations break into the  $SU(5)$  irreducible parts as  $\overline{126} = 1 + 5 + \overline{10} + 15 + \overline{45} + 50$  and  $126 = 1 + \bar{5} + 10 + \overline{15} + 45 + \overline{50}$ . We begin by expanding  $\Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\lambda} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\sigma} \Xi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$  using Eq.(4.7) and following steps similar to the previous case using normal ordering:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\lambda} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\sigma} \Xi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} &= b_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_k^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_m^{\dagger} \Xi_{c_i c_j c_k c_l c_m} + b_i b_j b_k b_l b_m \Xi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l \bar{c}_m} \\ &+ 5b_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_k^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_m \Xi_{c_i c_j c_k c_l \bar{c}_m} + 5b_i^{\dagger} b_j b_k b_l b_m \Xi_{c_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l \bar{c}_m} \\ &+ 10b_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_k^{\dagger} b_l b_m \Xi_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_l \bar{c}_m} + 10b_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_k b_l b_m \Xi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l \bar{c}_m} \\ &+ 10b_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_k^{\dagger} \Xi_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_n c_n} + 10b_i b_j b_k \Xi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_n c_n} \\ &+ 30b_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_k \Xi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_n c_n} + 30b_i^{\dagger} b_j b_k \Xi_{c_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_n c_n} \\ &+ 15b_i^{\dagger} \Xi_{c_i \bar{c}_n c_n \bar{c}_p c_p} + 15b_i \Xi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_n c_n \bar{c}_p c_p} \quad (5.17) \end{aligned}$$

The  $SO(10)$  reducible tensors appearing in the equation above can be expressed in terms of irreducible  $SU(5)$  tensors as follows:

$$\Xi_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_n c_n} = h^{ijk}; \quad \Xi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k c_n \bar{c}_n} = h_{ijk}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{c_i \bar{c}_n c_n \bar{c}_p c_p} &= \mathbf{h}^i; & \Xi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_n c_n \bar{c}_p c_p} &= \mathbf{h}_i \\
\Xi_{c_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_n c_n} &= \mathbf{h}_{jk}^i + \frac{1}{4} (\delta_k^i \mathbf{h}_j - \delta_j^i \mathbf{h}_k) \\
\Xi_{\bar{c}_i c_j c_k c_n \bar{c}_n} &= \mathbf{h}_i^{jk} + \frac{1}{4} (\delta_i^k \mathbf{h}^j - \delta_i^j \mathbf{h}^k) \\
\Xi_{c_i c_j c_k c_l \bar{c}_m} &= \mathbf{h}_m^{ijkl} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_m^i \mathbf{h}^{jkl} - \delta_m^j \mathbf{h}^{ikl} + \delta_m^k \mathbf{h}^{ijl} - \delta_m^l \mathbf{h}^{ijk}) \\
\Xi_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_l \bar{c}_m} &= \mathbf{h}_{lm}^{ijk} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_l^i \mathbf{h}_m^{jk} - \delta_l^j \mathbf{h}_m^{ik} + \delta_l^k \mathbf{h}_m^{ij} - \delta_m^i \mathbf{h}_l^{jk} + \delta_m^j \mathbf{h}_l^{ik} - \delta_m^k \mathbf{h}_l^{ij}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{12} (\delta_l^i \delta_m^j \mathbf{h}^k - \delta_l^j \delta_m^i \mathbf{h}^k - \delta_l^i \delta_m^k \mathbf{h}^j + \delta_l^k \delta_m^i \mathbf{h}^j + \delta_l^j \delta_m^k \mathbf{h}^i - \delta_l^k \delta_m^j \mathbf{h}^i) \\
\Xi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l \bar{c}_m} &= \mathbf{h}_{klm}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_k^i \mathbf{h}_{lm}^j - \delta_l^i \mathbf{h}_{km}^j + \delta_m^i \mathbf{h}_{kl}^j - \delta_k^j \mathbf{h}_{lm}^i + \delta_l^j \mathbf{h}_{km}^i - \delta_m^j \mathbf{h}_{kl}^i) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{12} (\delta_k^i \delta_l^j \mathbf{h}_m - \delta_k^j \delta_l^i \mathbf{h}_m - \delta_l^i \delta_k^j \mathbf{h}_m + \delta_l^j \delta_k^i \mathbf{h}_m + \delta_m^i \delta_k^j \mathbf{h}_l - \delta_m^j \delta_k^i \mathbf{h}_l) \\
\Xi_{c_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l \bar{c}_m} &= \mathbf{h}_{jklm}^i + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_j^i \mathbf{h}_{klm} - \delta_k^i \mathbf{h}_{jlm} + \delta_l^i \mathbf{h}_{jkm} - \delta_m^i \mathbf{h}_{jkl}) \\
\Xi_{c_i c_j c_k c_l c_m} &= \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{h}, & \Xi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l \bar{c}_m} &= \epsilon_{ijklm} \bar{\mathbf{h}}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

The fields that appear above are not yet properly normalized. To normalize the fields we carry out a field redefinition so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{h} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} \mathbf{H}, & \bar{\mathbf{h}} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} \bar{\mathbf{H}}, & \mathbf{h}^i &= 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \mathbf{H}^i, & \mathbf{h}_i &= 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \mathbf{H}_i \\
\mathbf{h}^{ijk} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{H}_{lm}, & \mathbf{h}_{ijk} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{H}^{lm} \\
\mathbf{h}_{jklm}^i &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} \epsilon_{jklmn} \mathbf{H}_{(S)}^{ni}, & \mathbf{h}_i^{jklm} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} \epsilon^{jklmn} \mathbf{H}_{ni}^{(S)} \\
\mathbf{h}_{jk}^i &= 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} \mathbf{H}_{jk}^i, & \mathbf{h}_i^{jk} &= 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} \mathbf{H}_i^{jk} \\
\mathbf{h}_{lm}^{ijk} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} \mathbf{H}_{lm}^{ijk}, & \mathbf{h}_{ijk}^{lm} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}} \mathbf{H}_{ijk}^{lm}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

The kinetic energy for the 252 plet field  $-\partial_A \Xi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} \partial^A \Xi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}^\dagger$  in terms of the

normalized fields is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{kin}^{252-Higgs} = & -\partial_A \bar{H} \partial^A \bar{H}^\dagger - \partial_A H \partial^A H^\dagger - \partial_A H_i \partial^A H_i^\dagger - \partial_A H^i \partial^A H^{i\dagger} \\
& - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H^{ij} \partial^A H^{ij\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H_{ij} \partial^A H_{ij}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H_{ij}^{(S)} \partial^A H_{ij}^{(S)\dagger} \\
& - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H_{(S)}^{ij} \partial^A H_{(S)}^{ij\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H_i^{jk} \partial^A H_i^{jk\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H_{jk}^i \partial^A H_{jk}^{i\dagger} \\
& - \frac{1}{3!2!} \partial_A H_{ijk}^{lm} \partial^A H_{ijk}^{lm\dagger} - \frac{1}{3!2!} \partial_A H_{lm}^{ijk} \partial^A H_{lm}^{ijk\dagger} \quad (5.20)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{H}(H)$ ,  $H_i$ ,  $H^i$ ,  $H^{ij}$ ,  $H_{ij}$ ,  $H_{ij}^{(S)}$ ,  $H_{(S)}^{ij}$ ,  $H_i^{jk}$ ,  $H_{jk}^i$ ,  $H_{ijk}^{lm}$ ,  $H_{lm}^{ijk}$  are the  $1, \bar{5}, 5, 10, \bar{10}, \bar{15}, 15, 45, \bar{45}, \bar{50}, 50$  plet representations of  $SU(5)$ .

Finally, Eq.(5.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{(++)}^{(\bar{126})} = & i \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} f_{ab}^{(\bar{126})(+)} \left[ -\sqrt{2} \widehat{M}_a^T \widehat{M}_b H + \widehat{M}_a^T \widehat{M}_b^{ij} H_{ij} \right. \\
& - \widehat{M}_{ai}^T \widehat{M}_{bj} H_{(S)}^{ij} + \widehat{M}_a^{ijT} \widehat{M}_{bk} H_{ij}^k \\
& - \frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{M}_a^{ijT} \widehat{M}_b^{rs} H_{rs}^{klm} \\
& \left. - \sqrt{3} \left( \widehat{M}_a^T \widehat{M}_{bm} + \frac{1}{24} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{M}_a^{ijT} \widehat{M}_b^{kl} \right) H^m \right] \quad (5.21)
\end{aligned}$$

As in the 10 plet tensor case the couplings are symmetric under the interchange of generation indices. Further, the  $16 - 16 - \bar{126}$  coupling has the  $SU(5)$  structure consisting of  $1_M - 1_M - 1_H$ ,  $1_M - \bar{5}_M - 5_H$ ,  $1_M - 10_M - \bar{10}_H$ ,  $10_M - 10_M - 5_H$ ,  $\bar{5}_M - \bar{5}_M - 15_H$ ,  $10_M - \bar{5}_M - \bar{45}_H$  and  $10_M - 10_M - 50_M$ .

## 5.2 Large representations, textures and proton lifetime[6]

Proton decay is an important signal for grand unification and detailed analyses for the proton lifetime exist in  $SU(5)$  models[35, 36] and in  $SO(10)$  models[37, 14]. In this section we discuss the possibility that couplings in the superpotential that involve large representations can drastically change the Higgs triplet textures and affect proton decay in a very significant manner. These results are of significance in view of the recent data from SuperKamiokande which has significantly improved the limit on the proton decay mode  $p \rightarrow \nu + K^+$ . Thus the most recent limit from SuperKamiokande gives  $\tau/B(p \rightarrow \bar{\nu} + K^+) > 1.9 \times 10^{33}$  yr[38]. At the same time there is a new lattice gauge evaluation of the three quark matrix elements  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of the nucleon wave function[39] (where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are defined by  $\epsilon_{abc} < 0 | \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} d_{aR}^\alpha u_{bR}^\beta u_{cL}^\gamma | p > = \alpha u_L^\gamma$  and  $\epsilon_{abc} < 0 | \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} d_{aL}^\alpha u_{bL}^\beta u_{cL}^\gamma | p > = \beta u_L^\gamma$ ). The more recent evaluation of Ref.[39] gives  $\alpha = -0.015(1)GeV^3$  and  $\beta = 0.014(1)GeV^3$  which is a factor of about two and a half times larger than the previous evaluations. The new experimental limit on the proton decay lifetime[38] combined with the new lattice gauge evaluations have begun to constrain the SUSY GUT models prompting some reanalyses[40, 41]. In this context the enhancement of the proton lifetime by textures is of interest. To make this idea more concrete we define textures in the low energy theory in the

quark lepton sector of the theory just below the GUT scale as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
W_Y = & -M_H H_{1t} H_{2t} + (l A^E e^c h_1 + q A^D d^c h_1 + h_2 u^c A^U q) \\
& + (q B^E l H_{1t} + \epsilon_{abc} H_{1ta} d_b^c B^D u_c^c + H_{2ta} u_a^c B^U e^c + \epsilon_{abc} H_{2t}^a u_b C^U d_c) \quad (5.22)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $A^E$ ,  $A^D$  and  $A^U$  are the textures in the Higgs doublet sector and  $B^E, B^D, B^U$  and  $C^U$  are the textures in the Higgs triplet sector. A classification of the possible textures in the Higgs doublet sector is given in Refs.[42, 43]. For our purpose here we adopt the textures in the Higgs doublet sector in the form

$$A^E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f & 0 \\ f & -3e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, A^D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f e^{i\phi} & 0 \\ f e^{-i\phi} & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, A^U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c & 0 \\ c & 0 & b \\ 0 & b & a \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.23)$$

As is well known[42] the appearance of -3 vs 1 in the 22 element of  $A^E$  vs  $A^D$  is one of the important ingredients in achieving the desired quark and lepton mass hierarchy and may provide an insight into the nature of the fundamental coupling. Now the textures in the Higgs triplet sector are generally different than those in the Higgs doublet sector and they are sensitively dependent on the nature of GUT and Planck scale physics[44]. The current experimental constraints on the proton lifetime leads us to conjecture that the Higgs triplet sector contains additional texture zeros over and above the texture zeros that appear in the Higgs doublet sector and the coupling of the  $\overline{126}$  tensor field plays an important role in this regard. In the following we shall assume CP invariance and set the phases to zero in Eq.(5.23). Since

in this case the textures of Eq.(5.23) are symmetric it is only the 10 plet and the  $\overline{126}$  plet of Higgs couplings that enter in the analysis and the 120 plet couplings do not. To exhibit the above phenomenon more concretely we consider on phenomenological grounds a superpotential in the Yukawa sector of the following type

$$\begin{aligned}
W_Y = & f_{ij}^{(0)}(Y, M)\psi_i\psi_j\phi_{126}^{(0)} + f_{12}^{(d)}(Y, M)\psi_1\psi_2\phi_{10}^{(1)} + f_{12}^{(u)}(Y, M)\psi_1\psi_2\phi_{10}^{(2)} \\
& + f_{22}^{(d)}(Y, M)\psi_2\psi_2\phi_{126}^{(1)} + f_{23}^{(u)}(Y, M)\psi_2\psi_3\phi_{126}^{(2)} + f_{33}^{(d)}(Y, M)\psi_3\psi_3\phi_{10}^{(1)} \\
& + f_{33}^{(u)}(Y, M)\psi_3\psi_3\phi_{126}^{(2)} \quad (5.24)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $M$  is a superheavy scale and  $f_{ij}^{(d)}(Y, M)$  and  $f_{ij}^{(u)}(Y, M)$  are functions of a set of scalar fields  $Y$  which develop VEVs and the appropriate factors of  $\langle Y^n \phi \rangle / M^n$  generate the right sizes. The model of Eq.(5.24) is of the generic type discussed in refs.[8, 9, 13]. We do not go into detail here regarding the symmetry breaking mechanism, the doublet-triplet splitting and the mass generation for the pseudo-goldstone bosons. All of these topics have been dealt with at some length in the previous literature[45, 8, 9, 46, 12]. Further, while models with large representations are not asymptotically free and lead rapidly to non-perturbative physics above the unification scale, the effective theories below the unification scale gotten by integration over the heavy modes are nonetheless perfectly normal and thus such theories are acceptable unified theories. For our purpose here we assume a pattern of VEV formation for the neutral components of the Higgs so that  $\langle \phi_{126}^{(0)} \rangle$  develops a VEV along the  $SU(5)$  singlet direction (this corresponds to  $H$  in Eq.(5.21) developing a VEV),  $\langle \phi_{10}^{(1)} \rangle$  develops a VEV in the  $\bar{5}$  plet of  $SU(5)$

direction (this corresponds to  $H_j$  developing a VEV in Eq.(5.6)),  $\langle \phi_{10}^{(2)} \rangle$  develops a VEV in the 5 plet direction (this corresponds to  $H^m$  developing a VEV in Eq.(5.6)),  $\langle \phi_{126}^{(1)} \rangle$  develops a VEV in the direction of  $\overline{45}$  plet of Higgs (this corresponds to  $H_{ij}^k$  in Eq.(5.21) developing a VEV), and  $\langle \phi_{126}^{(2)} \rangle$  develops a VEV in the direction of 5 plet of Higgs (this corresponds to  $H^m$  in Eq.(5.21) developing a VEV). It is the VEV of the 45 plet that leads to -3 and 1 factors in  $A^E$  vs  $A^D$ . The superpotential of Eq.(5.24) with the above VEV alignments then leads automatically to the textures in the Higgs doublet sector of Eq.(5.23). One may now compute the textures in the Higgs triplet sector that result from superpotential of Eq.(5.24). One finds

$$B^E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f & 0 \\ f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, B^U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.25)$$

and  $B^D = B^E$  and  $C^U = B^U$ . We note the existence of the additional zeros in  $B^E$  and  $B^D$  relative to  $A^E$  and  $A^D$  and in  $B^U$  and  $C^U$  relative to  $A^U$ . The existence of the additional zeros in  $B^E, B^D, B^U$  and  $C^U$  increases the proton decay lifetime. We discuss the origin of the additional zeros. It is the coupling of the matter sector with the  $\overline{126}$  of Higgs which contributes a non-vanishing element in the Higgs doublet sector produces a vanishing contribution in the lepton and baryon number violating dimension five operator or equivalently generates a corresponding zero in the texture in the Higgs triplet sector. The reason for this is rather straightforward. While one also needs a 126 plet of Higgs to cancel the D term generated by the VEV of the  $\overline{126}$  of Higgs, the 126 plet of Higgs has no coupling with the ordinary 16 plet of matter. Since



the only bilinear with the  $\overline{126}$  in the superpotential is of the form  $126 \times \overline{126}$  (i.e., one cannot write a  $(126)^2$  term in the superpotential) one finds that no lepton and baryon number violating dimension five operators arise as a consequence of integrating out the 126 and  $\overline{126}$  of Higgs which effectively corresponds to a texture zero in the Higgs triplet sector. Of course, the extra zeros in the Higgs triplet sector could also arise from accidental cancellations. However, the group theoretic origin is more appealing.

The superpotential of Eq.(5.24) also generates a Dirac neutrino mass matrix. However, a full analysis of neutrino masses requires a model for the Majorana mass matrix  $M_{Maj}$  to generate a see-saw mechanism[47] so that  $M_{\nu LL} = -M_{\nu LR}^T M_{Maj}^{-1} M_{\nu LR}$  where the mass scale associated with  $M_{Maj}$  is much larger than the mass scale that appears in  $M_{\nu LR}$ .  $M_{Maj}$  depends on  $f_{ij}^{(0)}$  in Eq.(5.24) which are in general additional arbitrary parameters[7]. [For a review see Ref.[48]]. The appearance of  $M_{Maj}$  in the analysis of neutrino masses with additional arbitrary parameters and a scale much larger than the mass scale that appears in  $M_{\nu LR}$  implies that there is not a rigid relationship between proton decay and neutrino masses in  $SO(10)$ . Nonetheless, it is interesting to investigate the correlation that exists between these two important phenomena in specific models. For further application of these techniques in the context of proton decay see Ref.[49]

### 5.3 Appendix A

In this appendix we give a proof of -3 vs. 1 ratio in the textures  $A^E$  vs.  $A^D$ .

In the  $\overline{126}$  couplings one has a  $\overline{45}$  coupling with matter type

$$\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_a^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{bk} \mathbf{H}_{ij}^k$$

where  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 5$  and

$$\mathbf{H}_{ij}^k = -\mathbf{H}_{ji}^k; \quad \sum_k \mathbf{H}_{ik}^k = 0$$

Let there be a VEV formation so that  $\langle \mathbf{H}_{5j}^k \rangle \neq 0$ . Then anti-symmetry and traceless condition allows one to write

$$\langle \mathbf{H}_{5j}^k \rangle = a \left( \frac{1}{4} \delta_j^k - \delta_4^k \delta_j^4 \right)$$

The above implies that in the color sector ( $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ ) one has

$$\langle \mathbf{H}_{5\alpha}^\beta \rangle = a \frac{1}{4} \delta_\alpha^\beta$$

and in the charged lepton sector one has

$$\langle \mathbf{H}_{54}^4 \rangle = -\frac{3}{4}a$$

This gives the -3 vs. 1 factors that appear in  $A^E$  vs.  $A^D$  in Eq. (5.23)

## 5.4 Appendix B

In this appendix we give a proof of textures in the Higgs doublet sector.

Consider the 10 plet and  $\overline{126}$  plet couplings and assume that the 10 plet develops a VEV in the  $SU(5)$   $\bar{5}$  plet direction. This gives mass to the down quark and to the lepton. The hypercharge of the  $\mathbf{H}_j$  Higgs is  $-1$ . From Eq.

(5.6), we see that the mass growth for the down quark and the lepton are the same. Then one has

$$M_d \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)(+)} < 10^- >$$

$$M_e \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)(+)} < 10^- >$$

From the analysis on the mass growth from the  $\overline{126}$  of Higgs one has

$$M_d \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})(+)} < \overline{126}^- >$$

$$M_e \sim -3\bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})(+)} < \overline{126}^- >$$

Combining the above results we have for the mass growth in the down quark and lepton sector from the VEV growth of 10 plet and  $\overline{126}$

$$M_d \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)(+)} < 10^- > + \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})(+)} < \overline{126}^- >$$

$$M_e \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)(+)} < 10^- > -3\bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})(+)} < \overline{126}^- >$$

Next let us look at the up quark and Dirac neutrino masses. Here let us begin with a 10 plet of Higgs which develops a VEV in the 5 plet of  $SU(5)$ . The Higgs that develops a VEV has hypercharge +1. Then from the 10 plet couplings we find

$$M_u \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)(+)} < 10^+ >$$

$$M_{\nu LR} \sim -\bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)(+)} < 10^+ >$$

Next we look at the  $\overline{126}$  coupling. Here let us assume that  $\overline{126}$  of Higgs develops a VEV along the direction of 5 plet of Higgs. Then one has that

the up quark and Dirac neutrino masses are given by Then from the 10 plet couplings we find

$$M_u \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})^{(+)}} < \overline{126}^+ >$$

$$M_{\nu LR} \sim 3\bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})^{(+)}} < \overline{126}^+ >$$

Together, the  $10^+$  and  $< \overline{126}^+ >$  VEV's produce the following results

$$M_u \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)^{(+)}} < 10^+ > + \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})^{(+)}} < \overline{126}^+ >$$

$$M_{\nu LR} \sim -\bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)^{(+)}} < 10^+ > + 3\bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})^{(+)}} < \overline{126}^+ >$$

Now the overall sign in the  $M_{\nu LR}$  case is opposite to that in Ref.[13]. However, we can let  $\nu^c \rightarrow \nu^c$ . In this case one finds the following four relations

$$M_d \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)^{(+)}} < 10^- > + \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})^{(+)}} < \overline{126}^- >$$

$$M_e \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)^{(+)}} < 10^- > - 3\bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})^{(+)}} < \overline{126}^- >$$
  

$$M_d \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)^{(+)}} < 10^- > + \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})^{(+)}} < \overline{126}^- >$$

$$M_e \sim \bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)^{(+)}} < 10^- > + 3\bar{f}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(\overline{126})^{(+)}} < \overline{126}^- >$$

These relations are the same as in Ref.[13].

Next let us look at the superpotential given by Eq. (5.24) and make the following identifications:

$$\phi_{10}^{(1)} = 10^-; \quad \phi_{10}^{(2)} = 10^+$$

$$\phi_{\overline{126}}^{(1)} = \overline{126}^-; \quad \phi_{\overline{126}}^{(2)} = \overline{126}^+$$

Then it follows that one has the textures in the Higgs doublet sector of the type given by Eq.(5.23). This completes the proof.

## 5.5 Appendix C

In this appendix we give a proof of extra zeros in the Higgs triplet sector.

We begin by considering Yukawa coupling in the superpotential so that 126 and  $\overline{126}$  appear. Then the superpotential reads

$$J_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}\overline{\Phi}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} + K_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}\Phi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$$

where  $J$  and  $K$  depend on the matter fields. Now only the  $\overline{126}$  couples with the three generations of matter and 126 has no coupling with the three spinor generations. Thus

$$K_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} = 0$$

Next let us consider a mass term for the 126 and  $\overline{126}$  of Higgs. We note that

$$126 \times 126 = (54 + 1050 + 2772 + 4125)_A + (945 + 8910)_S$$

One finds that there is no singlet in the product. So we cannot form an  $SO(10)$  invariant mass term out of the product of two 126's. The same argument applies for the product of two  $\overline{126}$ 's. However, consider the product of 126 and  $\overline{126}$

$$126 \times \overline{126} = 1 + 45 + 210 + 770 + 5940 + 8910$$

This time we see that there is singlet and we can write a mass term. Including the mass term the superpotential with Yukawa couplings is

$$W = M\Phi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}\overline{\Phi}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} + J_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}\overline{\Phi}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} + K_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}\Phi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$$

Let us now vary the superpotential with respect to  $\Phi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$  and  $\overline{\Phi}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$  and eliminate them using field equations. Then one has the following superpotential of dimension-5

$$W_5 = -\frac{1}{M} J_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} K_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$$

Next we use the fact that  $K_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} = 0$ . And thus

$$W_5 = 0$$

Normally,  $W_5$  carries the lepton and baryon number violating dimension five operators. Therefore, for the case of couplings involving  $\overline{126}$ , the lepton and baryon violating interactions vanish. This is directly due to the fact that  $\overline{126}$  plet has no couplings with matter. Alternatively, one can say that the corresponding texture element in the Higgs triplet sector is zero. This explains the zero in the 22 element in  $B^E$  and  $B^D$  and the zeros in the 23+32 and 33 elements of  $B^U$  and  $C^U$  (see Eq. (5.25)). These are the extra zeros over and above the extra zeros in  $A^E$ ,  $A^D$  and  $A^U$  of Eq. (5.23).

## Chapter 6

# Complete Cubic Couplings of Bilinear Spinors and 1, 45 and 210 plet in SO(10) Unification

Our focus in this chapter is the computation of cubic couplings in the superpotential [19] of the form:  $\overline{16} - 16 - 1$ ,  $16 - \overline{16} - 1$ ,  $\overline{16} - 16 - 45$ ,  $16 - \overline{16} - 45$ ,  $\overline{16} - 16 - 210$  and  $16 - \overline{16} - 210$ . The vector couplings[19] of the form:  $16^\dagger - 16 - 1$ ,  $\overline{16}^\dagger - \overline{16} - 1$ ,  $16^\dagger - 16 - 45$ ,  $\overline{16}^\dagger - \overline{16} - 45$ ,  $16^\dagger - 16 - 210$  and  $\overline{16}^\dagger - \overline{16} - 210$  are also analyzed.

## 6.1 SO(10)-invariant cubic superpotential couplings involving semi-spinors $\overline{16}$ and $16$ and tensors of dimensionality 1, 45 and 210

The interactions of interest in the superpotential involving  $16$  and  $\overline{16}$  semispinors are of the form

$$W_{(-+)}^{(1)} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1)} < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > \Phi \quad (6.1)$$

$$W_{(-+)}^{(45)} = \frac{1}{2!} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > \Phi_{\mu\nu} \quad (6.2)$$

$$W_{(-+)}^{(210)} = \frac{1}{4!} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \quad (6.3)$$

(a)  $\overline{16} \times 16 \times 1$  and  $16 \times \overline{16} \times 1$  COUPLINGS

We first present the result of the trivial  $\overline{16} \times 16 \times 1$  couplings. Eq.(6.1) at once gives

$$W_{(-+)}^{(1)} = i h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1)} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{ij} + \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}'^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}i} \right) \mathbf{H} \quad (6.4)$$

where  $\mathbf{H}$  is an  $SO(10)$  singlet. A similar analysis gives  $W_{(+ -)}^{(1)}$  and one has

$$W_{(+ -)}^{(1)} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1)} < \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}} > \Phi$$



$$= ih_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}^{(1)} \left( -\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\hat{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\hat{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\hat{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\hat{b}ij} - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\hat{a}i}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\hat{b}}^i \right) \mathbf{H}. \quad (6.5)$$

(b)  $\overline{16} \times 16 \times 45$  and  $16 \times \overline{16} \times 45$  COUPLINGS

To compute the  $\overline{16} \times 16 \times 45$  couplings we expand the vertex  $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} \Phi_{\mu\nu}$  using Eq.(4.7) where  $\Phi_{\mu\nu}$  is the 45 plet tensor field

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu} \Phi_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{i} \left( b_i b_j \Phi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j} + b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger \Phi_{c_i c_j} + 2b_i^\dagger b_j \Phi_{c_i \bar{c}_j} - \Phi_{c_n \bar{c}_n} \right). \quad (6.6)$$

The reducible tensors that enter in the above expansion can be decomposed into their irreducible parts as follows

$$\Phi_{c_n \bar{c}_n} = \mathbf{h}; \quad \Phi_{c_i \bar{c}_j} = \mathbf{h}_j^i + \frac{1}{5} \delta_j^i \mathbf{h}; \quad \Phi_{c_i c_j} = \mathbf{h}^{ij}; \quad \Phi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j} = \mathbf{h}_{ij} \quad (6.7)$$

To normalize the  $SU(5)$  Higgs fields contained in the tensor  $\Phi_{\mu\nu}$ , we carry out a field redefinition

$$\mathbf{h} = \sqrt{10} \mathbf{H}; \quad \mathbf{h}_{ij} = \sqrt{2} \mathbf{H}_{ij}; \quad \mathbf{h}^{ij} = \sqrt{2} \mathbf{H}^{ij}; \quad \mathbf{h}_j^i = \sqrt{2} \mathbf{H}_j^i. \quad (6.8)$$

In terms of the normalized fields the kinetic energy of the 45 plet of Higgs  $-\partial_A \Phi_{\mu\nu} \partial^A \Phi_{\mu\nu}^\dagger$  takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{kin}^{45-Higgs} = -\partial^A \mathbf{H} \partial_A \mathbf{H}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2!} \partial^A \mathbf{H}_{ij} \partial_A \mathbf{H}_{ij}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2!} \partial^A \mathbf{H}^{ij} \partial_A \mathbf{H}^{ij\dagger} - \partial_A \mathbf{H}_j^i \partial^A \mathbf{H}_j^{i\dagger}. \quad (6.9)$$

The terms in Eq.(6.9) are only exhibited for the purpose of normalization and the remaining supersymmetric parts are not exhibited as their normalizations

are rigidly fixed relative to the parts given above[28]. Finally, straightforward evaluation of Eq.(6.2) using Eqs.(6.6)-(6.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{(-+)}^{(45)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} \Big[ & \sqrt{5} \left( \frac{3}{5} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{bi} + \frac{1}{10} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{ij} - \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}} \right) \mathbf{H} \\
& + \left( -\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{lm} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}k} \right) \mathbf{H}_{lm} \\
& + \left( -\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}lm}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{jk} \right) \mathbf{H}^{lm} \\
& + 2 \left( \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}ik}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{kj} - \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{j\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{bi} \right) \mathbf{H}_j^i \Big]. \quad (6.10)
\end{aligned}$$

From Eq.(6.10), one finds that the  $\overline{16}_N - 16_M - 45_H$  couplings consist of the following  $SU(5)$  invariant components:  $5_N - \overline{5}_M - 1_H$ ,  $\overline{10}_N - 10_M - 1_H$ ,  $1_N - 1_M - 1_H$ ,  $1_N - 10_M - \overline{10}_H$ ,  $\overline{10}_N - \overline{5}_M - \overline{10}_H$ ,  $\overline{10}_N - 1_M - 10_H$ ,  $5_N - 10_M - 10_H$ ,  $\overline{10}_N - 10_M - 24_H$ , and  $5_N - \overline{5}_M - 24_H$  couplings. One can carry out a similar analysis for  $W_{(+-)}^{(45)}$  and one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{(+-)}^{(45)} = \frac{1}{2!} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} < \widehat{\Psi}_{(+) \dot{a}}^* | B \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | \widehat{\Psi}_{(-) \dot{b}} > \Phi_{\mu\nu} \\
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} \Big[ & \sqrt{5} \left( \frac{3}{5} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}i}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}}^i + \frac{1}{10} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{bi} - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}} \right) \mathbf{H} \\
& + \left( -\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{lm\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}i}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{bjk} \right) \mathbf{H}_{lm} \\
& + \left( -\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{blm} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}}^k \right) \mathbf{H}^{lm} \\
& + 2 \left( \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{jk\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{bki} - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}i}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}}^j \right) \mathbf{H}_j^i \Big]. \quad (6.11)
\end{aligned}$$

(c)  $\overline{16} \times 16 \times 210$  and  $16 \times \overline{16} \times 210$  COUPLINGS

We now turn to the computation of the  $\overline{16} \times 16 \times 210$  couplings. Using Eq.(4.7) we decompose the vertex  $\Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_\rho \Gamma_\lambda \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_\rho \Gamma_\lambda \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} = & 4b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l \Phi_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_l} + 4b_i^\dagger b_j b_k b_l \Phi_{c_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} + b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger \Phi_{c_i c_j c_k c_l} \\ & + b_i b_j b_k b_l \Phi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} - 6b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger \Phi_{c_i c_j c_m \bar{c}_m} + 6b_i b_j \Phi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_m c_m} \\ & + 3\Phi_{c_m \bar{c}_m c_n \bar{c}_n} - 12b_i^\dagger b_j \Phi_{c_i \bar{c}_j c_m \bar{c}_m} + 6b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k b_l \Phi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

The tensors that appear above can be decomposed into their irreducible parts as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{c_m \bar{c}_m c_n \bar{c}_n} &= \mathbf{h}; \quad \Phi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} = \frac{1}{24} \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{h}^m; \quad \Phi_{c_i c_j c_k c_l} = \frac{1}{24} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{h}_m \\ \Phi_{c_i c_j c_m \bar{c}_m} &= \mathbf{h}^{ij}; \quad \Phi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_m c_m} = \mathbf{h}_{ij}; \quad \Phi_{c_i \bar{c}_j c_m \bar{c}_m} = \mathbf{h}_j^i + \frac{1}{5} \delta_j^i \mathbf{h} \\ \Phi_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} &= \mathbf{h}_{kl}^{ij} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \delta_l^i \mathbf{h}_k^j - \delta_k^i \mathbf{h}_l^j + \delta_k^j \mathbf{h}_l^i - \delta_l^j \mathbf{h}_k^i \right) + \frac{1}{20} \left( \delta_l^i \delta_k^j - \delta_k^i \delta_l^j \right) \mathbf{h} \\ \Phi_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_l} &= \mathbf{h}_l^{ijk} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \delta_l^k \mathbf{h}^{ij} - \delta_l^j \mathbf{h}^{ik} + \delta_l^i \mathbf{h}^{jk} \right) \\ \Phi_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k c_l} &= \mathbf{h}_{ijk}^l + \frac{1}{3} \left( \delta_k^l \mathbf{h}_{ij} - \delta_j^l \mathbf{h}_{ik} + \delta_i^l \mathbf{h}_{jk} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

where  $\mathbf{h}$ ,  $\mathbf{h}^i$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{h}^{ij}$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_{ij}$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_j^i$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_l^{ijk}$ ;  $\mathbf{h}_{jkl}^i$  and  $\mathbf{h}_{kl}^{ij}$  are the 1-plet, 5-plet,  $\bar{5}$ -plet, 10-plet,  $\overline{10}$ -plet, 24-plet, 40-plet,  $\overline{40}$ -plet, and 75-plet representations of  $SU(5)$ , respectively. We carry out a field redefinition such that

$$\mathbf{h} = 4\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}\mathbf{H}; \quad \mathbf{h}^i = 8\sqrt{6}\mathbf{H}^i; \quad \mathbf{h}_i = 8\sqrt{6}\mathbf{H}_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h^{ij} &= \sqrt{2}H^{ij}; & h_{ij} &= \sqrt{2}H_{ij}; & h_j^i &= \sqrt{2}H_j^i \\
h_l^{ijk} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}H_l^{ijk}; & h_{jkl}^i &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}H_{jkl}^i; & h_{kl}^{ij} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}H_{kl}^{ij}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Now the kinetic energy for the 210 dimensional Higgs field is

$-\partial_A \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \partial^A \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}^\dagger$ , which in terms of the redefined fields takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{kin}^{210-Higgs} &= -\partial_A H \partial^A H^\dagger - \partial_A H^i \partial^A H_{i^\dagger} - \partial_A H_i \partial^A H_{i^\dagger} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H^{ij} \partial^A H_{ij}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H_{ij} \partial^A H_{ij}^\dagger - \partial_A H_j^i \partial^A H_j^{i^\dagger} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3!} \partial_A H_l^{ijk} \partial^A H_l^{ijk\dagger} - \frac{1}{3!} \partial_A H_{ijk}^l \partial^A H_{ijk}^{l\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{2!} \partial_A H_{kl}^{ij} \partial^A H_{kl}^{ij\dagger}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Evaluation of Eq.(6.3), using Eq.(6.12) and the normalization of Eq.(6.14) gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{(-+)}^{(210)} &= i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} \left[ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{10} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{ij} + \frac{1}{5} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}i} \right) H \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}lm}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{jk} \right) H^{lm} \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{lm} + \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}k} \right) H_{lm} \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{j\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}i} + \frac{1}{3} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}ik}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{kj} \right) H_j^i \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{jn} H_n^{klm} + \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}in}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}j} H_{klm}^n \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{kl} H_{kl}^{ij} + \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}i} H^i + \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}} H_i \right]. \tag{6.16}
\end{aligned}$$

We note that  $\overline{16}_N - 16_M - 210_H$  couplings have the  $SU(5)$  invariant structure consisting of  $1_N - 1_M - 1_H$ ,  $\overline{10}_N - 10_M - 1_H$ ,  $5_N - \overline{5}_M - 1_H$ ,  $\overline{10}_N - 1_M - 10_H$ ,  $5_N - 10_M - 10_H$ ,  $1_N - 10_M - \overline{10}_H$ ,  $\overline{10}_N - \overline{5}_M - \overline{10}_H$ ,  $5_N - \overline{5}_M - 24_H$ ,  $\overline{10}_N - 10_M - 24_H$ ,  $5_N - 10_M - 40_H$ ,  $\overline{10}_N - \overline{5}_M - \overline{40}_H$ ,  $\overline{10}_N - 10_M - 75_H$ ,  $1_N - \overline{5}_M -$

$5_H, 5_N - 1_M - \bar{5}_H$ . An analysis similar to that for Eq.(6.16) gives  $W_{(+ -)}^{(210)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{(+ -)}^{(210)} &= \frac{1}{4!} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} < \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}}^* | B\Gamma_{[\mu}\Gamma_{\nu}\Gamma_{\rho}\Gamma_{\lambda]} | \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}} > \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \\
&= i\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} \left[ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^T \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{10} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ijT} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}ij} + \frac{1}{5} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}i}^T \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}}^i \right) H \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^T \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}lm} + \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ijT} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}}^k \right) H^{lm} \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{lmT} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}i}^T \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}jk} \right) H_{lm} \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}i}^T \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}}^j + \frac{1}{3} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{jkT} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}ki} \right) H_j^i \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{12} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ijT} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}}^n H_n^{klm} - \frac{1}{12} \epsilon^{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}n}^T \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}ij} H_{klm}^n \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{klT} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\dot{b}ij} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}kl}^i - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}i}^T \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}}^i H^i - \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^T \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{b}}^i H_i \right]. \quad (6.17)
\end{aligned}$$

We note that the couplings of  $W_{(-+)}^{(210)}$  are in general not the same as in  $W_{(+ -)}^{(210)}$ . Thus some of the terms have signs which are opposite in the two sets. Further, we note that there are in general two ways in which the 40 plet and the  $\overline{40}$  plet can contract with the matter fields. For the case of  $W_{(-+)}^{(210)}$  one of the 40 plet tensor index contracts with the tensor index of the 10 plet of matter and similarly one of the tensor index on the  $\overline{40}$  contracts with the tensor index in the  $\overline{10}$  of the  $\overline{16}$  (see Eq.(6.16)). However, in the  $W_{(+ -)}^{(210)}$  couplings this is not the case. Here one of the tensor index of 40 plet contracts with the tensor index in of the 5 plet of matter and similarly one of the tensor index in  $\overline{40}$  contracts with the tensor index in the  $\bar{5}$  plet of matter (see Eq.(6.17)).

## 6.2 $SO(10)$ -invariant cubic vector couplings involving semispinors $16$ and $\overline{16}$ and tensors of dimensionality $1$ , $45$ and $210$

For the construction of couplings of vector fields with  $16$  and  $\overline{16}$  plets, it is natural to consider the couplings of the  $1$  and  $45$  vector fields as abelian and Yang-Mills gauge interactions. However, one cannot do the same for the  $\overline{16}-16-210$  and  $16-\overline{16}-210$  couplings. These interactions cannot be treated as gauge couplings as there are no corresponding Yang-Mills interactions for the  $210$  plet. For this reason we focus here first on the computation of the gauge couplings of the  $1$  and  $45$  plet of vector fields. The supersymmetric kinetic energy and gauge couplings of the chiral superfield  $\widehat{\Phi}$  can be written in the usual superfield notation

$$\int d^4\theta \operatorname{tr}(\widehat{\Phi}^\dagger e^{g\widehat{V}} \widehat{\Phi}) \quad (6.18)$$

where  $\widehat{V}$  is the Lie valued vector superfield. Similarly the supersymmetric Yang-Mills part of the Lagrangian can be gotten from

$$\int d^2\theta \operatorname{tr}(\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\tilde{\alpha}}) + \int d^2\bar{\theta} \operatorname{tr}(\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{\dot{\tilde{\alpha}}}) \quad (6.19)$$

where  $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{\tilde{\alpha}}$  is the field strength chiral spinor superfield. Since supersymmetry does not play any special role in the analysis of  $SO(10)$  Clebsch-Gordon coefficients, we will display in the analysis here only the parts of the Lagrangian

relevant for our discussion. Thus the interactions of the 16 with gauge vectors for the 1 and 45 plet cases are given by

$$\mathbf{L}_{(++)}^{(1)} = g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1)} < \Psi_{(+) \dot{a}} | \gamma^0 \gamma^A | \Psi_{(+) \dot{b}} > \Phi_A \quad (6.20)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{(++)}^{(45)} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{1}{2!} g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} < \Psi_{(+) \dot{a}} | \gamma^0 \gamma^A \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | \Psi_{(+) \dot{b}} > \Phi_{A\mu\nu} \quad (6.21)$$

where  $g$ 's are the gauge coupling constants, and  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_{A\mu\nu}$  are gauge tensors of dimensionality 1 and 45, respectively. Similarly one defines  $\mathbf{L}_{(--)}^{(1)}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}_{(--)}^{(45)}$  with  $\Psi_+$  replaced by  $\Psi_-$  in Eqs.(6.20) and (6.21).

**(a)  $16^\dagger \times 16 \times 1$  and  $\overline{16}^\dagger \times \overline{16} \times 1$  COUPLINGS**

We first present the result of the trivial  $\overline{16} \times 16 \times 1$  couplings. Eqs.(6.20) and (4.7) at once give

$$\mathbf{L}_{(++)}^{(1)} = g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1)} \left( \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}} \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}ij} \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{ij} + \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^i \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}i} \right) \mathbf{G}_A. \quad (6.22)$$

The barred matter fields are defined so that  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}ij} = \mathbf{M}_{\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \gamma^0$  etc.

A similar analysis gives  $\mathbf{L}_{(--)}^{(1)}$  and one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{(--)}^{(1)} &= g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1)} < \Psi_{(-) \dot{a}} | \gamma^0 \gamma^A | \Psi_{(-) \dot{b}} > \Phi_A \\ &= g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1)} \left( \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}ij} + \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}i} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}}^i \right) \mathbf{G}_A. \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

**(b)  $16^\dagger \times 16 \times 45$  and  $\overline{16}^\dagger \times \overline{16} \times 45$  COUPLINGS**

We next discuss the couplings of the 45 plet gauge tensor  $\Phi_{A\mu\nu}$  whose decomposition in terms of reducible  $SU(5)$  tensors can be written similar

to Eq.(6.6). This can be further reduced into irreducible parts similar to Eq.(6.7) by

$$\Phi_{Ac_n\bar{c}_n} = \mathbf{g}_A; \quad \Phi_{Ac_i\bar{c}_j} = \mathbf{g}_{Aj}^i + \frac{1}{5}\delta_j^i \mathbf{g}_A; \quad \Phi_{Ac_i c_j} = \mathbf{g}_A^{ij}; \quad \Phi_{A\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j} = \mathbf{g}_{Aij} \quad (6.24)$$

and normalized so that

$$\mathbf{g}_A = 2\sqrt{5}\mathbf{G}_A; \quad \mathbf{g}_{Aij} = \sqrt{2}\mathbf{G}_{Aij}; \quad \mathbf{g}_A^{ij} = \sqrt{2}\mathbf{G}_A^{ij}; \quad \mathbf{g}_{Aj}^i = \sqrt{2}\mathbf{G}_{Aj}^i. \quad (6.25)$$

The kinetic energy for the 45-plet is given by  $-\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{AB}\mathcal{F}_{AB\mu\nu}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{AB}$  is the 45 of  $SO(10)$  field strength tensor. In terms of the redefined fields, 45-plet's kinetic energy takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{kin}^{45-gauge} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{AB}\mathcal{G}^{AB\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!}\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}^{ABij}\mathcal{G}_{AB}^{ij\dagger} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_j^{ABi}\mathcal{G}_{ABi}^j \quad (6.26)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{AB}$  is the 45 of  $SO(10)$  field strength tensor. As mentioned in the beginning of this section we do not exhibit the gaugino and D terms needed for supersymmetry since their normalization is fixed relative to terms exhibited in Eq.(6.26). Using Eqs.(6.21), (6.6) and the above normalizations we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(++)}^{(45)} = g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} \Big[ & \sqrt{5} \left( -\frac{3}{5}\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^i \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}i} + \frac{1}{10}\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}ij} \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{ij} + \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}} \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}} \right) \mathbf{G}_A \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}} \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{lm} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijklm} \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}ij} \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}kl} \right) \mathbf{G}_{Alm} \\ & - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}lm} \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijklm} \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^i \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{jk} \right) \mathbf{G}_A^{lm} \\ & + \sqrt{2} \left( \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}ik} \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{kj} + \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^j \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}i} \right) \mathbf{G}_{Aj}^i \Big]. \quad (6.27) \end{aligned}$$

A similar analysis gives

$$\mathcal{L}_{(--) }^{(45)} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{1}{2!} g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} < \Psi_{(-)\dot{a}} | \gamma^0 \gamma^A \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}} > \Phi_{A\mu\nu}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
= g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} & \left[ \sqrt{5} \left( \frac{3}{5} \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}i} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}}^i - \frac{1}{10} \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}ij} - \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}} \right) \mathbf{G}_A \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{lm} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijklm} \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}i} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}jk} \right) \mathbf{G}_{Alm} \\
& - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}lm} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijklm} \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}}^k \right) \mathbf{G}_A^{lm} \\
& \left. - \sqrt{2} \left( \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{jk} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}ki} + \bar{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}i} \gamma^A \mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}}^j \right) \mathbf{G}_{Aj}^i \right]. \quad (6.28)
\end{aligned}$$

(c)  $16^\dagger \times 16 \times 210$  and  $\overline{16}^\dagger \times \overline{16} \times 210$  COUPLINGS

We discuss now the 210 vector multiplet. This vector multiplet is not a gauge multiplet with the usual Yang-Mills interactions. This makes the multiplet rather pathological and it cannot be treated in a normal fashion. Specifically Eq.(6.18) is not valid for this case in any direct fashion. However, for the sake of completeness, we present here the  $SO(10)$  globally invariant couplings corresponding to Eq.(6.21). Thus we have

$$\mathbf{L}_{(++)}^{(210)} = \frac{1}{4!} g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} < \Psi_{(+) \dot{a}} | \gamma^0 \gamma^A \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_\rho \Gamma_{\lambda]} | \Psi_{(+) \dot{b}} > \Phi_{A\mu\nu\rho\lambda}. \quad (6.29)$$

To compute the couplings we carry out expansions similar to Eqs.(6.12) and (6.13) and to normalize the fields we carry out a field redefinition

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}_A &= 4\sqrt{\frac{10}{3}} \mathbf{G}_A; \quad \mathbf{g}_A^i = 8\sqrt{6} \mathbf{G}_A^i; \quad \mathbf{g}_{Ai} = 8\sqrt{6} \mathbf{G}_{Ai} \\
\mathbf{g}_A^{ij} &= \sqrt{2} \mathbf{G}_A^{ij}; \quad \mathbf{g}_{Aij} = \sqrt{2} \mathbf{G}_{Aij}; \quad \mathbf{g}_{Aj}^i = \sqrt{2} \mathbf{G}_{Aj}^i \\
\mathbf{g}_{Al}^{ijk} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \mathbf{G}_{Al}^{ijk}; \quad \mathbf{g}_{Aijkl}^i = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \mathbf{G}_{Aijkl}^i; \quad \mathbf{g}_{Akl}^{ij} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \mathbf{G}_{Akl}^{ij}
\end{aligned} \quad (6.30)$$

so that the 210-plet's kinetic energy  $-\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}^{AB} \mathcal{F}_{AB\mu\nu\rho\lambda}$  takes the form

$$\mathbf{L}_{kin}^{210-gauge} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_{AB} \mathcal{G}^{AB\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_{AB}^i \mathcal{G}^{ABi\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}_{AB}^{ij} \mathcal{G}^{ABij\dagger}$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{ABj}^i\mathcal{G}_i^{ABj}-\frac{1}{3!}\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{ABl}^{ijk}\mathcal{G}_l^{ABijk\dagger}-\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2!}\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_{ABkl}^{ij}\mathcal{G}_{kl}^{ABij\dagger}. \quad (6.31)$$

As discussed above, the 210 vector multiplet is not a gauge multiplet and thus the quantity  $\mathcal{G}_{AB}$  is just an ordinary curl. Using Eqs.(6.29), (6.12) and the normalizations of Eq.(6.30) one can compute  $\mathbf{L}_{(++)}^{(210)}$ . One finds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{(++)}^{(210)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} \bigg[ & \sqrt{5} \left( \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{10}\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}ij}\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{ij} + \frac{1}{5}\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^i\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}i} \right) \mathbf{G}_A \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( -\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{lm} + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{ijklm}\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}ij}\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}kl} \right) \mathbf{G}_{Alm} \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( -\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}lm}\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{ijklm}\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^i\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{jk} \right) \mathbf{G}_A^{lm} \\ & + \sqrt{3} \left( -\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^j\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}i} + \frac{1}{3}\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}ik}\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{kj} \right) \mathbf{G}_{Aj}^i \\ & - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{ijklm}\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}in}\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}j}\mathbf{G}_{Aklm}^n + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_{ijklm}\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^i\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{jn}\mathbf{G}_{An}^{klm} \\ & - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}ij}\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}^{kl}\mathbf{G}_{Akl}^{ij} + 2\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^i\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}}G_{Ai} + 2\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}\gamma^A\mathbf{M}_{\dot{b}i}G_A^i \bigg]. \quad (6.32) \end{aligned}$$

A similar analysis gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_{(--) }^{(210)} = \frac{1}{4!}g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} < \Psi_{(-)\dot{a}} | \gamma^0\gamma^A\Gamma_{[\mu}\Gamma_{\nu}\Gamma_{\rho}\Gamma_{\lambda]} | \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}} > \Phi_{A\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} \bigg[ & \sqrt{5} \left( \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{10}\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}ij} + \frac{1}{5}\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}i}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}}^i \right) \mathbf{G}_A \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{lm}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{ijklm}\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}ij}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}kl} \right) \mathbf{G}_{Alm} \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}lm} - \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{ijklm}\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}}^k \right) \mathbf{G}_A^{lm} \\ & + \sqrt{3} \left( -\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}i}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}}^j + \frac{1}{3}\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{jk}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}ki} \right) \mathbf{G}_{Aj}^i \\ & + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{ijklm}\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}n}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}ij}\mathbf{G}_{Aklm}^n + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{ijklm}\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}}^n\mathbf{G}_{An}^{klm} \\ & - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{kl}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}ij}\mathbf{G}_{Akl}^{ij} + 2\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}}^iG_{Ai} + 2\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}i}\gamma^A\mathbf{N}_{\dot{b}}G_A^i \bigg]. \quad (6.33) \end{aligned}$$

Supersymmetrizations of Eqs.(6.32) and (6.33) requires that we deal with a massive vector multiplet and this topic will be dealt in chapters 8 and 9[20].

## 6.3 Appendix

In this appendix we expand some of the  $SO(10)$  interactions in the familiar particle notation and exhibit the differences between some of the  $\overline{16}-16-45$  and the  $\overline{16}-16-210$  couplings. We start by looking at the gauge interactions of the 24 plet of  $SU(5)$  in  $\overline{16}-16-45$  coupling. We can read this off from the last term in Eq.(6.27). Disregarding the front factor, this term is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{24/45} = g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} \left( \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{aik} \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_b^{kj} + \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^j \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{bi} \right) \mathbf{G}_{Aj}^i \quad (6.34)$$

An expansion of Eq.(6.34) using the SM particle states defined by Eq.(2.273) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{24/45} = & g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} \sum_{x=1}^8 \left[ \overline{U}_{\dot{a}} \gamma^A \mathbf{V}_A^x \frac{\lambda_x}{2} U_b + \overline{D}_{\dot{a}} \gamma^A \mathbf{V}_A^x \frac{\lambda_x}{2} D_b \right] \\ & + g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} \sum_{y=1}^3 \left[ (\overline{\nu} \quad \overline{E}^-)_{aL} \gamma^A \mathbf{W}_A^y \frac{\tau_y}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ E^- \end{pmatrix}_{bL} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\overline{U} \quad \overline{D})_{aL} \gamma^A \mathbf{W}_A^y \frac{\tau_y}{2} \begin{pmatrix} U \\ D \end{pmatrix}_{bL} \right] \\ & + g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\overline{E}_{aL}^- \gamma^A \mathbf{B}_A E_{bL}^- + \overline{\nu}_{aL} \gamma^A \mathbf{B}_A \nu_{bL}) \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{6} (\overline{U}_{aL}^- \gamma^A \mathbf{B}_A U_{bL} + \overline{D}_{aL} \gamma^A \mathbf{B}_A D_{bL}) + \frac{2}{3} \overline{U}_{aR} \gamma^A \mathbf{B}_A U_{bR} \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{3} \overline{D}_{aR} \gamma^A \mathbf{B}_A D_{bR} - \overline{E}_{aR}^- \gamma^A \mathbf{B}_A E_{bR}^- \right] \\ & + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

where  $\mathbf{V}_A^x$  is an  $SU(3)$  octet of gluons,  $\mathbf{W}_A^y$  is an  $SU(2)$  isovector of intermediate bosons,  $\mathbf{B}_A$  is the hypercharge boson,  $\tau_y$  and  $\lambda_x$  are the usual Pauli and Gell-Mann matrices, and the dots stand for the couplings of the lepto-quark/diquark bosons to fermions. The above result, of course, contains the SM interactions. Next, let us look at the vector interaction of the 24 plet of  $SU(5)$  in the  $\overline{16} - 16 - 210$  coupling. This can be read off from Eq.(6.32) and one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{24/210} &= g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} \left( -\overline{\mathbf{M}}_a^j \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_{bi} + \frac{1}{3} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{aik} \gamma^A \mathbf{M}_b^{kj} \right) \mathbf{G}_{Aj}^i \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)}} \mathcal{L}_{24/45} - \frac{4}{3} \{ g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} \sum_{x=1}^8 \overline{D}_{aR} \gamma^A \mathbf{V}_A^x \frac{\lambda_x}{2} D_{bR} \\
&+ g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\overline{E}_{aL}^- \gamma^A \mathbf{B}_A E_{bL}^- + \overline{\nu}_{aL} \gamma^A \mathbf{B}_A \nu_{bL}) - \frac{1}{3} \overline{D}_{aR} \gamma^A \mathbf{B}_A D_{bR} \right] \\
&+ g_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} \sum_{y=1}^3 (\overline{\nu} \quad \overline{E}^-)_{aL} \gamma^A \mathbf{W}_A^y \frac{\tau_y}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ E^- \end{pmatrix}_{bL} + \dots \} \quad (6.36)
\end{aligned}$$

Eq.(6.36) shows that the 24 plet of  $SU(5)$  couplings in  $\overline{16} - 16 - 210$ , unlike the case of the 24 plet couplings in  $\overline{16} - 16 - 45$ , do not contain the same exact interactions as in the Standard Model.

# Chapter 7

## Quartic Couplings of the form

$$[\overline{16} \ 16][\overline{16} \ 16]$$

In this chapter we determine dimension five operators in the superpotential arising from the mediation of 1-, 45- and 210-dimensional representations[19].

### 7.1 Quartic interactions of the type

$$[\overline{16} \ 16][\overline{16} \ 16]$$

Here we give the technique for the elimination of heavy fields for the case when the fields belong to a large tensor representation. There are in fact three approaches one can use in affecting this elimination. The first one is the direct approach where one eliminates the heavy large Higgs representation in its  $SO(10)$  form. While this is the most straightforward approach the

disadvantage is that the analysis of dimension 4 operators cannot be directly made use of and one has to carry out the entire computation from scratch. An alternative possibility is that one utilizes the result of computations of dimension 4 operators already done to compute dimension five operators. In this case, however, since all the heavy Higgs fields are in their  $SU(5)$  irreducible representations the elimination of such fields would involve cross cancellations which are quite delicate. Thus, for example, in its  $SU(5)$  decomposition  $210 = 1 + 5 + \bar{5} + 10 + \bar{10} + 24 + 40 + \bar{40} + 75$  and elimination of these involve cancellations between the 10 and the 40 plet contributions, between the  $\bar{10}$  and the  $\bar{40}$  plet contributions, and between the 1, 24 and 75 plet contributions. Such cancellations make the analysis tedious once again. It turns out that there is yet a third possibility which is to derive the dimension 4 operators in  $SU(5)$  decomposition leaving the  $SU(5)$  fields in their reducible form where possible, i.e., to use Eq.(4.7) without further reduction of the tensor fields in their irreducible components. Thus, for example, in this case one would carry out the following  $SU(5)$  decomposition of the  $SO(10)$  tensor,  $210 = 5 + \bar{5} + 50 + \bar{50} + 100$  where 50,  $\bar{50}$ , 100 are reducible  $SU(5)$  representations. After computing the dimension 4 operators in terms of these tensors one eliminates them. This procedure has the advantage of having the cancellations of procedure 2 already built in. We give now more details of the three approaches.

**(a) DIRECT METHOD**

We begin by discussion of the first approach[19] where one eliminates the heavy fields in the superpotential before one carries out an  $SU(5)$  decomposition.

In phenomenological analysis one generally needs more than one Higgs representations. Hence to keep the analysis very general we not only keep the generational indices but also allow for mixing among Higgs representations. To that end, we assume several Higgs representations of the same kind:  $\Phi_{\mathcal{X}}, \Phi_{\mu\nu\mathcal{Y}}, \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda\mathcal{Z}}$ . Consider the superpotential

$$\mathcal{W}^{(16 \times \overline{16})} = \mathcal{W}_{Higgs}^{(16 \times \overline{16})} + \mathcal{W}_{mass}^{(16 \times \overline{16})} \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}_{Higgs}^{(16 \times \overline{16})} = \mathcal{W}_{(-+)}^{(1)'} + \mathcal{W}_{(-+)}^{(45)'} + \mathcal{W}_{(-+)}^{(210)'} \quad (7.2)$$

with  $\mathcal{W}_{(-+)}^{(1)'}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{(-+)}^{(45)'}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{(-+)}^{(210)'}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{(-+)}^{(1)'} &= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1)} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle k_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)} \Phi_{\mathcal{X}} \\ \mathcal{W}_{(-+)}^{(45)'} &= \frac{1}{2!} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle k_{\mathcal{Y}}^{(45)} \Phi_{\mu\nu\mathcal{Y}} \\ \mathcal{W}_{(-+)}^{(210)'} &= \frac{1}{4!} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle k_{\mathcal{Z}}^{(210)} \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda\mathcal{Z}} \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{mass}^{(16 \times \overline{16})} = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}'}^{(1)} \Phi_{\mathcal{X}'} + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{\mu\nu\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Y}'}^{(45)} \Phi_{\mu\nu\mathcal{Y}'} + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{Z}'}^{(210)} \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda\mathcal{Z}'}. \quad (7.4)$$

We next eliminate  $\Phi_{\mathcal{X}}, \Phi_{\mu\nu\mathcal{Y}}, \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda\mathcal{Z}}$  as superheavy dimension-5 operators using the F-flatness conditions:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{W}^{(16 \times \overline{16})}}{\partial \Phi_{\mathcal{X}}} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}^{(16 \times \overline{16})}}{\partial \Phi_{\mu\nu\mathcal{Y}}} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}^{(16 \times \overline{16})}}{\partial \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda\mathcal{Z}}} = 0. \quad (7.5)$$

The above leads to

$$\mathcal{W}_{dim-5}^{(\overline{16} \times 16)} = \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_{45} + \mathcal{I}_{210}. \quad (7.6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = 2\lambda_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(1)} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{c}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{d}} \rangle \quad (7.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{45} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45)} \Big[ & \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{c}}^* | B \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{d}} \rangle \\ & -10 \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{c}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{d}} \rangle \Big] \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{210} = & \frac{1}{288} \lambda_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210)} \\ & \times \Big[ \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{c}}^* | B \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{d}} \rangle \\ & -52 \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{c}}^* | B \Gamma_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{d}} \rangle \\ & +240 \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{c}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{d}} \rangle \Big] \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

Writing,  $\mathcal{I}_{45}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{210}$  in terms of creation and annihilation operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{45} = & \lambda_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45)} \Big[ -4 \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_i b_j | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{c}}^* | B b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{d}} \rangle \\ & +4 \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_i^\dagger b_j | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{c}}^* | B b_j^\dagger b_i | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{d}} \rangle \\ & -4 \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_n^\dagger b_n | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{c}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{d}} \rangle \\ & +5 \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{c}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{d}} \rangle \Big] \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{210} = & -\frac{1}{18}\lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(210)} \left[ 8 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | Bb_i^\dagger b_j b_k b_l | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger b_i | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \right. \\
& -6 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | Bb_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k b_l | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger b_i b_j | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \\
& -2 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | Bb_i b_j b_k b_l | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \\
& +24 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | Bb_i^\dagger b_j | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_j^\dagger b_n^\dagger b_n b_i | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \\
& -12 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | Bb_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_n^\dagger b_n b_i b_j | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \\
& -12 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | Bb_i b_j | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_n^\dagger b_n | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \\
& -6 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | Bb_m^\dagger b_m | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_n^\dagger b_n | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \\
& -6 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_m^\dagger b_n^\dagger b_n b_m | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \\
& +18 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | Bb_i b_j | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \\
& -18 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | Bb_i^\dagger b_j | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_j^\dagger b_i | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \\
& +24 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | Bb_n^\dagger b_n | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \\
& \left. -15 < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{b}} > < \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\acute{c}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\acute{d}} > \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

Finally, evaluating the matrix elements in terms of  $SU(5)$  fields, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_1 = & \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(1)} \left[ -\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}}^{ij} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}kl}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{kl} + 4\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}i} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}jk}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{jk} - 4\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}i} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{j\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}j} \right. \\
& \left. + 4\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{ij} - 8\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}i} - 4\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{45} = & \left( \lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{d},\acute{c}\acute{b}}^{(45)} + \lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(45)} \right) \left( 8\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}j} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}ik}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{kj} - \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}i} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{j\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}j} \right) \\
& + \left( 4\lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{d},\acute{c}\acute{b}}^{(45)} + \lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(45)} \right) \left( \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}i} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}jk}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{jk} + \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{ij} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(45)} \left[ -8\epsilon^{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}k} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}lm}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}} - 8\epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}}^{ij} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{k\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{lm} \right. \\
& - 16 \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}ik}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}}^{kj} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}jl}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{li} + 3 \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}}^{ij} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}kl}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{kl} + 24 \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}i} \\
& \left. - 20 \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}} \right] \quad (7.13)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{210} = & -\frac{1}{24} \left[ 4 \left( 6\lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{d},\acute{c}\acute{b}}^{(210)} - \lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(210)} \right) \left( \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}i} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{j\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}j} - \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}i} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}jk}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{jk} \right. \right. \\
& - \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{ij} \left. \right) + 16 \left( \lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{d},\acute{c}\acute{b}}^{(210)} + \lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(210)} \right) \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}j} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}ik}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{kj} \\
& + \left( 8\lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{d},\acute{c}\acute{b}}^{(210)} + \lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(210)} \right) \left( \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}}^{ij} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}kl}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{kl} + 8 \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}i} \right) \\
& + 4\lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(210)} \left\{ -\epsilon^{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}k} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}lm}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}} - \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}}^{ij} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{k\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{lm} \right. \\
& \left. - 2 \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}ik}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}}^{kj} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}jl}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}}^{li} + 5 \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\acute{c}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\acute{d}} \right\} \quad (7.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(1)} &= h_{\acute{a}\acute{b}}^{(1)} h_{\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(1)} k_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)} \left[ \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(1)} \left\{ \mathcal{M}^{(1)} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(1)} - \mathbf{1} \right\} \right]_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}'} k_{\mathcal{X}'}^{(1)} \\
\lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(45)} &= h_{\acute{a}\acute{b}}^{(45)} h_{\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(45)} k_{\mathcal{Y}}^{(45)} \left[ \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(45)} \left\{ \mathcal{M}^{(45)} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(45)} - \mathbf{1} \right\} \right]_{\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Y}'} k_{\mathcal{Y}'}^{(45)} \\
\lambda_{\acute{a}\acute{b},\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(210)} &= h_{\acute{a}\acute{b}}^{(210)} h_{\acute{c}\acute{d}}^{(210)} k_{\mathcal{Z}}^{(210)} \left[ \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(45)} \left\{ \mathcal{M}^{(210)} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(210)} - \mathbf{1} \right\} \right]_{\mathcal{Z}\mathcal{Z}'} k_{\mathcal{Z}'}^{(210)} \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(\cdot)} &= \left[ \mathcal{M}^{(\cdot)} + \left( \mathcal{M}^{(\cdot)} \right)^{\mathbf{T}} \right]^{-1} \quad (7.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Although this is the most straight forward technique, one has to carry out the entire analysis ab initio and can be very labor intensive for the case of large tensor representations.

After spontaneous breaking, the Higgs multiplet can develop vacuum expectation values generating mass terms for some of the quark, lepton and

neutrino fields. An example of such operators can be found in Ref.[14]. A further discussion of model building is given in Section 7.2.

## (b) INDIRECT METHOD I

We discuss now the second approach[19] where one decomposes the large tensor representations in its irreducible  $SU(5)$  components and utilizes the results of the cubic superpotential already computed to derive dimension five operators. For illustration we consider the elimination of the 45 plet in the  $\overline{16} - 16 - 45$  (see Eq.(6.10)) coupling and for simplicity we consider only one generation of Higgs. We begin by displaying the 45 plet mass term in terms of its irreducible  $SU(5)$  components

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}^{(45)}\Phi_{\mu\nu}\Phi_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}^{(45)}\left[\mathbf{H}^{ij}\mathbf{H}_{ij} - \mathbf{H}_j^i\mathbf{H}_i^j - \mathbf{H}^2\right]. \quad (7.16)$$

The superpotential is given by

$$\mathbf{W}_{(-+)}^{(45)} = J^{(1/45)}\mathbf{H} + J^{(\overline{10}/45)ij}\mathbf{H}_{ij} + J_{ij}^{(10/45)}\mathbf{H}^{ij} + J_i^{(24/45)j}\mathbf{H}_j^i \quad (7.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J^{(1/45)} &= \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)}\left(\frac{3}{5}\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}}\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{bi} + \frac{1}{10}\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{aij}^{\mathbf{T}}\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_b^{ij} - \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}}\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}\right) \\ J^{(\overline{10}/45)lm} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}}\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_b^{lm} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijklm}\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{alm}^{\mathbf{T}}\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{bk}\right) \\ J_{lm}^{(10/45)} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{alm}^{\mathbf{T}}\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijklm}\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}}\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_b^{jk}\right) \\ J_i^{(24/45)j} &= \sqrt{2}h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45)}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{aik}^{\mathbf{T}}\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{kj} - \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{j\mathbf{T}}\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{bi}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

Eliminating the irreducible  $SU(5)$  heavy Higgs fields through F-flatness conditions taking care of the tracelessness condition for  $H_i^j$  one gets

$$l_{45} = \frac{1}{10\mathcal{M}^{(45)}} [5J^{(1/45)} J^{(1/45)} - 20J^{(\overline{10}/45)ij} J_{ij}^{(10/45)} + 5J_i^{(24/45)j} J_j^{(24/45)i} - J_m^{(24/45)m} J_n^{(24/45)n}]. \quad (7.19)$$

$l_{45}$  computed above is the same as  $\mathcal{I}_{45}$  given by Eq.(7.13) using the direct method with  $\frac{h_{ab}^{(45)} h_{cd}^{(45)}}{\mathcal{M}^{(45)}}$  replaced by  $-4\lambda_{ab,cd}^{(45)}$ . As pointed out in the beginning of this section one has cancellations in this procedure between the contributions arising from elimination of the 1 plet and the 24 plet. Such cancellations become more abundant for the 210 plet case.

### (c) INDIRECT METHOD II

It is more convenient to decompose the 210 plet into reducible  $SU(5)$  tensors[19]. We begin by exhibiting the mass term for this case

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}^{(210)} \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} = \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{M}^{(210)} \left[ \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{K}^{ijkl}\mathbb{K}_{ijkl} + \mathbb{K}_i^{jkl}\mathbb{K}_{jkl}^i + \frac{3}{4}\mathbb{K}_{ij}^{kl}\mathbb{K}_{kl}^{ij} \right] \quad (7.20)$$

where  $\mathbb{K}^{ijkl}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}_{ijkl}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}_i^{jkl}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}_{jkl}^i$  and  $\mathbb{K}_{kl}^{ij}$  are the 5 plet,  $\bar{5}$  plet, 50 plet,  $\bar{50}$  plet and 100 plet representations of  $SU(5)$ . As before we keep only one generation of Higgs. The superpotential  $W_{(-+)}^{(210)}$  in this case may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{(-+)}^{(210)} = & J_{ijkl}^{(\bar{5}/210)} \mathbb{K}^{ijkl} + J^{(5/210)ijkl} \mathbb{K}_{ijkl} + J_{ijk}^{(50/210)l} \mathbb{K}_l^{ijk} + J_l^{(\bar{50}/210)ijk} \mathbb{K}_{ijk}^l \\ & + J_{ij}^{(50/210)} \mathbb{K}_n^{ijn} + J^{(\bar{50}/210)ijn} \mathbb{K}_{ijn}^n + J_{kl}^{(100/210)ij} \mathbb{K}_{ij}^{kl} + J_i^{(100/210)j} \mathbb{K}_{jn}^{in} \\ & + J^{(100/210)} \mathbb{K}_{mn}^{mn} \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{ijkl}^{(\bar{5}/210)} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{24} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \\
J^{(5/210)ijkl} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{24} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_i b_j b_k b_l | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \\
J_{ijk}^{(50/210)l} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{6} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \\
J_i^{(\bar{50}/210)jkl} &= -\frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{6} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_i^\dagger b_j b_k b_l | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \\
J_{ij}^{(50/210)} &= -\frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{4} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \\
J^{(\bar{50}/210)ij} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{4} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_i b_j | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \\
J_{ij}^{(100/210)kl} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{4} \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k b_l | \hat{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \\
J_i^{(100/210)j} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{2} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B b_i^\dagger b_j | \hat{\Psi}_{(-+)\dot{b}} \rangle \\
J^{(100/210)} &= -\frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{8} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Psi}_{(-+)\dot{b}} \rangle . \tag{7.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Eliminating the reducible  $SU(5)$  Higgs fields through the F-flatness condition we get

$$\begin{aligned}
l_{210} = & -\frac{1}{3\mathcal{M}^{(210)}} [4J_{kl}^{(100/210)ij} J_{ij}^{(100/210)kl} + 8J_{mj}^{(100/210)mi} J_i^{(100/210)j} \\
& + 8J_{mn}^{(100/210)mn} J^{(100/210)} + 3J_i^{(100/210)j} J_j^{(100/210)i} \\
& + J_m^{(100/210)m} J_n^{(100/210)n} + 16J_m^{(100/210)m} J^{(100/210)} \\
& + 40J^{(100/210)} J^{(100/210)} + 48J^{(5/210)ijkl} J_{ijkl}^{(\bar{5}/210)} \\
& + 12J_l^{(\bar{50}/210)ijk} J_{ijk}^{(50/210)l} + 12J_m^{(\bar{50}/210)mij} J_{ij}^{(50/210)} \\
& + 12J^{(\bar{50}/210)ij} J_{ijm}^{(50/210)m} + 12J^{(\bar{50}/210)ij} J_{ij}^{(50/210)}] . \tag{7.23}
\end{aligned}$$

One may now check that  $l_{210}$  derived above coincides with  $\mathcal{I}_{210}$  given by Eq.(7.11) using the direct method when we make the identification  $\frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210)}}{\mathcal{M}^{(210)}}$  with  $-4\lambda_{\dot{a}\dot{b},cd}^{(210)}$ .

## 7.2 Possible role of large tensor representations in model building

Most of the model building in  $SO(10)$ [19] has occurred using small Higgs representations and large representations are generally avoided as they lead to non-perturbative physics above the grand unified scale. However, for the purposes of physics below the grand unified scale, the existence of non-perturbativity above the unified scale is not a central concern since the region above this scale in any case cannot be fully understood without taking into account quantum gravity effects. Thus there is no fundamental reason not to consider model building which allows for couplings with large tensor representations. Indeed large tensor representations have some very interesting and desirable features. Thus, for example, if the  $\overline{126}$  develops a VEV in the direction of  $\overline{45}$  of  $SU(5)$  one can get the ratio 3:1 in the "22" element of the lepton vs. the down quark sector in a natural fashion as desired in the Georgi-Jarlskog textures[42]. A similar 3:1 ratio also appears in the 120 plet couplings. Because of this feature the tensor representations 120 and  $\overline{126}$  have already appeared in several analyses of lepton and quark textures[7]. Further, it was pointed out in Ref.[6] that the tensor representation  $\overline{126}$  may

also play a role in suppressing proton decay arising from dimension five operators in supersymmetric models. This is so because couplings involving  $\overline{126}$  plet of Higgs to 16 plet of matter do not give rise to dimension five operators. The result derived here including the computation of cubic and quartic couplings may find application also in the study of neutrino masses and mixings. Thus, for example, one may consider contributions to the neutrino mass (N) and to the up quark mass (U) from the contraction  $[16_a \overline{16}_H]_{45} [16_b \overline{16}_H]_{45}$ . From Eq.(7.13) we find that a contribution to N arises from the fifth term in the brackets of Eq.(7.13) while the contribution to U arises from the second term in the brackets of Eq.(7.13). Now comparing the above with Eq.(8) of Ref.[6] for the 10 plet Higgs coupling which gives a N:U ratio of 1:1 we find that the two couplings referred to above in Eq.(7.13) give N:U=3:8 in agreement with Ref.[46]. Regarding the 210 dimensional tensor, such a multiplet could play a role in the quark-lepton and neutrino mass textures. The role of a 210 dimensional vector multiplet is less clear. One possible way it may surface in low energy physics is as a condensate field. However, this topic needs further exploration.

### 7.3 Appendix

In this appendix we prove that the  $[16_a \overline{16}_H]_{45} [16_b \overline{16}_H]_{45}$  interaction gives a ratio 3:8 for the Dirac neutrino mass, N vs the up quark mass, U.

We begin with the well known result that the Dirac neutrino mass and the up quark mass get equal contributions if the coupling is via 10 plet of

$SO(10)$ . We will use this result in our proof. Next consider Eq. (5.6):

$$W_{(++)}^{(10)} = i2\sqrt{2}f_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(10)(+)} [\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}i} \mathbf{H}_j + \left( -\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}m} + \frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{kl} \right) \mathbf{H}^m]$$

When  $\mathbf{H}^m$  develops a VEV, we find the Dirac neutrino mass from  $-\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}m} < \mathbf{H}^m >$  term and the up quark mass from the last term  $\frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{kl} < \mathbf{H}^m >$ . Let us write

$$x \equiv -\widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}m} \mathbf{H}^m$$

$$y \equiv \frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{a}}^{ij\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{kl} \mathbf{H}^m$$

Then we see that

$$x + y \rightarrow N : U = 1 : 1$$

Next we look at Eq. (7.13). Further we are looking for combinations which involve  $x$  and  $y$ . We see that the relevant terms are the 2nd and the 5th terms in the brackets of Eq. (7.13) i.e.,

$$-8\epsilon_{ijklm} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}}^{ij} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{c}}^{k\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{d}}^{lm} + 24\widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{N}}_{\dot{c}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\dot{d}i}$$

Using the  $x$  and  $y$  notation we can write the above as

$$\mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} (64y + 24x)$$

Thus when the singlet Higgs field in  $16_H$  i.e.,  $\mathbf{N}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}}$  develops a VEV we have

$$24x + 64y \rightarrow N : U = 3 : 8$$



## Chapter 8

# SO(10) Supersymmetric Singlet and 45 plet vector couplings

In this chapter we present the complete supersymmetric couplings[20] containing the singlet and 45 of  $SO(10)$ .

### 8.1 Singlet vector coupling

We begin with the supersymmetric vector couplings for the singlet of  $SO(10)$  in the Wess-Zumino gauge:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_V^{(1 \text{ } K.E.)} + \mathcal{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(1 \text{ } Interaction)} + \mathcal{L}_W^{(1)} \quad (8.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(1 \text{ } K.E.)} = \frac{1}{64} \left[ \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\dot{\alpha}} |_{\theta^2} + \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{\dot{\alpha}} |_{\bar{\theta}^2} \right] \quad (8.2)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \overline{\mathcal{D}}^2 \mathcal{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \widehat{V} \quad (8.3)$$

$$D_{\tilde{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\tilde{\alpha}}} + i\sigma_{\tilde{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}^A \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_A; \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} - i\theta^{\tilde{\alpha}} \sigma_{\tilde{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}^A \partial_A \quad (8.4)$$

and  $\hat{V}$  is the vector superfield in the Wess-Zumino gauge and is given by

$$\hat{V} = -\theta\sigma^A\bar{\theta}\mathcal{V}_A + i\theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda} - i\bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D \quad (8.5)$$

Thus we have

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(1 \text{ } K.E.)} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{V}_{AB}\mathcal{V}^{AB} - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\Lambda}\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\Lambda + \mathcal{L}_{(1)auxiliary}^{(1)} \quad (8.6)$$

$$\mathcal{V}^{AB} = \partial^A\mathcal{V}^B - \partial^B\mathcal{V}^A \quad (8.7)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(1)auxiliary}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}D^2 \quad (8.8)$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\tilde{\alpha}} \\ \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8.9)$$

In the above the Greek letters with tilde's ( $\tilde{\alpha}$ ,  $\dot{\beta}$ , ...) are Weyl indices.

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(1 \text{ } Interaction)} &= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | e^{\mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(+)} \hat{V}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\ &+ h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | e^{\mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(-)} \hat{V}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

where  $q^{(\pm)}$  are the U(1) charges and  $\hat{\Phi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}}$  are 16 and  $\overline{16}$  chiral superfields and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} &= A_{\dot{a}}(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi_{\dot{a}} + \theta^2 F_{\dot{a}}(x) + i\theta\sigma^A\bar{\theta}\partial_A A_{\dot{a}}(x) \\ &+ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^A\partial_A\psi_{\dot{a}}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2\partial_A\partial^A A_{\dot{a}}(x) \\ \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger &= A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta\bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}} + \bar{\theta}^2 F_{\dot{a}}^\dagger(x) - i\theta\sigma^A\bar{\theta}\partial_A A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger(x) \\ &+ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\theta}^2\theta\sigma^A\partial_A\bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2\partial_A\partial^A A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger(x) \end{aligned} \quad (8.11)$$

Expanding  $e^{\mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(\pm)}}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(1 \text{ Interaction})} = & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} \left[ \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle + \mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(+)} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbf{V}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} q^{(+)^2} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbf{V}}^2 | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \right] |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} + h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} \left[ \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle \right. \\ & \left. + \mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(-)} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbf{V}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} q^{(-)^2} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbf{V}}^2 | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle \right] |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \quad (8.12) \end{aligned}$$

where the quantities entering Eq.(8.12) are determined by Eqs.(8.13) -(8.26) below

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} = & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} \left[ -\partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right. \\ & - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} - i \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} \\ & \left. - i \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} - i \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{ij} \right] + \mathbb{L}_{(2)auxiliary}^{(1)} \quad (8.13) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{(2)auxiliary}^{(1)} \equiv h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} \langle F_{(+)\dot{a}} | F_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \quad (8.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} = & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} \left[ -\partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \right. \\ & - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} - i \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L} \\ & \left. - i \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^i - i \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}ijL} \right] + \mathbb{L}_{(3)auxiliary}^{(1)} \quad (8.15) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{(3)auxiliary}^{(1)} \equiv h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} \langle F_{(-)\dot{a}} | F_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle \quad (8.16)$$

$$\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}\tilde{\alpha}} \\ \bar{\psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8.17)$$

$$\Psi_{R,L} = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} \Psi \quad (8.18)$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}} = \Psi_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \gamma^0 \quad (8.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} \mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(+)} < \widehat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \widehat{V} | \widehat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\ &= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} \mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(+)} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{ij} \right) \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} \right) \right] \mathcal{V}^A \\ & \quad + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{ij} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}iR} \right] \Lambda_L \\ & \quad - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{12} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \Lambda_R \Big\} \\ & \quad + \mathbf{L}_{(4)auxiliary}^{(1)} \quad (8.20) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{(4)auxiliary}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} \mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(+)}}{2} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > D \quad (8.21)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} - \left( \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \quad (8.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} \mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(-)} < \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \widehat{V} | \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\ &= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} \mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(-)} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L} \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}ijL} \right) \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^i \right) \right] \mathcal{V}^A \\ & \quad + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}ijR} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R}^i \right] \Lambda_L \\ & \quad - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} + \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \right] \Lambda_R \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\mathcal{L}_{(5)auxiliary}^{(1)} \quad (8.23)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(5)auxiliary}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} \mathbf{g}^{(1)} q^{(-)}}{2} < A_{(-)\dot{a}} | A_{(-)\dot{b}} > D \quad (8.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} q^{(+2)} < \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbf{V}}^2 | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\ = & \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} q^{(+2)}}{4} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \mathcal{V}_A \mathcal{V}^A \end{aligned} \quad (8.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} q^{(-2)} < \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbf{V}}^2 | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\ = & \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} q^{(-2)}}{4} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \right] \mathcal{V}_A \mathcal{V}^A \end{aligned} \quad (8.26)$$

Finally,  $\mathcal{L}_W^{(1)}$  appearing in Eq.(8.1) is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_W^{(1)} = \mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} < \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2} + h.c. \quad (8.27)$$

Evaluation of Eq.(8.27) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_W^{(1)} = & -i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \left( \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}R} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} + \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}R}^i \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}ijR} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{ij} \right) \\ & + i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^* \left( \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}R} + \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^i \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iR} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}ijR} \right) \\ & + \mathcal{L}_{(6)auxiliary}^{(1)} \end{aligned} \quad (8.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(6)auxiliary}^{(1)} \equiv & i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \left[ \mathbf{F}_{(-)\dot{a}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^T \mathbf{F}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}_{(-)\dot{a}ij} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}ij}^T \mathbf{F}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \mathbf{F}_{(-)\dot{a}}^i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{iT} \mathbf{F}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (8.29)$$

Elimination of the auxiliary fields  $\mathbf{F}_{(\pm)}$  through their field equations gives

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)auxiliary}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{(3)auxiliary}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{(6)auxiliary}^{(1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \left( \mu^* [h^{(1-)}]^{-1} [h^{(1-)}]^{\mathbf{T}} [h^{(1-)}]^{-1} \mu \right)_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \\
&\times \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \\
&\quad - \left( \mu [h^{(1+)}]^{-1} \mathbf{T} h^{(1+)} [h^{(1+)}]^{-1} \mu^* \right)_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \\
&\times \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^* + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^{ij*} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}i}^* \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{8.30}$$

Similarly, after eliminating the field  $D$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{L}_{(1)auxiliary}^{(1)} + \mathbf{L}_{(4)auxiliary}^{(1)} + \mathbf{L}_{(5)auxiliary}^{(1)} \\
&= -\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(1+)} q^{(+2)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > < A_{(+)\dot{c}} | A_{(+)\dot{d}} > \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(1-)} q^{(-2)} < A_{(-)\dot{a}} | A_{(-)\dot{b}} > < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} > \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(1-)} q^{(+)} q^{(-)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} >
\end{aligned} \tag{8.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(1+)} q^{(+2)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > < A_{(+)\dot{c}} | A_{(+)\dot{d}} > \\
&= -\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(1+)} q^{(+2)} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}} \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}kl}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{kl} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{j\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}j} \\
&\quad + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{ij} + 2 \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}i} \\
&\quad \left. + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}jk}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{jk} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{8.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(1-)} q^{(-2)} < A_{(-)\dot{a}} | A_{(-)\dot{b}} > < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} > \\
&= -\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1-)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(1-)} q^{(-2)} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}kl} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}j}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^j \\
&\quad \left. + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}jk}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^{jk} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ij} + 2 \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i \\
& + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{jk\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}jk} \Big] \quad (8.33)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(1-)} q^{(+)} q^{(-)} \langle A_{(+)\dot{a}} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \langle A_{(-)\dot{c}} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} \rangle \\
& = - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}^{(1)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(1+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(1-)} q^{(+)} q^{(-)} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ij} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}kl} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}k}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^k + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}kl} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}j}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^j \right] \quad (8.34)
\end{aligned}$$

## 8.2 45 plet vector coupling

In this section we give the complete supersymmetric vector couplings for the 45-dimensional tensor of  $SO(10)$  in the Wess-Zumino gauge:

$$\mathbf{L}^{45} = \mathbf{L}_V^{(45 \text{ K.E.})} + \mathbf{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(45 \text{ Interaction})} + \mathbf{L}_W^{(45)} \quad (8.35)$$

where

$$\mathbf{L}_V^{(45 \text{ K.E.})} = \frac{1}{64} \left[ \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\tilde{\alpha}} |_{\theta^2} + \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{\dot{\alpha}} |_{\bar{\theta}^2} \right] \quad (8.36)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\tilde{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{g}^{(45)}} \bar{\mathbf{D}}^2 e^{-\mathbf{g}^{(45)} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu}} \mathbf{D}_{\tilde{\alpha}} e^{\mathbf{g}^{(45)} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\rho\lambda} M_{\rho\lambda}} \quad (8.37)$$

where  $M_{\mu\nu}$  are the 45 generators in the vector (10-dimensional) representation that satisfy the following Lie algebra

$$[M_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\gamma\rho}] = -i (\delta_{\beta\gamma} M_{\alpha\rho} + \delta_{\alpha\rho} M_{\beta\gamma} - \delta_{\alpha\gamma} M_{\beta\rho} - \delta_{\beta\rho} M_{\alpha\gamma}) \quad (8.38)$$

and take on the form

$$(M_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = -i(\delta_{\mu\alpha}\delta_{\nu\beta} - \delta_{\mu\beta}\delta_{\nu\alpha}) \quad (8.39)$$

and  $\widehat{V}_{\mu\nu}$  is in the Wess-Zumino gauge. Finally we have

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(45 \text{ K.E.})} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{V}_{AB\mu\nu}\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu}^{AB} - \frac{i}{2}\overline{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu}\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\Lambda_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_{(1)auxiliary}^{(45)} \quad (8.40)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu}^{AB} = \partial^A\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu}^B - \partial^B\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu}^A + \mathbf{g}^{(45)}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mu\alpha}^A\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\nu}^B - \mathcal{V}_{\mu\alpha}^B\mathcal{V}_{\alpha\nu}^A\right) \quad (8.41)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^A = \partial^A + \frac{i\mathbf{g}^{(45)}}{2}M_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu}^A \quad (8.42)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^A\Lambda_{\mu\nu} = \partial^A\Lambda_{\mu\nu} + \mathbf{g}^{(45)}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\mu\alpha}^A\Lambda_{\alpha\nu} - \mathcal{V}_{\nu\alpha}^A\Lambda_{\mu\alpha}\right) \quad (8.43)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(1)auxiliary}^{(45)} = \frac{1}{2}D_{\mu\nu}D_{\mu\nu} \quad (8.44)$$

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\tilde{\alpha}\mu\nu} \\ \overline{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}^{\dot{\tilde{\alpha}}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8.45)$$

To normalize the  $SU(5)$  fields appearing in  $-\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{V}_{AB\mu\nu}\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu}^{AB}$ , we carry out a field redefinition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_A &= 2\sqrt{5}\mathcal{V}'_A; & \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}i}^j &= \sqrt{2}\mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}i}{}^j; & \mathcal{V}_A^{ij} &= \sqrt{2}\mathcal{V}'_A{}^{ij}; & \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}ij} &= \sqrt{2}\mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}ij} \\ \Lambda &= \sqrt{10}\Lambda'; & \Lambda_i^j &= \sqrt{2}\Lambda'^j_i; & \Lambda^{ij} &= \sqrt{2}\Lambda'^{ij}; & \Lambda_{ij} &= \sqrt{2}\Lambda'_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (8.46)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu}^{AB}\mathcal{V}_{AB\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}'_{AB}\mathcal{V}'^{AB\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!}\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}'_{AB}{}^{ij}\mathcal{V}'^{ABij\dagger} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{V}'_{ABj}{}^i\mathcal{V}'^{ABj}{}_i \\ -\frac{i}{2}\overline{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu}\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\Lambda_{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{i}{2}\frac{1}{2!}\overline{\Lambda}'_{ij}\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\Lambda'_{ij} - \frac{i}{2}\frac{1}{2!}\overline{\Lambda}'^{ij}\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\Lambda'_{ij} \\ &\quad -\frac{i}{2}\overline{\Lambda}'_j{}^i\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\Lambda'_{ij} - \frac{i}{2}\overline{\Lambda}'^j{}_i\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\Lambda'^i{}_j \end{aligned} \quad (8.47)$$

Next we look at the second term in Eq.(8.35)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(45 \text{ Interaction})} &= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} \langle \widehat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | e^{\frac{1}{2!}\mathbf{g}^{(45)}\widehat{V}_{\mu\nu}\Sigma_{\mu\nu}} | \widehat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle + |\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 \\ &\quad + h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} \langle \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | e^{\frac{1}{2!}\mathbf{g}^{(45)}\widehat{V}_{\mu\nu}\Sigma_{\mu\nu}} | \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle + |\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (8.48)$$



$\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$  being the 45 generators in the spinorial representation. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(45 \text{ Interaction})} &= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} \left[ \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}^{(45)} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{V}_{\mu\nu} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{V}_{\mu\nu} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \hat{V}_{\rho\lambda} \Sigma_{\rho\lambda} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \right] |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\
&+ h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} \left[ \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}^{(45)} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{V}_{\mu\nu} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{V}_{\mu\nu} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \hat{V}_{\rho\lambda} \Sigma_{\rho\lambda} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle \right] |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{8.49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} &= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} \left[ -\partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \right. \\
&\quad - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \\
&\quad - i \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} - i \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} \\
&\quad \left. - i \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{ij} \right] + \mathbb{L}_{(2)auxiliary}^{(45)}
\end{aligned} \tag{8.50}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{(2)auxiliary}^{(45)} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} \langle F_{(+)\dot{a}} | F_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \tag{8.51}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} &= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} \left[ -\partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \right. \\
&\quad - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}ij}^{i\dagger} \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} \\
&\quad - i \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L} - i \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^i \\
&\quad \left. - i \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}ijL}^{ij} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}ijL} \right] + \mathbb{L}_{(3)auxiliary}^{(45)}
\end{aligned} \tag{8.52}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{(3)auxiliary}^{(45)} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} \langle F_{(-)\dot{a}} | F_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle \tag{8.53}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} \mathbf{g}^{(45)} < \widehat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mu\nu} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | \widehat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\
& = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} \mathbf{g}^{(45)} \left\{ \left[ -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} \right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{ij} \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{3}{2\sqrt{5}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'^A \\
& \quad + \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}lm}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}lmL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} \right) \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{jk} \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'^{Alm} \\
& \quad + \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{lm} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{lm} \right) \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}kL} \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'_{lm} \\
& \quad + \left[ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ik}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kj} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ikL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{kj} \right) \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{j\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^j \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'_j{}^i \\
& + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \left[ -\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R} - \frac{1}{10} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{ij} + \frac{3}{5} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}iR} \right] \Lambda'_L \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}lm}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{jk} \right] \Lambda'^{lm}_L \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{lm} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}kR} \right] \Lambda'_{lmL} \\
& \quad - \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ik}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{kj} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{j\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}iR} \right] \Lambda'^i_{jL} \\
& - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \left[ -\overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{10} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \frac{3}{5} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \Lambda'_R \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}lmL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijklm} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk} \right] \Lambda'^{lm}_R \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{lm} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijklm} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k} \right] \Lambda'_{lmR}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left[ \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ikL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kj} + \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^j \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \Lambda_{jR}' \Big\} + \mathbf{L}_{(4)auxiliary}^{(45)} \quad (8.54)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{(4)auxiliary}^{(45)} = \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} \mathbf{g}^{(45)}}{4} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > D_{\mu\nu} \quad (8.55)$$

Similarly for  $\overline{16}_-16_-$  couplings we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} \mathbf{g}^{(45)} < \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \widehat{V}_{\mu\nu} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\ &= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} \mathbf{g}^{(45)} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L} \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}ijL} \right) \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{3}{2\sqrt{5}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^i \right) \right] \mathcal{V}'^A \\ & \quad + \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}lm} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}lmL} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^k + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^k \right) \right] \mathcal{V}'^{Alm} \\ & \quad + \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{lm\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{lm} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}jk} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}jkL} \right) \right] \mathcal{V}'^{lm} \\ & \quad + \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{jk\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ki} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{jk} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}kiL} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^j + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^j \right) \right] \mathcal{V}'^{ij} \\ & - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \left[ -\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R} - \frac{1}{10} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}ijR} + \frac{3}{5} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R}^i \right] \Lambda_L' \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}lmR} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R}^k \right] \Lambda_L'^{lm} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{lm\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}jkR} \right] \Lambda_{lmL}' \\ & \quad + \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{jk\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}kiR} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R}^j \right] \Lambda_{jL}'^i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \left[ -\overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{10} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} + \frac{3}{5} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \right] \Lambda_R' \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}lm} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijklm} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^k \right] \Lambda_R'^{lm} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{lm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijklm} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}jk} \right] \Lambda_{lmR}' \\
& - \left[ \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{jk} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ki} + \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^j \right] \Lambda_{jR}' \Big\} + \mathbf{L}_{(5)auxiliary}^{(45)} \quad (8.56)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{(5)auxiliary}^{(45)} = \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} \mathbf{g}^{(45)}}{4} < A_{(-)\dot{a}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(-)\dot{b}} > D_{\mu\nu} \quad (8.57)$$

Next, we evaluate couplings to  $\overline{16}_+ 16_+$  of matter which are quadratic in the vector multiplet fields. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{8} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} < \widehat{\Phi}_{(+) \dot{a}} | \widehat{V}_{\mu\nu} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \widehat{V}_{\rho\lambda} \Sigma_{\rho\lambda} | \widehat{\Phi}_{(+) \dot{b}} > |\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2 \\
& = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} \left\{ -\frac{5}{4} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}} + \frac{1}{50} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}}^{ij} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{9}{25} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}i} \right] \mathcal{V}'_A \mathcal{V}'^A \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}}^{m\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}m} \delta_i^l \delta_j^k + \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}im}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}}^{ml} - \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}}^{l\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}i} \right) \delta_j^k \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}}^{kl} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}k} \mathcal{V}'_l{}^{Aj} \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( 2\mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}}^{km} + \epsilon^{ijpkm} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}p} \right) \delta_n^l \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}in}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}j} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}kl} \mathcal{V}'_m{}^{An} \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( -2\mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}km}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}} - \epsilon_{ijpkm} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}}^{jp} \right) \delta_l^n \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2\epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}}^{nj} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}}{}^{kl} \mathcal{V}'_n{}^{Am} \\
& + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{10}} \left[ -6\mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}}^{lm} + \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}k} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}lm} \mathcal{V}'^A \\
& + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{10}} \left[ 6\mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}lm}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}} - \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+) \dot{b}}^{jk} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}}{}^{lm} \mathcal{V}'^A
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \left[ 3\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{j\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} + 5\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ik}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kj} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}j} \mathcal{V}'^A \\
& - \frac{1}{8} \left[ \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}jk} \mathcal{V}'_{lm}^A - \frac{1}{8} \left[ \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}}{}^{jk} \mathcal{V}'^{Alm} \\
& + \frac{1}{8} \left[ \left( 2\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}mn}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{mn} \right) \delta_i^k \delta_j^l + 2\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kl} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2 \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}im}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ml} - \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{l\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right) \delta_j^k \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}}{}^{ij} \mathcal{V}'_{kl}^A \} \\
\end{aligned} \tag{8.58}$$

Similarly couplings to  $\overline{16}_- 16_-$  of matter which are quadratic in the vector multiplet fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{8} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} < \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \widehat{V}_{\mu\nu} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \widehat{V}_{\rho\lambda} \Sigma_{\rho\lambda} | \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\
& = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} \left\{ -\frac{5}{4} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{50} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{9}{25} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \right] \mathcal{V}'_A \mathcal{V}'^A \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{im\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ml} - \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}l}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \right) \delta_k^j - \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}kl} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}i}{}^k \mathcal{V}'_j{}^{Al} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( 2\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{km\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} + \epsilon^{ijpkm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}jp} \right) \delta_n^l \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}n}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}kl} \mathcal{V}'_m{}^{An} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( 2\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}km} + \epsilon_{ijpkm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^p \right) \delta_l^n \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^n \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}}{}^{kl} \mathcal{V}'_n{}^{Am} \\
& + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{10}} \left[ 6\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{lm\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} - \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}jk} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}lm} \mathcal{V}'^A \\
& + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{10}} \left[ -6\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}lm} + \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^k \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}}{}^{lm} \mathcal{V}'^A \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \left[ 3\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}j} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{jk\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ki} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}j} \mathcal{V}'^A \\
& - \frac{1}{8} \left[ \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}jk} \mathcal{V}'_{lm}^A - \frac{1}{8} \left[ \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^j \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}}{}^{jk} \mathcal{V}'^{Alm}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{mn\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}mn} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}m}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^m \right) \delta_k^i \delta_l^j + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}kl} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left( -3\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{im\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ml} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}l}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \right) \delta_k^j \right] \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}ij} \mathcal{V}'^{Akl} \} \\
& \hspace{25em} (8.59)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_W^{(45)} = \mathbf{W}(\widehat{\Phi}_{(+)}, \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)})|_{\theta^2} + h.c. \quad (8.60)$$

where

$$\mathbf{W}(\widehat{\Phi}_{(+)}, \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)}) = \mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} < \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B | \widehat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > \quad (8.61)$$

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{A}_{(+)}, \mathbf{A}_{(-)}) = i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right) \quad (8.62)$$

and where  $\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$  is taken to be a symmetric tensor. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L}_W^{(45)} = & -i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \left( \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}R} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} + \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}R}^i \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}ijR} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{ij} \right) \\
& + i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^* \left( \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}R} + \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^i \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iR} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}ijR} \right) \\
& \hspace{15em} + \mathbf{L}_{(6)auxiliary}^{(45)} \quad (8.63)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L}_{(6)auxiliary}^{(45)} = & i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \left[ \mathbf{F}_{(-)\dot{a}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{F}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}_{(-)\dot{a}ij} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{F}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \mathbf{F}_{(-)\dot{a}}^i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{F}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] + h.c. \quad (8.64)
\end{aligned}$$

Eliminating the fields,  $\mathbf{F}_{(\pm)}$  through their field equations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{L}_{(2)auxiliary}^{(45)} + \mathbf{L}_{(3)auxiliary}^{(45)} + \mathbf{L}_{(6)auxiliary}^{(45)} \\
& = - \left( \mu^* [h^{(45-)}]^{-1} [h^{(45-)}]^{\mathbf{T}} [h^{(45-)}]^{-1} \mu \right)_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \\
& \quad - \left( \mu [h^{(45+)}]^{-1\mathbf{T}} h^{(45+)} [h^{(45+)}]^{-1\mathbf{T}} \mu^* \right)_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \\
& \times \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\mathbf{T} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^* + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}ij}^\mathbf{T} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^{ij*} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}i}^* \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{8.65}$$

Similarly, eliminating the auxiliary field  $D_{\mu\nu}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{L}_{(1)auxiliary}^{(45)} + \mathbf{L}_{(4)auxiliary}^{(45)} + \mathbf{L}_{(5)auxiliary}^{(45)} \\
& = -\frac{1}{32} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45+)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > < A_{(+)\dot{c}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(+)\dot{d}} > \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{32} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45-)} < A_{(-)\dot{a}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(-)\dot{b}} > < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} > \\
& - \frac{1}{16} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45-)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} >
\end{aligned} \tag{8.66}$$

The terms above when expanded in terms of  $SU(5)$  fields give

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{32} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45+)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > < A_{(+)\dot{c}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(+)\dot{d}} > \\
& = \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{16} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45++)} + 4\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(45++)} \right) \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{ij} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{j\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}j} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}jk}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{jk} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45++)} + \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(45++)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}j} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}ik}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{kj} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45++)} \left[ -\frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{k\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{lm} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}lm}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ik}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kj} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}jl}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{li} + \frac{3}{64} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}kl}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{kl} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{3}{8} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}i} - \frac{5}{16} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}} \right] \Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{8.67}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{32} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45-)} < A_{(-)\dot{a}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(-)\dot{b}} > < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} > \\
& = \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{16} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45--)} + 4\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(45--)} \right) \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{jk\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}jk} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}j}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^j \right) \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{16} \left( -11\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45--)} + 4\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(45--)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ij} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45--)} + \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(45--)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^j \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ik\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}kj} \\
& \quad + \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45--)} \left[ -\frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^m \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}jk} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}lm} \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ik\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}kj} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{jl\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}li} + \frac{3}{64} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}kl} \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{7}{8} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i + \frac{15}{16} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \right] \left. \right\} \quad (8.68)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{16} \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45-)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | \Sigma_{\mu\nu} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} > \\
& = \mathbf{g}^{(45)2} \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45+-)} \left\{ \frac{5}{8} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} - \frac{3}{32} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}kl} \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}j}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^j + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{j\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}j}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ik}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kj} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{il\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}lj} - \frac{3}{8} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i \\
& \quad + \frac{13}{8} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} + \frac{1}{16} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ij} \\
& \quad + \frac{9}{16} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} - \frac{15}{16} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{jk\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}jk} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{16} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}k}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^k + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{j\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ik\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}kj} \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ik}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kj} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}j}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ij}-\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}jk} \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^k-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}j}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ki} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ki\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^j-\frac{1}{8}\epsilon^{ijklm}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}k}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}lm} \\
& -\frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{ijklm}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^m-\frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{ijklm}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{lm\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \\
& \left. -\frac{1}{8}\epsilon^{ijklm}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}lm}\right\} \quad (8.69)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta$ 's are defined by

$$\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45++)} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45+)} ; \quad \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45--)} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45-)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45-)} ; \quad \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45+-)} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(45+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(45-)} \quad (8.70)$$

## Chapter 9

# Coupling the Supersymmetric 210 Vector Multiplet to Matter in SO(10)

### 9.1 Coupling of 210 vector multiplet to $16_{\pm}$ plet of matter

In usual formulations of particle interactions the vectors belong to either singlets or the adjoint representations of the gauge group of the theory under consideration. To couple the vectors to matter one forms a Lie valued quantity  $\hat{V}^a T_a$  where  $T_a$  are the generators of the gauge group satisfying the algebra  $[T_a, T_b] = if_{abc} T_c$ . Then one couples the Lie valued quantity to the matter fields in the form  $\Phi^\dagger e^{g\hat{V}} \Phi$  which can be shown to be a gauge invariant

combination. For the representations 1 and 45 one can carry out this construction straightforwardly (see chapter 8). However, this construction does not work for the 210 vector multiplet as one cannot write a gauge invariant Yang-Mills theory for it. Further, for the same reason one cannot write a gauge invariant coupling of the 210 vector with matter. To construct the 210 vector couplings, the technique we adopt is to carry out a direct expansion in powers of the vector superfield. Thus we have[20].

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(210 \text{ Interaction})} &= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} \left[ \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(210)}}{4!} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(210)}}{4!} \right)^2 \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \Gamma_{[\alpha} \Gamma_{\beta} \Gamma_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\delta]} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} \rangle \right] |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\
&\quad + h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210-)} \left[ \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle + \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(210)}}{4!} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(210)}}{4!} \right)^2 \langle \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \Gamma_{[\alpha} \Gamma_{\beta} \Gamma_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\delta]} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} \rangle \right] |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\
&\quad + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} (\mu, \nu, \lambda, \rho=1,2,\dots,10)$  is the vector superfield in the Wess-Zumino gauge. In the following we give a full exhibition of the couplings to only linear order in the vector superfield in terms of its  $SU(5) \times U(1)$  decomposition but a similar analysis can be done for couplings involving higher powers of the superfield. Thus using the analysis of Ref.[6, 19] we find that the  $16^\dagger$  16

couplings can be decomposed as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} < \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} \left[ -\partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \right. \\
& - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \\
& - i \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} - i \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} \\
& \left. - i \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{ij} \right] + \mathbb{L}_{(1)auxiliary}^{(210)} \quad (9.2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{(1)auxiliary}^{(210)} \equiv h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} < F_{(+)\dot{a}} | F_{(+)\dot{b}} > \quad (9.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210-)} < \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210-)} \left[ -\partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \right. \\
& - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i - \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \partial^A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} \\
& - i \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L} - i \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^i \\
& \left. - i \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}ijL} \right] + \mathbb{L}_{(2)auxiliary}^{(210)} \quad (9.4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{(2)auxiliary}^{(210)} \equiv h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210-)} < F_{(-)\dot{a}} | F_{(-)\dot{b}} > \quad (9.5)$$

$$\Psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{(\pm)\dot{a}\dot{\alpha}} \\ \bar{\psi}_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.6)$$

Similarly we find

$$\frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} \mathbf{g}^{(210)}}{4!} < \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{a}} | \hat{\mathbb{V}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} \mathbf{g}^{(210)} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{30}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{ij} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{30}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'^A \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} \right) \mathcal{V}'^A_i \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} \right) \mathcal{V}'^{Ai} \\
&\quad + \left[ -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}lm}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}lmL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} \right) \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{24\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{jk} \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'^{Alm} \\
&\quad + \left[ -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{lm} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{lm} \right) \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{24\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}kL} \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'^{A}_{lm} \\
&\quad + \left[ \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ik}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kj} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ikL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{kj} \right) \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{j\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^j \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'^{iA}_j \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{6}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jn} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{jn} \right) \mathcal{V}'^{Aklm}_n \\
&\quad + -\frac{1}{6\sqrt{6}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}in}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}j} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}inL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}jL} \right) \mathcal{V}'^{An}_{klm} \\
&\quad + -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{6}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kl} - \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{kl} \right) \mathcal{V}'^{Aij}_{kl} \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} \left[ -\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R} + \frac{1}{10} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{ij} - \frac{1}{5} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}iR} \right] \Lambda'_L \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R} \right] \Lambda'_{iL} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}iR} \right] \Lambda'^i_L \\
&\quad - \frac{i}{4} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}lm}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R} - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{jk} \right] \Lambda'^{lm}_L
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{i}{4} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{lm} - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}kR} \right] \Lambda'_{lmL} \\
& -\frac{i}{2} \left[ -\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ik}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{kj} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{j\dagger} \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}iR} \right] \Lambda'_{jL} \\
& + \frac{i}{6\sqrt{3}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{jn} \right] \Lambda'_{nL} - \frac{i}{6\sqrt{3}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}in}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}jR} \right] \Lambda'_{klmL} \\
& - \frac{i}{4\sqrt{3}} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{b}R}^{kl} \right] \Lambda'_{klL} \\
& - \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} \left[ -\bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{10} \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} - \frac{1}{5} \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \Lambda'_R \\
& - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \right] \Lambda'_{iR} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \Lambda'_{iR} \\
& + \frac{i}{4} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}lmL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijklm} \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk} \right] \Lambda'_{lmR} \\
& + \frac{i}{4} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{lm} - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{ijklm} \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k} \right] \Lambda'_{lmR} \\
& + \frac{i}{2} \left[ -\frac{1}{3} \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ikL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kj} + \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^j \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \Lambda'_{jR} \\
& - \frac{i}{6\sqrt{3}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}L}^i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jn} \right] \Lambda'_{nR} + \frac{i}{6\sqrt{3}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}inL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}j} \right] \Lambda'_{klmR} \\
& + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{3}} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(+)\dot{a}ijL} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kl} \right] \Lambda'_{klR} \Big\} \\
& + \mathbf{L}_{(3)auxiliary}^{(210)} \quad (9.7)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{(3)auxiliary}^{(210)} = \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} \mathbf{g}^{(210)}}{4!2} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_\rho \Gamma_\lambda | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > D_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \quad (9.8)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} - \left( \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \quad (9.9)$$

$$\Lambda_{R,L} = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} \Lambda \quad (9.10)$$

$$\Lambda_{jkl}^i = \left( \frac{\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}jkl}^i}{\bar{\lambda}_{jkl}^{\dot{\alpha}i}} \right) \quad (9.11)$$

and so on. Similarly for the  $\overline{16}_- 16_-$  couplings we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210-)} \mathbf{g}^{(210)}}{4!} < \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}} | \widehat{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | \widehat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{b}} > |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\
& = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210-)} \mathbf{g}^{(210)} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L} \right) \right. \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{30}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}ijL} \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{30}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^i \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'^A \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^i \right) \mathcal{V}'^A_i \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L} \right) \mathcal{V}'^{Ai} \\
& \quad + \left[ \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}lm} + i \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}lmL} \right) \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{24\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^k - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^k \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'^{Alm} \\
& \quad + \left[ \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{lm\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{lm} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L} \right) \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{24\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}jk} - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}jkL} \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'^{A}_{lm} \\
& \quad + \left[ \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{jk\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ki} - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{jk} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}kiL} \right) \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^j - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^j \right) \Big] \mathcal{V}'^{iA}_j \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{12\sqrt{6}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^n - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}L}^n \right) \mathcal{V}'^{Aklm}_n \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{12\sqrt{6}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}n}^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}nL} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}ijL} \right) \mathcal{V}'^{An}_{klm} \\
& \quad + - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{6}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \left( i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{kl\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_A \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} - \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{kl} \gamma_A \Psi_{(-)\dot{b}ijL} \right) \mathcal{V}'^{Aij}_{kl} \\
& \quad - \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} \left[ -\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R} + \frac{1}{10} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}ijR} - \frac{1}{5} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \overline{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R}^i \right] \Lambda'_L
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R}^i \right] \Lambda'_{iL} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R} \right] \Lambda'^i_L \\
& + \frac{i}{4} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}lmR} - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R}^k \right] \Lambda'^{lm}_L \\
& + \frac{i}{4} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{lm\dagger} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R} - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}jkR} \right] \Lambda'_{lmL} \\
& - \frac{i}{2} \left[ -\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{jk\dagger} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}kiR} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R}^j \right] \Lambda'^i_{jL} \\
& + \frac{i}{6\sqrt{3}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}R}^n \right] \Lambda'^{klm}_{nL} + \frac{i}{6\sqrt{3}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}n}^\dagger \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}ijR} \right] \Lambda'^n_{klmL} \\
& - \frac{i}{4\sqrt{3}} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{kl\dagger} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{b}ijR} \right] \Lambda'^{ij}_{klL} \\
& + \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} \left[ -\bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{10} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} - \frac{1}{5} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \right] \Lambda'_R \\
& - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \right] \Lambda'_{iR} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \right] \Lambda'^i_R \\
& - \frac{i}{4} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}lm} - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijklm} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^k \right] \Lambda'^{lm}_R \\
& - \frac{i}{4} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{lm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^{ijklm} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}jk} \right] \Lambda'_{lmR} \\
& + \frac{i}{2} \left[ -\frac{1}{3} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{jk} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ki} + \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}iL} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^j \right] \Lambda'^i_{jR} \\
& - \frac{i}{6\sqrt{3}} \epsilon_{ijklm} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^n \right] \Lambda'^{klm}_{nR} - \frac{i}{6\sqrt{3}} \epsilon^{ijklm} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}nL} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} \right] \Lambda'^n_{klmR} \\
& + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{3}} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{kl} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} \right] \Lambda'^{ij}_{klR} \Big\} \\
& + \mathbf{L}_{(4)auxiliary}^{(210)} \quad (9.12)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{(4)auxiliary}^{(210)} = \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210-)} \mathbf{g}^{(210)}}{4!2} < A_{(-)\dot{a}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(-)\dot{b}} > D_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \quad (9.13)$$

Further, the kinetic energy for the vector multiplet is given by

$$\mathbf{L}_V^{(210 \text{ K.E.})} = \frac{1}{64} \left[ \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\tilde{\alpha}\mu\nu\rho\lambda} |_{\theta^2} + \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\dot{\alpha}\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} |_{\bar{\theta}^2} \right] \quad (9.14)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}^{\tilde{\alpha}} = \bar{\mathbf{D}}^2 \mathbf{D}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\dot{\alpha}\mu\nu\rho\lambda} = \mathbf{D}^2 \bar{\mathbf{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \quad (9.15)$$



Explicit evaluation of Eq.(9.15) gives

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(210 \text{ K.E.})} = -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{V}_{AB\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{AB} - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \mathcal{L}_{(5)auxiliary}^{(210)} \quad (9.16)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{AB} = \partial^A\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^B - \partial^B\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^A \quad (9.17)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(5)auxiliary}^{(210)} = \frac{1}{2}D_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}D_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \quad (9.18)$$

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\tilde{\alpha}\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\ \bar{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.19)$$

Finally, the superpotential of the theory is taken to be

$$\mathcal{L}_W^{(210)} = W(\hat{\Phi}_{(+)}, \hat{\Phi}_{(-)})|_{\theta^2} + h.c. \quad (9.20)$$

$$W(\hat{\Phi}_{(+)}, \hat{\Phi}_{(-)}) = \mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} < \hat{\Phi}_{(-)\dot{a}}^* | B | \hat{\Phi}_{(+)\dot{b}} > \quad (9.21)$$

$$W(\mathbf{A}_{(+)}, \mathbf{A}_{(-)}) = i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \left( \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right) \quad (9.22)$$

where  $\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$  is taken to be a symmetric tensor. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_W = & -i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \left( \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}R} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L} + \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}R}^i \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iL} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}ijR} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}L}^{ij} \right) \\ & + i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^* \left( \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}R} + \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^i \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}iR} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{(-)\dot{a}L}^{ij} \Psi_{(+)\dot{b}ijR} \right) \\ & + \mathcal{L}_{(6)auxiliary}^{(210)} \end{aligned} \quad (9.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(6)auxiliary}^{(210)} = & i\mu_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \left[ \mathbf{F}_{(-)\dot{a}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{F}_{(+)\dot{b}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}_{(-)\dot{a}ij} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{F}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \mathbf{F}_{(-)\dot{a}}^i \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{F}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (9.24)$$

We now eliminate the auxiliary fields,  $D_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  and  $\mathbf{F}_{(\pm)}$ . We find

$$\mathcal{L}_{(3)auxiliary}^{(210)} + \mathcal{L}_{(4)auxiliary}^{(210)} + \mathcal{L}_{(5)auxiliary}^{(210)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{4608} \mathbf{g}^{(210)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210+)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > \\
&\quad \times < A_{(+)\dot{c}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(+)\dot{d}} > \\
&- \frac{1}{4608} \mathbf{g}^{(210)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210-)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210-)} < A_{(-)\dot{a}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(-)\dot{b}} > \\
&\quad \times < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} > \\
&- \frac{1}{2304} \mathbf{g}^{(210)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210-)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > \\
&\quad \times < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} > \tag{9.25}
\end{aligned}$$

$SU(5)$  expansion of these expressions gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{1}{4608} \mathbf{g}^{(210)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210+)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > \\
&\quad \times < A_{(+)\dot{c}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(+)\dot{d}} > \\
&= \mathbf{g}^{(210)2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{6144} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210++)} + 8\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210++)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}kl}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{kl} \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{768} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210++)} + 8\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210++)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}i} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{512} \left( 11\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210++)} - 2\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210++)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{k\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}k} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{192} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210++)} + 2\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210++)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}k} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{1536} \left( 25\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210++)} + 18\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210++)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{j\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}j} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{1536} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210++)} - 6\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210++)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}ij}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{ij} \\
&\quad + \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210++)} \left[ \frac{1}{1536} \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{k\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{lm} \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{1536} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}lm}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{768} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}kl}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}}^{li} \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{5}{1536} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{c}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{d}} \right] \left. \right\} \tag{9.26}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{4608} \mathbf{g}^{(210)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210-)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210-)} < A_{(-)\dot{a}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(-)\dot{b}} > \\
& \quad \times < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} > \\
= & \mathbf{g}^{(210)2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{6144} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210--)} + 16\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210--)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^{kl} \right. \\
& -\frac{1}{768} \left( 11\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210--)} + 8\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210--)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i \\
& -\frac{1}{1536} \left( 5\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210--)} + 6\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210--)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}k}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^k \\
& +\frac{1}{384} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210--)} + \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210--)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}jk} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^k \\
& +\frac{1}{1536} \left( \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210--)} - 6\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210--)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^i \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}j}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^j \\
& -\frac{1}{1536} \left( 29\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210-)} + 18\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{d},\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210--)} \right) \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ij} \\
& +\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210--)} \left[ \frac{5}{1536} \epsilon_{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^m \right. \\
& +\frac{5}{1536} \epsilon^{ijklm} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}jk} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}lm} \\
& -\frac{1}{256} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}jk} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}li} \\
& \left. +\frac{25}{1536} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \right] \left. \right\} \quad (9.27)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2304} \mathbf{g}^{(210)2} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210-)} < A_{(+)\dot{a}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(+)\dot{b}} > \\
& \quad \times < A_{(-)\dot{c}} | \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} | A_{(-)\dot{d}} > \\
= & \frac{\mathbf{g}^{(210)2} \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210+-)}}{192} \left\{ -10 \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} + 2 \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ij} \right. \\
& -4 \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i - 32 \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i \\
& -4 \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ij} - 32 \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \\
& \left. +12 \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}jk} - 8 \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}j}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ki} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +18\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{jk\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}jk} + 4\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}j}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^j \\
& -56\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}j}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{jk\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}ki} - 24\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}j}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}i}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^j \\
& -164\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} - 4\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \\
& -8\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{jk\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i + 8\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^k \\
& -\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}kl} + 8\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{il\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}lk} \\
& +8\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}k}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^i - 38\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \\
& +2\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}k}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^k - 8\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{kl}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{ij\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}kl} \\
& +14\epsilon^{ijklm}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}k}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}lm} \\
& +14\epsilon_{ijklm}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{jk}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{lm\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}} \\
& -2\epsilon^{ijklm}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}k}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}lm} \\
& -2\epsilon_{ijklm}\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger\mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{c}}^{kl\dagger}\mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{d}}^m \Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.28}$$

where  $\eta$ 's are defined by

$$\eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210++)} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210+)}; \quad \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210--)} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210-)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210-)}; \quad \eta_{\dot{a}\dot{b},\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210+-)} = h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210+)} h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210-)} \tag{9.29}$$

We also find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{L}_{(1)auxiliary}^{(210)} + \mathbf{L}_{(2)auxiliary}^{(210)} + \mathbf{L}_{(6)auxiliary}^{(210)} \\
& = - \left( \mu^* [h^{(210-)}]^{-1} [h^{(210-)}]^\mathbf{T} [h^{(210-)}]^{-1} \mu \right)_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \\
& \times \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}ij}^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}}^{ij} + \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{a}}^{i\dagger} \mathbf{A}_{(+)\dot{b}i} \right] \\
& - \left( \mu [h^{(210+)}]^{-1} \mathbf{T} h^{(210+)} [h^{(210+)}]^{-1} \mathbf{T} \mu^* \right)_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left[ \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^* + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}ij}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}}^{ij*} + \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{a}}^{i\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A}_{(-)\dot{b}i}^* \right] \quad (9.30)$$

## 9.2 A more general analysis of $16^\dagger - 16 - 210$ vector couplings

In this section we consider the couplings of the unconstrained 210 vector multiplet with matter, i.e., in the analysis we use the full vector multiplet rather than the truncated one under the constraint of the Wess-Zumino gauge. An illustration of this procedure is given in Appendix C for the  $U(1)$  case. The Lagrangian that governs the interactions of the 210 multiplet consists of the kinetic energy term for the 210 plet, self interactions, and interactions of the 210 plet with 16 and  $\overline{16}$  of matter. For generality we also include a mass term for the 210 vector multiplet. As in Appendix C we will not impose the Wess-Zumino gauge but keep the full multiplet. Thus we take the Lagrangian governing the 210 vector multiplet to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(210)} = & \mathcal{L}_V^{(210 \text{ K.E.})} + \mathcal{L}_V^{(210 \text{ Mass})} \\ & + \mathcal{L}_V^{(210 \text{ Self-Interaction})} + \mathcal{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(210 \text{ Interaction})} + \mathcal{L}_\Phi^{(210 \text{ Self-Interaction})} \end{aligned} \quad (9.31)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(210 \text{ K.E.})} = \frac{1}{64} \left[ \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\tilde{\alpha}\mu\nu\rho\lambda} |_{\theta^2} + \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\tilde{\alpha}\mu\nu\rho\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} |_{\bar{\theta}^2} \right] \quad (9.32)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(210 \text{ Mass})} = m^2 \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \quad (9.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_V^{(210 \text{ Self-Interaction})} &= \alpha_1 \hat{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \hat{V}_{\rho\lambda\alpha\beta} \hat{V}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \\ &+ \alpha_2 \hat{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \hat{V}_{\rho\lambda\alpha\beta} \hat{V}_{\alpha\beta\eta\tau} \hat{V}_{\eta\tau\mu\nu} |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \end{aligned} \quad (9.34)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(210 \text{ Interaction})} = \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{4!} \hat{\Phi}_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \hat{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]} \hat{\Phi}_{\dot{b}} |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \quad (9.35)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\Phi^{(210 \text{ Self-Interaction})} = \hat{\Phi}_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \hat{\Phi}_{\dot{a}} |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \quad (9.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} &= C(x) + i\theta\chi(x) - i\bar{\theta}\bar{\chi}(x) + \frac{i}{2}\theta^2 [M(x) + iN(x)] \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\theta}^2 [M(x) - iN(x)] - \theta\sigma^A\bar{\theta}\mathcal{V}_A(x) \\ &+ i\theta^2\bar{\theta} \left[ \bar{\lambda}(x) + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\sigma}^A\partial_A\chi(x) \right] - i\bar{\theta}^2\theta \left[ \lambda(x) + \frac{i}{2}\sigma^A\partial_A\bar{\chi}(x) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 \left[ D(x) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_A\partial^A C(x) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (9.37)$$

One could, of course, add more interactions, for example, in  $\mathcal{L}_V^{(210 \text{ Self-Interaction})}$  such as  $V^5$  etc. which are allowed once one gives up the Wess-Zumino gauge. Similarly in  $\mathcal{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(210 \text{ Interaction})}$  one may add additional terms as well. However, the line of construction remains unchanged and the inclusion of additional terms only brings in more complexity. Thus to keep the analysis simple we omit such terms. Evaluating Eq.(9.31) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(210)} &= -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{V}_{ABXY}\mathcal{V}_{XY}^{AB} - \frac{1}{2}m^2\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}XY}\mathcal{V}_{XY}^{\dot{a}} - \frac{1}{2}\partial^A B_{XY}\partial_A B_{XY} \\ &\quad - i\bar{\Lambda}_{XY}\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda_{XY} - m\bar{\Lambda}_{XY}\Lambda_{XY} \\ &\quad - \partial^A A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger\partial_A A_{\dot{a}} - i\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}\gamma^A\partial_A\Psi_{\dot{a}L} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{24m} B_{\mathbf{XY}} (\partial^A A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger) \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} \partial_A A_{\dot{b}} - \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{96m} \partial^A (A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} A_{\dot{b}}) \partial_A B_{\mathbf{XY}} \\
& \quad + \frac{ih_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48} [A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} \partial^A A_{\dot{b}} - (\partial^A A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger) \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} A_{\dot{b}}] \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\mathbf{XY}} \\
& + \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48m\sqrt{2}} \left[ (\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} \Lambda_{L\mathbf{XY}}) \partial_A A_{\dot{b}} + \partial_A A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger (\bar{\Lambda}_{L\mathbf{XY}} \gamma^A \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} \Psi_{\dot{b}L}) \right] \\
& + \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{24m\sqrt{2}} \left[ (\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} \partial_A \Lambda_{L\mathbf{XY}}) A_{\dot{b}} - A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger (\bar{\Lambda}_{L\mathbf{XY}} \gamma^A \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} \partial_A \Psi_{\dot{b}L}) \right] \\
& \quad - \frac{ih_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{24\sqrt{2}} \left[ (\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} \Lambda_{R\mathbf{XY}}) A_{\dot{b}} - A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger (\bar{\Lambda}_{R\mathbf{XY}} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} \Psi_{\dot{b}L}) \right] \\
& - \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48} \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} \Psi_{\dot{b}L} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\mathbf{XY}} - \frac{ih_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{24m} \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} \partial_A (\Psi_{\dot{b}L}) B_{\mathbf{XY}} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{m^3} \left( \frac{3\alpha_1}{4} B_{\mathbf{WY}} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B_{\mathbf{WX}} B_{\mathbf{XY}} \right) \partial_A B_{\mathbf{YZ}} \partial^A B_{\mathbf{ZW}} \\
& \quad - \frac{3}{m} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{2} B_{\mathbf{WY}} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B_{\mathbf{WX}} B_{\mathbf{XY}} \right) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{AYZ}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{ZW}}^A \\
& + \frac{3}{m^3} \left( \alpha_1 B_{\mathbf{WY}} + \frac{2\alpha_2}{m} B_{\mathbf{WX}} B_{\mathbf{XY}} \right) (i\bar{\Lambda}_{L\mathbf{YZ}} \gamma^A \partial_A \Lambda_{L\mathbf{ZW}} - m\bar{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{YZ}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{ZW}}) \\
& \quad + \frac{3}{m^2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \delta_{\mathbf{WX}} + \frac{2\alpha_2}{m} B_{\mathbf{WX}} \right) (\bar{\Lambda}_{L\mathbf{XY}} \gamma^A \Lambda_{L\mathbf{YZ}}) \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\mathbf{ZW}} \\
& \quad + \frac{3\alpha_2}{2m^4} (\bar{\Lambda}_{R\mathbf{WX}}^c \Lambda_{L\mathbf{XY}}) (\bar{\Lambda}_{L\mathbf{YZ}} \Lambda_{R\mathbf{ZW}}^c) + \mathcal{L}_{auxiliary}^{210} \tag{9.38}
\end{aligned}$$

Where we have defined for brevity

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{XY}} = \Gamma_{[\mu} \Gamma_{\nu} \Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma_{\lambda]}; \quad B_{\mathbf{XY}} = B_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}; \quad \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\mathbf{XY}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{YZ}}^A = \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau}^A \tag{9.39}$$

and so on. Further

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda &= \begin{pmatrix} m\chi_{\tilde{\alpha}} \\ \bar{\lambda}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_{\dot{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\dot{a}\tilde{\alpha}} \\ \bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = mC, \\
\Lambda^c &= \mathcal{C} \bar{\Lambda}^T, \quad \mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\bar{\sigma}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda^\dagger \gamma^0 \tag{9.40}
\end{aligned}$$

We will expand some of the terms appearing in Eq.(9.39) in appendix B.

The Lagrangian containing the auxiliary fields is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}'_{auxilliary}{}^{210} = & \left( mB_{\text{ZW}} + \frac{3\alpha_1}{2m^2}B_{\text{WX}}B_{\text{XZ}} + \frac{2\alpha_2}{m^3}B_{\text{WX}}B_{\text{XY}}B_{\text{YZ}} + \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48}A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{ZW}}A_{\dot{b}} \right) D_{\text{ZW}} \\
& + \frac{1}{2}D_{\text{XY}}D_{\text{XY}} + \left( \frac{1}{2}m^2\delta_{\text{YW}} + \frac{3\alpha_1}{2m}B_{\text{YW}} + \frac{3\alpha_2}{m^2}B_{\text{WX}}B_{\text{XY}} \right) (M_{\text{YZ}}M_{\text{ZW}} + N_{\text{YZ}}N_{\text{ZW}}) \\
& + i \left[ \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48}F_{\dot{a}}^\dagger\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{ZW}}A_{\dot{b}} + \frac{3}{m^2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4}\delta_{\text{WX}} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m}B_{\text{WX}} \right) (\bar{\Lambda}_{\text{LXY}}\Lambda_{\text{RZY}}^c) \right] (M_{\text{ZW}} + iN_{\text{ZW}}) \\
& - i \left[ \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48}A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{ZW}}F_{\dot{b}} + \frac{3}{m^2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4}\delta_{\text{WX}} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m}B_{\text{WX}} \right) (\bar{\Lambda}_{\text{RXY}}^c\Lambda_{\text{LYZ}}) \right] (M_{\text{ZW}} - iN_{\text{ZW}}) \\
& + \frac{ih_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{24m\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\Lambda}_{\text{LXY}}\Psi_{\dot{a}R}\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{XY}}) F_{\dot{b}} - \frac{ih_{\dot{b}a}^{(210)}}{24m\sqrt{2}} (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{XY}}\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}R}\Lambda_{\text{LXY}}) F_{\dot{b}}^\dagger \\
& + \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{24m}B_{\text{XY}}F_{\dot{a}}^\dagger\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{XY}}F_{\dot{b}} + F_{\dot{a}}^\dagger F_{\dot{a}} \quad (9.41)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, eliminating the auxiliary fields we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}'_{auxilliary}{}^{210} = & -\frac{1}{2}m^2B_{\text{XY}}B_{\text{YX}} - \frac{3\alpha_1}{2m}B_{\text{XY}}B_{\text{YZ}}B_{\text{ZX}} \\
& - \left( \frac{9\alpha_1^2}{8m^4} + \frac{2\alpha_2}{m^2} \right) B_{\text{WX}}B_{\text{XY}}B_{\text{YZ}}B_{\text{ZW}} - \frac{3\alpha_1\alpha_2}{m^5}B_{\text{VW}}B_{\text{WX}}B_{\text{XY}}B_{\text{YZ}}B_{\text{ZV}} \\
& - \frac{2\alpha_2^2}{m^6}B_{\text{UV}}B_{\text{VW}}B_{\text{WX}}B_{\text{XY}}B_{\text{YZ}}B_{\text{ZU}} - \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210)}}{4608} (A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{XY}}A_{\dot{b}}) (A_{\dot{c}}^\dagger\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{XY}}A_{\dot{d}}) \\
& - \frac{mh_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48} (A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{XY}}A_{\dot{b}}) B_{\text{XY}} - \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}\alpha_1}{32m^2} (A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{XY}}A_{\dot{b}}) B_{\text{XZ}}B_{\text{ZY}} \\
& - \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}\alpha_2}{24m^3} (A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{XY}}A_{\dot{b}}) B_{\text{XW}}B_{\text{WZ}}B_{\text{ZY}} - \frac{ih_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{24m\sqrt{2}} (\mathbf{Q}^{-1})_{\dot{a}\dot{c}}\mathbf{R}_{\dot{c}}\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{XY}}\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{b}R}\Lambda_{\text{LXY}} \\
& - \frac{1}{2}m^2 [\mathbf{K}_{\text{WX}}(\mathbf{P}^{-1})_{\text{WXYZ}}\mathbf{K}_{\text{YZ}} + \mathbf{J}_{\text{WX}}(\mathbf{P}^{-1})_{\text{WXYZ}}\mathbf{J}_{\text{YZ}}] \\
& + \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{8m^4}A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{UV}}(\mathbf{S}^{-1})_{\dot{b}\dot{c}}\mathbf{T}_{\dot{c}}(\mathbf{P}^{-1})_{\text{UVXY}} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4}\delta_{\text{YW}} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m}B_{\text{YW}} \right) \bar{\Lambda}_{\text{LWZ}}\Lambda_{\text{RZX}}^c \quad (9.42)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{UVXY}} = \delta_{\text{UX}}\delta_{\text{VY}} + \frac{3\alpha_1}{2m^3}(\delta_{\text{UY}}B_{\text{VX}} + B_{\text{UX}}\delta_{\text{VY}})$$



$$+ \frac{3\alpha_2}{m^4} (\delta_{UY} B_{VW} B_{WX} + B_{UW} B_{WY} \delta_{XV}) \quad (9.43)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} = \delta_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} + \frac{h_{\dot{b}\dot{c}}^{(210)}}{24m} \tilde{\Gamma}_{XY} B_{XY} - \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{c}}^{(210)} h_{\dot{b}\dot{d}}^{(210)}}{1152m^2} A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}_{UV} (\mathbf{P}^{-1})_{UVXY} \tilde{\Gamma}_{XY} A_{\dot{d}} \quad (9.44)$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} = \delta_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} + \frac{h_{\dot{c}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{24m} \tilde{\Gamma}_{XY} B_{XY} - \frac{h_{\dot{c}\dot{d}}^{(210)} h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{1152m^2} \tilde{\Gamma}_{UV} A_{\dot{d}} (\mathbf{P}^{-1})_{UVXY} A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}_{XY} \quad (9.45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{\dot{c}} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{c}}^{(210)}}{8m^4} A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}_{UV} (\mathbf{P}^{-1})_{UVXY} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4} \delta_{YW} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B_{YW} \right) \bar{\Lambda}_{LWZ} \Lambda_{RZX}^c \\ &\quad - \frac{ih_{\dot{a}\dot{c}}^{(210)}}{24m\sqrt{2}} \bar{\Lambda}_{LXY} \Psi_{\dot{a}R} \tilde{\Gamma}_{XY} \end{aligned} \quad (9.46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\dot{c}} &= + \frac{h_{\dot{c}\dot{a}}^{(210)}}{8m^4} \tilde{\Gamma}_{UV} A_{\dot{a}} (\mathbf{P}^{-1})_{UVXY} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4} \delta_{YW} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B_{YW} \right) \bar{\Lambda}_{RWZ}^c \Lambda_{LZX} \\ &\quad - \frac{ih_{\dot{c}\dot{a}}^{(210)}}{24m\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\Gamma}_{XY} \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}R} \Lambda_{LXY} \end{aligned} \quad (9.47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}_{XY} &= - \frac{ih_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48m^2} \left[ (\mathbf{Q}^{-1})_{\dot{a}\dot{c}} \mathbf{R}_{\dot{c}} \tilde{\Gamma}_{XY} A_{\dot{b}} - A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}_{XY} (\mathbf{S}^{-1})_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} \mathbf{T}_{\dot{c}} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{3i}{m^4} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4} \delta_{XU} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B_{XU} \right) \left( \bar{\Lambda}_{LUV} \Lambda_{RVY}^c - \bar{\Lambda}_{RUV}^c \Lambda_{LVY} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9.48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{XY} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48m^2} \left[ (\mathbf{Q}^{-1})_{\dot{a}\dot{c}} \mathbf{R}_{\dot{c}} \tilde{\Gamma}_{XY} A_{\dot{b}} + A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}_{XY} (\mathbf{S}^{-1})_{\dot{b}\dot{c}} \mathbf{T}_{\dot{c}} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{m^4} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4} \delta_{XU} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B_{XU} \right) \left( \bar{\Lambda}_{LUV} \Lambda_{RVY}^c + \bar{\Lambda}_{RUV}^c \Lambda_{LVY} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9.49)$$

### 9.3 Appendix A

In this appendix we normalize the irreducible  $SU(5)$  tensors contained in a 210 vector  $\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^A$ , a 210 scalar  $B_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ , and a 210 spinor  $\Lambda_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ . Latin letters  $(i, j, k, \dots)$  are used to denote the  $SU(5)$  indices. The normalized  $SU(5)$  gauge tensors appearing in  $\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^A$  are

$$\mathcal{V}_A = 4\sqrt{\frac{10}{3}} \mathcal{V}'_A; \quad \mathcal{V}_A^i = 8\sqrt{6} \mathcal{V}'_A^i; \quad \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\dot{i}} = 8\sqrt{6} \mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}\dot{i}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_A^{ij} &= \sqrt{2}\mathcal{V}'_A{}^{ij}; & \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}ij} &= \sqrt{2}\mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}ij}; & \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}i}^j &= \sqrt{2}\mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}i}{}^j \\
\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}l}^{ijk} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}l}{}^{ijk}; & \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}jkl}^i &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}jkl}{}^i; & \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}kl}^{ij} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\mathcal{V}'_{\dot{a}kl}{}^{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.50}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{AB}\mathcal{V}_{AB\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}'_{AB}\mathcal{V}'^{AB\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}'_{AB}{}^i\mathcal{V}'^{ABi\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!}\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}'_{AB}{}^{ij}\mathcal{V}'^{ABij\dagger} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{V}'_{ABj}{}^i\mathcal{V}'^{ABj}{}_i - \frac{1}{3!}\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}'_{ABl}{}^{ijk}\mathcal{V}'^{ABl}{}_{ijk\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!}\frac{1}{2!}\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{V}'_{ABkl}{}^{ij}\mathcal{V}'^{ABkl}{}_{ij} \tag{9.51}
\end{aligned}$$

The normalized  $SU(5)$  fields appearing in  $B_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  are

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= 4\sqrt{\frac{10}{3}}B'; & B^i &= 8\sqrt{6}B'^i; & B_i &= 8\sqrt{6}B'_i \\
B^{ij} &= \sqrt{2}B'^{ij}; & B_{ij} &= \sqrt{2}B'_{ij}; & B_i^j &= \sqrt{2}B'_i{}^j \\
B_l^{ijk} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}B'_l{}^{ijk}; & B_{jkl} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}B'_{jkl}; & B_{kl}^{ij} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}B'_{kl}{}^{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.52}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2}\partial^AB_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_AB_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= -\partial^AB'\partial_AB'^\dagger - \partial^AB'^i\partial_AB'^{i\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!}\partial^AB'^{ij}\partial_AB'^{ij\dagger} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\partial^AB'_j{}^i\partial_AB_i{}^j - \frac{1}{3!}\partial^AB'_l{}^{ijk}\partial_AB_l{}_{ijk\dagger} - \frac{1}{2!}\frac{1}{2!}\frac{1}{2}\partial^AB'_{kl}{}^{ij}\partial_AB_{ij}{}^{kl} \tag{9.53}
\end{aligned}$$

The normalized  $SU(5)$  fields appearing in  $\Lambda_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$  are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda &= 4\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}\Lambda'; & \Lambda_i &= 8\sqrt{6}\Lambda'^i; & \Lambda_i &= 8\sqrt{6}\Lambda'_i \\
\Lambda^{ij} &= \sqrt{2}\Lambda'^{ij}; & \Lambda_{ij} &= \sqrt{2}\Lambda'_{ij}; & \Lambda_i^j &= \sqrt{2}\Lambda'_i{}^j \\
\Lambda_l^{ijk} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Lambda'_l{}^{ijk}; & \Lambda_{jkl} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Lambda'_{jkl}; & \Lambda_{kl}^{ij} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Lambda'_{kl}{}^{ij}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.54}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
-i\bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= -i\bar{\Lambda}'\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda' - i\bar{\Lambda}'_i\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda'^i - i\bar{\Lambda}'^i\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda'_i \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2!}i\bar{\Lambda}'_{ij}\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda'^{ij} - \frac{1}{2!}i\bar{\Lambda}'^{ij}\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda'_{ij} - i\bar{\Lambda}'^i_j\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda'^i_j \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3!}i\bar{\Lambda}'^{ijk}\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda'^{ijk} - \frac{1}{3!}i\bar{\Lambda}'^l_{ijk}\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda'^l_{ijk} - \frac{1}{2!}\frac{1}{2!}i\bar{\Lambda}'^{ij}_{kl}\gamma^A\partial_A\Lambda'^{ij}_{kl} \quad (9.55)
\end{aligned}$$

## 9.4 Appendix B

In this appendix we exhibit, for the benefit of the reader, a few  $SU(5)$  expansions of the terms appearing in our final Lagrangian Eq.(9.38). We begin by noting that any of the chiral fields  $S$  ( $\equiv A, \Psi, F$ ) can be expanded in terms of its  $SU(5)$  components as

$$|S_{\dot{a}}\rangle = |0\rangle \mathbf{S}_{\dot{a}} + \frac{1}{2}b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger |0\rangle \mathbf{S}_{\dot{a}}^{ij} + \frac{1}{24}\epsilon^{ijklm}b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger b_m^\dagger |0\rangle \mathbf{S}_{\dot{a}i} \quad (9.56)$$

Together with the normalizations of appendix A and the basic theorem given in Ref.[6], we can expand terms such as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48m\sqrt{2}}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}\gamma^A\tilde{\Gamma}_{XY}\Lambda_{LXY}\right)\partial_A A_{\dot{b}} &= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{48m\sqrt{2}}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}\gamma^A\Gamma_{[\mu}\Gamma_{\nu}\Gamma_{\rho}\Gamma_{\lambda]}\partial_A A_{\dot{b}}\right)\Lambda_{L\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\
&= \frac{h_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^{(210)}}{m}\left[\frac{1}{8\sqrt{30}}\left(10\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}} - \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}ijL}\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}}^{ij} + 2\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}^i\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}i}\right)\Lambda_L' \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}^i\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}}\right)\Lambda_{iL}' + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}i}\right)\Lambda_L'^i \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{48}\left(-6\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}lmL}^i\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}} + \epsilon_{ijklm}\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}^i\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}}^{jk}\right)\Lambda_L'^{lm} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{48}\left(-6\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}}^{lm} + \epsilon^{ijklm}\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}ijL}\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}k}\right)\Lambda_{lmL}' \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{12}\left(-3\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}^j\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}}^i + \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}ikL}\gamma^A\partial_A\mathbf{A}_{\dot{b}}^{kj}\right)\Lambda_{jL}'^i \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{12\sqrt{3}} \left( \epsilon_{ijklm} \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L}^i \gamma^A \partial_A \mathbf{A}_b^{jn} \right) \Lambda_{nL}'{}^{klm} - \frac{1}{12\sqrt{3}} \left( \epsilon^{ijklm} \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}inL} \gamma^A \partial_A \mathbf{A}_{bj} \right) \Lambda_{klmL}'{}^n \\
& - \frac{1}{8\sqrt{3}} \left( \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}ijL} \gamma^A \partial_A \mathbf{A}_b^{kl} \right) \Lambda_{klL}'{}^{ij} \Big] \quad (9.57)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\text{WY}} \mathcal{V}_{\text{YZ}}^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\text{ZW}} &= B_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau}^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\lambda\tau\mu\nu} = B_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} V_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\
&= \frac{1}{16} \left\{ B_{c_i c_j c_k c_l} V_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} + B_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} V_{c_i c_j c_k c_l} + 4 B_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_l} V_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k c_l} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4 B_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k c_l} V_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_l} + 6 B_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} V_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j c_k c_l} \right\} \quad (9.58)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $V_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \mathcal{V}_{\rho\sigma\lambda\tau}^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\lambda\tau\mu\nu}$ . Thus we find

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{c_i c_j c_k c_l} V_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} &= \frac{1}{2} B_{c_i c_j c_k c_l} \left( \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j c_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{\bar{c}_m \bar{c}_n \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l}^A + \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{c_m \bar{c}_n \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l}^A \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{144} B_i \mathcal{V}_A^j \mathcal{V}_j^{Ai} - \frac{1}{480} B_i \mathcal{V}_A^i \mathcal{V}^A - \frac{1}{48} \epsilon^{ijklm} B_i \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}jkn}^p \mathcal{V}_{plm}^{An} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{36} \epsilon^{ijklm} B_i \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}jkl}^n \mathcal{V}_{nm}^A - \frac{1}{144} \epsilon^{ijklm} B_i \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}jk} \mathcal{V}_{lm}^A \quad (9.59)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} V_{c_i c_j c_k c_l} &= \frac{1}{2} B_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} \left( \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}c_i c_j c_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{\bar{c}_m \bar{c}_n \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l}^A + \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{c_m \bar{c}_n c_k c_l}^A \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{144} B^i \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}j} \mathcal{V}_i^{Aj} - \frac{1}{480} B^i \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}i} \mathcal{V}^A - \frac{1}{48} \epsilon_{ijklm} B^i \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}p}^{jkn} \mathcal{V}_n^{Aplm} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{36} \epsilon_{ijklm} B^i \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}n}^{jkl} \mathcal{V}^{Anm} - \frac{1}{144} \epsilon_{ijklm} B^i \mathcal{V}_A^{ij} \mathcal{V}^{Akl} \quad (9.60)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
4 B_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_l} V_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k c_l} &= B_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_l} \left( \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j c_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{\bar{c}_m \bar{c}_n \bar{c}_k c_l}^A + \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{c_m c_n \bar{c}_k c_l}^A \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{c_m \bar{c}_n \bar{c}_k c_l}^A \right) \\
&= B_l^{ijk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}ij}^{mn} \mathcal{V}_{mnk}^A + 2 B_l^{ijk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}kn}^{lm} \mathcal{V}_{mij}^{An} - \frac{2}{3} B_l^{ijk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}jk}^{lm} \mathcal{V}_i^A \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{3} B_l^{ijk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}jkm}^l \mathcal{V}_i^{Am} + \frac{2}{3} B_l^{ijk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}ijk}^m \mathcal{V}_m^A + \frac{2}{9} B_l^{ijk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}jk} \mathcal{V}_i^A \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{3} B^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}jlm}^k \mathcal{V}_{ki}^{Alm} - \frac{2}{3} B^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}ijl}^k \mathcal{V}_k^A + \frac{7}{9} B^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}ij}^{kl} \mathcal{V}_{kl}^A
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{28}{27} B^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}jk} \mathcal{V}_i^{Ak} - \frac{1}{10} B^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}ij} \mathcal{V}^A - \frac{1}{24} \epsilon_{ijklm} B_p^{ijn} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^k \mathcal{V}_n^{Almp} \\
& + \frac{1}{36} \epsilon_{ijklm} B_n^{ijk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^l \mathcal{V}^{Amn} - \frac{1}{36} \epsilon_{ijklm} B^{in} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^j \mathcal{V}_n^{Aklm} + \frac{1}{36} \epsilon_{ijklm} B^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^k \mathcal{V}^{Alm}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.61}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
4B_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k c_l} V_{c_i c_j c_k \bar{c}_l} &= B_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_k c_l} \left( \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a} c_i c_j c_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{\bar{c}_m \bar{c}_n c_k \bar{c}_l}^A + \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a} c_i c_j \bar{c}_m \bar{c}_n} \mathcal{V}_{c_m c_n c_k \bar{c}_l}^A \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a} \dot{c}_i c_j \bar{c}_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{c_m \bar{c}_n c_k \bar{c}_l}^A \right) \\
&= B_{ijk}^l \mathcal{V}_{mn}^{Aij} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}l}^{mnk} + 2B_{ijk}^l \mathcal{V}_{lm}^{Akn} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}n}^{mij} - \frac{2}{3} B_{ijk}^l \mathcal{V}_{lm}^{Ajk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{mi} \\
& \quad - \frac{2}{3} B_{ijk}^l \mathcal{V}_l^{Ajk m} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}m}^i + \frac{2}{3} B_{ijk}^l \mathcal{V}_m^{Aijk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}l}^m + \frac{2}{9} B_{ijk}^l \mathcal{V}^{Ajk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}l}^i \\
& \quad - \frac{2}{3} B_{ij} \mathcal{V}_k^{Ajl m} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}lm}^{ki} - \frac{2}{3} B_{ij} \mathcal{V}_k^{Aijl} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}l}^k + \frac{7}{9} B_{ij} \mathcal{V}_{kl}^{Aij} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{kl} \\
& \quad + \frac{28}{27} B_{ij} \mathcal{V}^{Ajk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}k}^i - \frac{1}{10} B_{ij} \mathcal{V}^{Aij} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}} - \frac{1}{24} \epsilon^{ijklm} B_{ijn}^p \mathcal{V}_k^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}lm}^n \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{36} \epsilon^{ijklm} B_{ijk}^n \mathcal{V}_l^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}mn} - \frac{1}{36} \epsilon^{ijklm} B_{in} \mathcal{V}_j^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}klm}^n + \frac{1}{36} \epsilon^{ijklm} B_{ij} \mathcal{V}_k^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}lm}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.62}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
6B_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} V_{\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j c_k c_l} &= B_{c_i c_j \bar{c}_k \bar{c}_l} \left( \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a} \bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j c_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{\bar{c}_m \bar{c}_n c_k c_l}^A + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a} \bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_m \bar{c}_n} \mathcal{V}_{c_m c_n c_k c_l}^A \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 3\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a} \bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \bar{c}_m c_n} \mathcal{V}_{c_m \bar{c}_n c_k c_l}^A \right) \\
&= \frac{3}{2} B_{kl}^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{ij}^{Amn} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}mn}^{kl} + 2B_{kl}^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{ij}^{Aln} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}n}^k - \frac{3}{20} B_{kl}^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{ij}^{Akl} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}} \\
& \quad + 2B_{kl}^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{jm}^{Akl} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}i}^m + \frac{4}{3} B_{kl}^{ij} \mathcal{V}_j^{Al} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}i}^k + 2B_j^i \mathcal{V}_{im}^{Akl} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}kl}^m \\
& \quad + \frac{4}{3} B_j^i \mathcal{V}_{il}^{Ajk} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}k}^l - \frac{4}{9} B_j^i \mathcal{V}_k^{Aj} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}i}^k - \frac{2}{15} B_j^i \mathcal{V}_i^{Aj} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}} \\
& \quad - \frac{3}{20} B \mathcal{V}_{kl}^{Aij} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}ij}^{kl} - \frac{2}{15} B \mathcal{V}_j^{Ai} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}i}^j - \frac{3}{200} B \mathcal{V}^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{48} B_j^i \mathcal{V}^{Aj} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}i} - \frac{1}{480} B \mathcal{V}^{Ai} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}i} + 3B_{kl}^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{ijm}^{An} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}n}^{mkl} \\
& \quad + 2B_{kl}^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{ijm}^{Al} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{mk} + 2B_{kl}^{ij} \mathcal{V}_j^{Aklm} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}mi} + \frac{1}{3} B_{kl}^{ij} \mathcal{V}_{ij}^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{kl}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4B_m^i \mathcal{V}_{ikl}^{Aj} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}j}^{klm} - \frac{4}{3} B_j^i \mathcal{V}_{ikl}^{Aj} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{kl} - \frac{4}{3} B_j^i \mathcal{V}_i^{Ajkl} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}kl} \\
& + 2B_k^i \mathcal{V}_{ij}^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{jk} - \frac{3}{10} B \mathcal{V}_{jkl}^{Ai} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}i}^{jkl} - \frac{1}{6} B \mathcal{V}_{ij}^A \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{ij} \quad (9.63)
\end{aligned}$$

## 9.5 Appendix C

In this appendix we discuss the coupling of the U(1) vector with matter without imposition of the constraint of the Wess-Zumino gauge. We consider the following Lagrangian which couples the vector multiplet with a scalar multiplet  $\hat{\Phi}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{(U(1))} = & \mathcal{L}_V^{(U(1) \text{ K.E.})} + \mathcal{L}_V^{(U(1) \text{ Mass})} + \mathcal{L}_V^{(U(1) \text{ Self-Interaction})} \\
& + \mathcal{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(U(1) \text{ Interaction})} + \mathcal{L}_{\Phi}^{(U(1))} \quad (9.64)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(U(1) \text{ K.E.})} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\dot{\alpha}} |_{\theta^2} + \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}^{\dot{\alpha}} |_{\bar{\theta}^2} \right] \quad (9.65)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(U(1) \text{ Mass})} = m^2 \widehat{\mathcal{V}}^2 |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \quad (9.66)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(U(1) \text{ Self-Interaction})} = \alpha_1 \widehat{\mathcal{V}}^3 |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} + \alpha_2 \widehat{\mathcal{V}}^4 |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \quad (9.67)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(U(1) \text{ Interaction})} = h \widehat{\Phi}_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \widehat{\Phi}_{\dot{a}} |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} \quad (9.68)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Phi}^{(U(1))} = \widehat{\Phi}_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \widehat{\Phi}_{\dot{a}} |_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} + [\mathcal{W}(\widehat{\Phi}) |_{\theta^2} + h.c.] \quad (9.69)$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 \mathcal{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{V}}; \quad \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}^2 \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \widehat{\mathcal{V}} \quad (9.70)$$

Finally, the superpotential  $W(\widehat{\Phi})$  of the theory is

$$W(\widehat{\Phi}) = \mathcal{F}_{\dot{a}} \widehat{\Phi}_{\dot{a}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \widehat{\Phi}_{\dot{a}} \widehat{\Phi}_{\dot{b}} + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}} \widehat{\Phi}_{\dot{a}} \widehat{\Phi}_{\dot{b}} \widehat{\Phi}_{\dot{c}} \quad (9.71)$$

The couplings  $\mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}}$  are taken to be completely symmetric tensors.

Expansion in component form gives

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(U(1) \text{ K.E.})} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{V}_{AB} \mathcal{V}^{AB} + \frac{1}{2} D^2 - i\lambda \sigma^A \partial_A \bar{\chi} \quad (9.72)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_V^{(U(1) \text{ Mass})} = m^2 CD + \frac{1}{2} m^2 (M^2 + N^2) - m^2 (\lambda \chi + \bar{\lambda} \bar{\chi}) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \partial^A C \partial_A C \\ - i m^2 \chi \sigma^A \partial_A \bar{\chi} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}} \mathcal{V}^{\dot{a}} \end{aligned} \quad (9.73)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{V+\Phi}^{(U(1) \text{ Interaction})} = & -\frac{h}{2\sqrt{2}} (\chi \sigma^A \bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}}) \partial_A A_{\dot{a}} + \frac{h}{\sqrt{2}} (\chi \sigma^A \partial \bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}}) A_{\dot{a}} \\ & - \frac{ih}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\lambda} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}}) A_{\dot{a}} - \frac{h}{2\sqrt{2}} (\psi_{\dot{a}} \sigma^A \bar{\chi}) \partial_A A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \\ & - \frac{h}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_{\dot{a}} \sigma^A \partial \bar{\chi}) A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} + \frac{ih}{\sqrt{2}} (\lambda \psi_{\dot{a}}) A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \\ & + \frac{ih}{2} \mathcal{V}_A [(\partial^A A_{\dot{a}}) A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} - (\partial^A A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger}) A_{\dot{a}}] + \frac{h}{2} \mathcal{V}_A (\psi_{\dot{a}} \sigma^A \bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}}) \\ & - hC (\partial_A A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger}) (\partial_A A_{\dot{a}}) - ihC (\psi_{\dot{a}} \sigma^A \partial_A \bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}}) - \frac{h}{4} \partial^A (A_{\dot{a}} A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger}) \partial_A C \\ & + hC F_{\dot{a}} F_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} - \frac{ih}{\sqrt{2}} (\chi \psi_{\dot{a}}) F_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} + \frac{ih}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\chi} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}}) F_{\dot{a}} + \frac{h}{2} D A_{\dot{a}} A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \\ & + \frac{ih}{2} (M + iN) A_{\dot{a}} F_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} - \frac{ih}{2} (M - iN) A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} F_{\dot{a}} \end{aligned} \quad (9.74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_V^{(U(1) \text{ Self-Interaction})} = & -3 \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{2} C + \alpha_2 C^2 \right) [\mathcal{V}_A \mathcal{V}^A + 2 (\lambda \chi + \bar{\lambda} \bar{\chi}) \\ & + 2i\chi \sigma^A \partial_A \bar{\chi} - (M^2 + N^2)] + 3 \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4} + \alpha_2 C \right) [i(M + iN) (\bar{\chi} \chi) \\ & - i(M - iN) (\chi \chi) - 2 (\chi \sigma^A \bar{\chi}) \mathcal{V}_A] + \frac{3\alpha_2}{2} (\chi \chi) (\bar{\chi} \chi) \\ & + \left( \frac{3\alpha_1}{4} C + \alpha_2 C^2 \right) [2CD - \partial_A C \partial^A C] \end{aligned} \quad (9.75)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L}_{\Phi}^{(U(1))} = & -\partial_A A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \partial^A A_{\dot{a}} - i\bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}} \bar{\sigma}^A \partial_A \psi_{\dot{a}} + F_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} F_{\dot{a}} \\
& - \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}} A_{\dot{c}} \right) \psi_{\dot{a}} \psi_{\dot{b}} - \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^* + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}}^* A_{\dot{c}}^{\dagger} \right) \bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{b}} \\
& + (\mathcal{F}_{\dot{a}} + \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} A_{\dot{b}} + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}} A_{\dot{c}} A_{\dot{c}}) F_{\dot{a}} + (\mathcal{F}_{\dot{a}}^* + \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^* A_{\dot{b}}^{\dagger} + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}}^* A_{\dot{c}}^{\dagger} A_{\dot{c}}^{\dagger}) F_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \quad (9.76)
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluation of Eq.(9.64) using Eqs.(9.65) - (9.76) gives in the four-component notation

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L}^{(U(1))} = & -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{V}_{AB} \mathcal{V}^{AB} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}} \mathcal{V}^{\dot{a}} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^A B \partial_A B - i\bar{\Lambda} \gamma^A \partial_A \Lambda \\
& - m\bar{\Lambda} \Lambda - \partial^A A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \partial_A A_{\dot{a}} - \frac{h}{m} B \partial^A A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \partial_A A_{\dot{a}} \\
& - \frac{h}{4m} \partial^A (A_{\dot{a}} A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger}) \partial_A B + \frac{ih}{2} (A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \partial^A A_{\dot{a}} - A_{\dot{a}} \partial^A A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger}) \mathcal{V}_A \\
& + \frac{h}{2m\sqrt{2}} \left[ (\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \Lambda_L) \partial_A A_{\dot{a}} + (\bar{\Lambda}_L \gamma^A \Psi_{\dot{a}L}) \partial_A A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \right] \\
& + \frac{h}{m\sqrt{2}} \left[ (\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \partial_A \Lambda_L) A_{\dot{a}} - (\bar{\Lambda}_L \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{\dot{a}L}) A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \right] \\
& - \frac{ih}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ (\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \Lambda_R) A_{\dot{a}} - (\bar{\Lambda}_R \Psi_{\dot{a}L}) A_{\dot{a}}^{\dagger} \right] \\
& - \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}} A_{\dot{c}} \right) \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}R} \Psi_{\dot{b}L} + \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^* + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}}^* A_{\dot{c}}^{\dagger} \right) \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \Psi_{\dot{b}R} \right] \\
& - i \left( 1 + \frac{h}{m} B \right) \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \Psi_{\dot{a}L} \\
& - \frac{1}{m^3} \left( \frac{3\alpha_1}{4} B + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B^2 \right) \partial_A B \partial^A B \\
& - \frac{3}{m} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{2} B + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B^2 \right) \mathcal{V}_A \mathcal{V}^A \\
& + \frac{3}{m^3} \left( \alpha_1 B + \frac{2\alpha_2}{m} B^2 \right) (i\bar{\Lambda}_L \gamma^A \partial_A \Lambda_L - m\bar{\Lambda} \Lambda) \\
& + \frac{3}{m^2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \frac{2\alpha_2}{m} B \right) (\bar{\Lambda}_L \gamma^A \Lambda_L) \mathcal{V}_A \\
& + \frac{3\alpha_2}{2m^4} (\bar{\Lambda}_R^c \Lambda_L) (\bar{\Lambda}_L \Lambda_R^c) + \mathbf{L}_{auxiliary}^{(U(1))} \quad (9.77)
\end{aligned}$$



where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda &= \begin{pmatrix} m\chi_{\bar{\alpha}} \\ \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_{\dot{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\dot{a}\bar{\alpha}} \\ \bar{\psi}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = mC, \\
\Lambda^c &= \mathcal{C}\bar{\Lambda}^T, \quad \mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & i\bar{\sigma}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\bar{\Lambda} &= \Lambda^\dagger \gamma^0, \quad \Lambda_{R,L} = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} \Lambda, \\
\mathcal{D}_A &= \partial_A - \frac{ig}{2} \left(1 + \frac{g}{m} B\right)^{-1} \mathcal{V}_A
\end{aligned} \tag{9.78}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{auxiliary}^{(U(1))} &= \left( mB + \frac{3\alpha_1}{2m^2} B^2 + \frac{2\alpha_2}{m^3} B^3 + \frac{h}{2} A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger A_{\dot{a}} \right) D + \frac{1}{2} D^2 \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{1}{2} m^2 + \frac{3\alpha_1}{2m} B + \frac{3\alpha_2}{m^2} B^2 \right) (M^2 + N^2) \\
&\quad + i \left[ \frac{h}{2} A_{\dot{a}} F_{\dot{a}}^\dagger + \frac{3}{m^2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B \right) (\bar{\Lambda}_L \Lambda_R^c) \right] (M + iN) \\
&\quad - i \left[ \frac{h}{2} A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger F_{\dot{a}} + \frac{3}{m^2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B \right) (\bar{\Lambda}_R^c \Lambda_L) \right] (M - iN) \\
&\quad + \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\dot{a}} + \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} A_{\dot{b}} + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}} A_{\dot{b}} A_{\dot{c}} + \frac{ih}{m\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\Lambda}_L \Psi_{\dot{a}R}) \right] F_{\dot{a}} \\
&\quad + \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\dot{a}}^* + \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^* A_{\dot{b}}^\dagger + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}}^* A_{\dot{c}}^\dagger A_{\dot{d}}^\dagger - \frac{ih}{m\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}R} \Lambda_L) \right] F_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{h}{m} B + 1 \right) F_{\dot{a}}^\dagger F_{\dot{a}}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.79}$$

We next eliminate the auxiliary fields  $M$ ,  $N$ , and  $D$  through their field equations to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{auxiliary}^{(U(1))} &= -\frac{1}{2} m^2 B^2 - \frac{3\alpha_1}{2m} B^3 - \left( \frac{9\alpha_1^2}{8m^4} + \frac{2\alpha_2}{m^2} \right) B^4 \\
&\quad - \frac{3\alpha_1\alpha_2}{m^5} B^5 - \frac{2\alpha_2^2}{m^6} B^6 - \frac{h^2}{8} (A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger A_{\dot{a}}) (A_{\dot{b}}^\dagger A_{\dot{b}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{mh}{2}B(A_a^\dagger A_a) - \frac{3h\alpha_1}{4m^2}B^2(A_a^\dagger A_a) - \frac{h\alpha_2}{m^3}B^3(A_a^\dagger A_a) \\
& + \left[ \mathcal{F}_a^* + \mathcal{M}_{ab}^* A_b^\dagger + \mathcal{G}_{abc}^* A_b^\dagger A_c^\dagger - \frac{ih}{m\sqrt{2}}(\bar{\Psi}_{aR}\Lambda_L) - \frac{hf_1(B)}{f_2(B)}(\bar{\Lambda}_R^c\Lambda_L) \right] F_a^\dagger \\
& - \frac{2f_1^2(B)}{f_2(B)}(\bar{\Lambda}_R^c\Lambda_L)(\bar{\Lambda}_L\Lambda_R^c) \quad (9.80)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_1(B) = \frac{3}{m^2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{4} + \frac{\alpha_2}{m} B \right), \quad f_2(B) = m^2 + \frac{3\alpha_1}{m} B + \frac{6\alpha_2}{m^2} B^2 \quad (9.81)$$

and the auxiliary field  $F$  satisfies the field equation

$$\begin{aligned}
F_b^\dagger \left[ \delta_{ab} \left( 1 + \frac{h}{m} B \right) - \frac{h^2}{2f_2(B)} A_a^\dagger A_b \right] &= -\frac{ih}{m\sqrt{2}} \bar{\Lambda}_L \Psi_{aR} \\
&+ \frac{hf_1(B)}{f_2(B)} (\bar{\Lambda}_L \Lambda_R^c) A_a^\dagger - \mathcal{F}_a - \mathcal{M}_{ab} A_b - \mathcal{G}_{abc} A_b A_c \quad (9.82)
\end{aligned}$$

Inverting this last equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F_a^\dagger &= \left( 1 + \frac{h}{m} B \right)^{-1} \left[ \delta_{ab} + \frac{h^2 A_a^\dagger A_b}{2f_2^2(B) \left( 1 + \frac{h}{m} B \right) - h^2 A_c^\dagger A_c} \right] \\
&\times \left[ -\frac{ih}{m\sqrt{2}} \bar{\Lambda}_L \Psi_{bR} + \frac{hf_1(B)}{f_2(B)} (\bar{\Lambda}_L \Lambda_R^c) A_b^\dagger - \mathcal{F}_b - \mathcal{M}_{bd} A_d - \mathcal{G}_{bde} A_d A_e \right] \quad (9.83)
\end{aligned}$$

For the case when self-interactions of the vector multiplet are absent (i.e.,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ ), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{(U(1))} &= -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{V}_{AB} \mathcal{V}^{AB} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \mathcal{V}_a \mathcal{V}^a - \frac{1}{2} \partial^A B \partial_A B - i \bar{\Lambda} \gamma^A \partial_A \Lambda - m \bar{\Lambda} \Lambda \\
&\quad - \partial^A A_a^\dagger \partial_A A_a - \frac{h}{m} B \partial^A A_a^\dagger \partial_A A_a \\
&\quad - \frac{h}{4m} \partial^A (A_a A_a^\dagger) \partial_A B + \frac{ih}{2} (A_a^\dagger \partial^A A_a - A_a \partial^A A_a^\dagger) \mathcal{V}_A \\
&\quad + \frac{h}{2m\sqrt{2}} \left[ (\bar{\Psi}_{aL} \gamma^A \Lambda_L) \partial_A A_a + (\bar{\Lambda}_L \gamma^A \Psi_{aL}) \partial_A A_a^\dagger \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{h}{m\sqrt{2}} \left[ \left( \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \partial_A \Lambda_L \right) A_{\dot{a}} - \left( \bar{\Lambda}_L \gamma^A \partial_A \Psi_{\dot{a}L} \right) A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \right] \\
& - \frac{ih}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \left( \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \Lambda_R \right) A_{\dot{a}} - \left( \bar{\Lambda}_R \Psi_{\dot{a}L} \right) A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger \right] \\
& - \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}} A_{\dot{c}} \right) \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}R} \Psi_{\dot{b}L} + \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}^* + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}}^* A_{\dot{c}}^\dagger \right) \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \Psi_{\dot{b}R} \right] \\
& - i \left( 1 + \frac{h}{m} B \right) \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{a}L} \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \Psi_{\dot{a}L} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} m^2 B^2 - \frac{h^2}{8} \left( A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger A_{\dot{a}} \right) \left( A_{\dot{b}}^\dagger A_{\dot{b}} \right) - \frac{h}{2} m B \left( A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger A_{\dot{a}} \right) \\
& - \left[ \frac{\delta_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}}{1 + \frac{h}{m} B} + \frac{h^2 A_{\dot{a}}^\dagger A_{\dot{b}}}{2m^2 - h^2 \left( 1 + \frac{h}{m} B \right) A_{\dot{c}}^\dagger A_{\dot{c}}} \right] \\
& \times \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\dot{a}} + \mathcal{M}_{\dot{a}d} A_{\dot{d}} + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{a}de} A_{\dot{d}} A_{\dot{e}} + \frac{ih}{m\sqrt{2}} \bar{\Lambda}_L \Psi_{\dot{a}R} \right] \\
& \times \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\dot{b}}^* + \mathcal{M}_{\dot{b}f}^* A_f^\dagger + \mathcal{G}_{\dot{b}fg}^* A_f^\dagger A_g^\dagger - \frac{ih}{m\sqrt{2}} \left( \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{b}R} \Lambda_L \right) \right] \quad (9.84)
\end{aligned}$$

As is evident the  $U(1)$  invariant effective Lagrangian above is highly nonlinear with infinite order nonlinearities.

# Chapter 10

## Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a technique which allows the explicit computation of the  $SO(2N)$  invariant couplings in terms of  $SU(N)$  invariant couplings. The technique is specially useful in the analysis of interactions involving large tensor representations. We have illustrated the technique by carrying out a complete analysis of the  $SO(10)$  invariant superpotential at the trilinear level involving interactions of matter with Higgs which consists of the  $16_{(+) \dot{a}} \times 16_{(+) \dot{b}} \times 10_s$ ,  $16_{(+) \dot{a}} \times 16_{(+) \dot{b}} \times 120_{as}$ ,  $16_{(+) \dot{a}} \times 16_{(+) \dot{b}} \times \overline{126}_s$ ,  $16_{(\pm) \dot{a}} \times 16_{(\mp) \dot{b}} \times 1$ ,  $16_{(\pm) \dot{a}} \times 16_{(\mp) \dot{b}} \times 45$ , and  $16_{(\pm) \dot{a}} \times 16_{(\mp) \dot{b}} \times 210$  couplings in their  $SU(5)$  decomposed form, where  $16_+ \equiv 16$  and  $16_- \equiv \overline{16}$ . It would be very straightforward now to expand all the  $SU(5)$  invariants in terms of  $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  invariants using the particle assignments. We note that the decomposition of  $SO(10)$  into multiplets of  $SU(5)$  is merely a convenient device for expanding the  $SO(10)$  interaction in a compact form and does not necessarily imply a preference for the symmetry breaking pattern.

Indeed one can compute the  $SO(10)$  interactions using the technique used in this thesis and then use any symmetry breaking scheme one wishes to get to the low energy theory. An analysis of vector couplings in the Lagrangian  $16_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^\dagger \times 16_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \times 1$ ,  $16_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^\dagger \times 16_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \times 45$  and  $16_{(\pm)\dot{a}}^\dagger \times 16_{(\pm)\dot{b}} \times 210$  was also given. The technique discussed in this thesis is easily extendible to models with  $SO(2N+1)$  invariance.

Further, we have computed quartic interactions in the superpotential and Lagrangian of the type  $[16_{\dot{a}(-)} \times 16_{\dot{b}(+)}]_1 [16_{(-)\dot{c}} \times 16_{(+)\dot{d}}]_1$ ,  $[16_{\dot{a}(-)} \times 16_{\dot{b}(+)}]_{45} [16_{(-)\dot{c}} \times 16_{(+)\dot{d}}]_{45}$ ,  $[16_{\dot{a}(-)} \times 16_{\dot{b}(+)}]_{210} [16_{(-)\dot{c}} \times 16_{(+)\dot{d}}]_{210}$ , by eliminating the  $SO(10)$  tensor directly. We also exhibited a technique which is much simpler and involves elimination of heavy fields in cubic couplings.

Further, in this thesis we computed the supersymmetric vector multiplet couplings containing the 1 and 45. We have also given a computation of the couplings of the 210 dimensional  $SO(10)$  vector multiplet. Specifically, we have computed the vector couplings  $\overline{16}_\pm - 16_\pm - 210$  in terms of its  $SU(5) \times U(1)$  decomposition. We approached this coupling from two viewpoints. First, we use the conventional approach of using the Wess-Zumino gauge. However, since the 210 couplings are not expected to be gauge invariant and hence such interactions are not expected to be renormalizable, we also consider a nonlinear sigma model type couplings of 210 with matter. Such couplings arise when we consider the full 210 multiplet without using the Wess-Zumino gauge. Here elimination of the auxiliary fields leads to interactions of the vector multiplet with the chiral fields with nonlinearities of

infinite order as in a nonlinear sigma model. Although couplings of the type discussed do not thus far appear in theories of fundamental interactions, 210 vector multiplet may arise as a condensate in effective theories.

Very recently the techniques discussed here have been used in the formulation of a new class of  $SO(10)$  theories where one can achieve spontaneous breaking of an  $SO(10)$  gauge group down to  $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  in a single step[53]. The analysis utilizes a 144 plet of Higgs which is a spinor vector  $|\Psi_\mu >$  with a constraint, that is  $\Gamma_\mu |\Psi_\mu > = 0$ . In model of Ref.[53], one needs to fine tune the Higgs doublet mass to be light which requires a string type landscape type scenario for its implementation[54, 55]. The techniques developed here are found very useful in such analyses.

# Bibliography

- [1] H. Georgi, in *Particles and Fields* (edited by C.E. Carlson), A.I.P., 1975.
- [2] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, *Annals Phys.* **93** (1975) 193.
- [3] . R. N. Mohapatra and B. Sakita, *Phys. Rev. D* **21** (1980) 1062.
- [4] F. Wilczek and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. D* **25** (1982) 553.
- [5] S. Nandi, A. Stern and E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Phys. Lett. B* **113** (1982) 165.
- [6] P. Nath and R. M. Syed, *Phys. Lett. B* **506** (2001) 68  
[arXiv:hep-ph/0103165].
- [7] H. Georgi and D. V. Nanopoulos, *Nucl. Phys. B* **159** (1979) 16.
- [8] J. A. Harvey, P. Ramond and D. B. Reiss, *Phys. Lett. B* **92**, (1980) 309.
- [9] J. A. Harvey, D. B. Reiss and P. Ramond, *Nucl. Phys. B* **199**, (1982) 223.

- [10] S. P. Martin, Phys. Rev. D **46** (1992) 2769 [arXiv:hep-ph/9207218].
- [11] K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 2845 [arXiv:hep-ph/9209215].
- [12] C. S. Aulakh, B. Bajc, A. Melfo, A. Rasin and G. Senjanovic, Nucl. Phys. B **597** (2001) 89 [arXiv:hep-ph/0004031].
- [13] M. C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 113007 [arXiv:hep-ph/0005292].
- [14] K. S. Babu, J. C. Pati and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B **566** (2000) 33 [arXiv:hep-ph/9812538].
- [15] R. Barbieri, L. J. Hall, S. Raby and A. Romanino , Nucl. Phys. B **493** (1997) 3 [arXiv:hep-ph/9610449].
- [16] C. H. Albright and S. M. Barr, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 093008 [arXiv:hep-ph/0003251].
- [17] T. Blazek, S. Raby and K. Tobe, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 055001 [arXiv:hep-ph/9912482].
- [18] G. W. Anderson and T. Blazek, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000) 8170 [arXiv:hep-ph/0006017].
- [19] P. Nath and R. M. Syed, Nucl. Phys. B **618** (2001) 138.
- [20] P. Nath and R. M. Syed, Nucl. Phys. B **676** (2004) 64 [arXiv:hep-th/0310178].



- [21] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Nucl. Phys. B **78** (1974) 1.
- [22] P. Fayet, Nuovo Cim. **A31** (1976) 626.
- [23] P. Fayet, LPTENS-84-17 Presented at *3rd Trieste School on Supergravity and Supersymmetry, Trieste, Italy, 1984*.
- [24] H. Georgi, "Lie Algebras in Particle Physics", (Perseus Books, Reading, MA, 1999); G. Ross, "Grand Unified Theories", (The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc., Menlo Park, CA, 1985).
- [25] S. Groot Nibbelink, T.S. Nyawelo, J.W. van Holten, Nucl. Phys. **B594** (2001) 441.
- [26] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D **10** (1974) 275.
- [27] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32** (1974) 438.
- [28] P. Nath, R. Arnowitt and A.H. Chamseddine, "Applied N=1 Supergravity", (World Scientific, Singapore, 1984).
- [29] R. Arnowitt and P. Nath, Lecture at *Swieca School*, Campos do Jordao, Brazil, 1993: "Supersymmetry and supergravity: Phenomenology and grand unification," arXiv:hep-ph/9309277.
- [30] H. P. Nilles, Phys. Rept. **110** (1984) 1.
- [31] R. N. Mohapatra, arXiv: hep-ph/9801235.

- [32] J.Wess and J. Bagger, "Supersymmetry and Supergravity", (Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1992).
- [33] A. H. Chamseddine, R. Arnowitt and P. Nath, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49** (1982) 970 ; P. Nath, R. Arnowitt and A. H. Chamseddine, Nucl. Phys. **B227** (1983) 121.
- [34] R. M. Syed, In *Themes in Unification: Pran Nath Festschrift*, ed. by G. Alverson and M.T. Vaughn, (World Scientific, Singapore). arXiv: hep-ph/0411054.
- [35] J. R. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and S. Rudaz, Nucl. Phys. B **202**, 43 (1982). ; P. Nath, A. H. Chamseddine and R. Arnowitt, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 2348 (1985). ; R. Arnowitt, A. H. Chamseddine and P. Nath, Phys. Lett. B **156**, 215 (1985). ; P. Nath and R. Arnowitt, Phys. Rev. D **38**, 1479 (1988). ; J. Hisano, H. Murayama and T. Goto, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 1446 (1994). ; T. Goto and T. Nihei, arXiv:hep-ph/9909251. ; T. Ibrahim and P. Nath, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 095001 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0004098].
- [36] B. Bajc, P. Fileviez Perez and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 075005 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0204311]. ; B. Bajc, P. Fileviez Perez and G. Senjanovic, arXiv:hep-ph/0210374.
- [37] V. Lucas and S. Raby, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 6986 [arXiv:hep-ph/9610293]. ; B. Dutta, Y. Mimura and R. N. Mohapatra, arXiv:hep-ph/0507319.

- [38] Y. Totsuka, Talk at the *SUSY 2K* conference at CERN, June 2000.
- [39] S. Aoki et.al., Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 014506.
- [40] R. Dermisek, A. Mafi and S. Raby, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 035001  
[arXiv:hep-ph/0007213].
- [41] H. Murayama and A. Pierce, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002) 055009.
- [42] H. Georgi and C. Jarlskog, Phys. Lett. B **86** (1979) 297.
- [43] P. Ramond, R. G. Roberts and G. G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. B **406** (1993)  
19 [arXiv:hep-ph/9303320].
- [44] P. Nath, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2218 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9512415]. ;  
Phys. Lett. B **381**, 147 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9602337].
- [45] S. Dimopoulos and F. Wilczek, Report. No. NSF-ITP-82-07. August  
1981(unpublished).
- [46] K. S. Babu and S. M. Barr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 1170  
[arXiv:hep-ph/0004118].
- [47] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B **67** (1977) 421. ; M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, in *Supergravity*, ed. by P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D.Z. Freedman, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979, pp.315; T.Yanagida, In Proc. of the *Workshop on the Unified Theory and Baryon Number in the Universe*, Tsukuba, Japan, 1979, ed. by O. Swada and A. Sugamoto, pp.95; S. L. Glashow, *Based on lectures given at Cargese Sum-*

- mer Inst.*, Cargese, France, 1979; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44** (1980) 912.
- [48] S. M. Barr and I. Dorsner, Nucl. Phys. **B585** (2000) 79.
  - [49] S. Wiesenfeldt, Phys. Rev. **D71** (2005) 075006; ; DESY-THESIS-2004-009.
  - [50] X. G. He and S. Meljanac, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1620 (1990).
  - [51] T. Fukuyama, A. Ilakovac, T. Kikuchi, S. Meljanac and N. Okada, J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005) 033505.
  - [52] C. S. Aulakh and A. Girdhar, Nucl. Phys. **B711** (2005) 275.
  - [53] K. S. Babu, I. Gogoladze, P. Nath and R. M. Syed, arXiv:hep-ph/0506312.
  - [54] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 046005 [arXiv:hep-th/0301240]. ; F. Denef and M. R. Douglas, JHEP **0405** (2004) 072 [arXiv:hep-th/0404116]. ; N. Arkani-Hamed and S. Dimopoulos, JHEP **0506**, 073 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0405159]. ; M. Dine, E. Gorbatov and S. Thomas, arXiv:hep-th/0407043. ; I. Antoniadis and S. Dimopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B **715** (2005) 120 [arXiv:hep-th/0411032].
  - [55] B. Kors and P. Nath, Nucl. Phys. B **711** (2005) 112 [arXiv:hep-th/0411201]. ; K. S. Babu, T. Enkhbat and B. Mukhopadhyaya, Nucl. Phys. B **720** (2005) 47 [arXiv:hep-ph/0501079]. ;

K. R. Dienes, E. Dudas and T. Gherghetta, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 026005 [arXiv:hep-th/0412185].